



DATA REPORT 09-040

2009 Survey of Foster Parents and Caregivers in Washington State

September 2009

Prepared for:

Children's Administration

P.O.B. 45710
1115 Washington Street SE
Olympia, WA 98504-5710

Submitted by
John Tarnai, Ph.D.
Principal Investigator

&

Bruce Austin
Study Director

SESRC

Social & Economic Sciences Research Center (SESRC)

P.O. Box 644014

Washington State University

Pullman, Washington 99164-4014

Telephone: (509) 335-1511

Fax: (509) 335-0116

www.sesrc.wsu.edu

**WASHINGTON STATE
UNIVERSITY**



World Class. Face to Face.

2009 Survey of Foster Parents and Caregivers In Washington State

SESRC DATA REPORT 09-040

BRM3
September 2009

Prepared for

Children's Administration
P.O.B. 45710
1115 Washington Street SE
Olympia, WA 98504-5710

Submitted by

John Tarnai, Ph.D.
Principal Investigator

&

Bruce Austin, MS
Study Director

Social & Economic Sciences Research Center
PO Box 644014; Wilson Hall 133
Washington State University
Pullman, WA 99164-4014
509-335-1511
509-335-0116 (fax)
SESRC@wsu.edu
tarnai@wsu.edu
bwaustin@wsu.edu

2009 Survey Reports

This is one of four separate documents that present aspects of the 2009 Survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State. Below is a brief description of the contents of each report:

- **Braam Outcomes Report 09-044**

This report presents an introduction to the purpose of the survey, provides an overview of the survey methods, and describes the calculation of nine specific Braam Panel outcomes. The report presents the outcomes results for all licensed and unlicensed caregivers and for each of the six DSHS regions.

- **Appendix to the Braam Outcomes Report**

The appendix to the outcomes report includes the detailed data tables and survey results that were used in the calculation of the nine specific Braam Panel outcomes.

- **SESRC Data Report 09-040**

This report is a compilation of the survey methods and survey results and includes a copy of the telephone interview questionnaire, the letter mailed to foster parents and relative caregivers, and other survey materials. This report includes the final sample disposition, and the results for every question in the survey for licensed and unlicensed caregivers.

- **Appendix to the BRM3 Data Report**

The appendix to the survey data report includes a frequency tabulation of all survey questions for all survey respondents. There are also frequency tabulations for all survey questions for licensed caregivers, and for unlicensed caregivers.

Profile

Title: 2009 Survey of Foster Parents and Relative Caregivers in Washington State

Abstract: The SESRC has contracted to conduct an annual, independent, statistically valid, anonymous telephone survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State for the DSHS Children's Administration. The main purpose of the survey is to obtain annual information that is helpful to all areas of the Braam Settlement related to caregivers' work with foster children and associated outcomes and action steps. In developing the survey design, questionnaire, and procedures, the SESRC consulted with the Braam Oversight Panel, the Washington State Foster Parent's Association, the Children's Administration (CA) Youth Advisory Group, and the foster parent liaisons. The first wave of the survey was conducted in 2007 (SESRC Data Report #07-048) with a second wave in the spring of 2008 (SESRC Data Report #08-044). The third wave of the telephone survey began on June 12, 2009 and continued through August 13, 2009, with a random sample of 2816 foster parents and relative caregivers throughout Washington State. A total of 1224 interviews (and 18 partially completed interviews) were obtained from this sample.

Method: The study protocol included mailing an introductory letter to 2816 foster parents prior to the start of the survey. Telephone interviews were conducted using a computer assisted telephone interview (CATI) system, and a call scheduler to ensure that up to 10 call attempts were made to reach each foster parent. The telephone interview averaged 20 minutes to complete.

Results: The SESRC completed 1224 (and 18 partial) interviews over the 9-week calling period. The cooperation rate for the telephone interview was **90.4%**. The overall response rate was **59.1%**; yielding an overall sample error of **±2.5%**.

Timeframe: June 2009 to August 2009

Contract with: Department of Social and Health Services – Children's Administration

PI: John Tarnai, Ph.D.
Study Director: Bruce Austin, MS

SESRC Acronym: BRM3
Data Report #: 09-040
SESRC Number: 0500

Deliverables: Data Report, outcomes report, appendices, frequency listing, a copy of the telephone questionnaire and copies of all study implementation documents.

Project Accountability

SESRC is committed to high quality and timely delivery of project results. The SESRC team members responsible for these goals for this survey project are listed below.

| Staff Member | Areas of Accountability | Project Elements |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| John Tarnai | Principal Investigator | Assurance of survey research protocol, sample design, project and instruments design |
| Rita Koontz | Administrative Services Manager | Administration of contract for Washington State University |
| Bruce Austin | Study Director and Data Manager | Project management and coordination of survey tasks, data report preparation, creation and management of CATI. Data cleaning, data management and frequency listing. |
| Marion Schultz | Data Collection Manager | Supervise Data Collection Unit and ensure calling deadlines are met and procedures are followed. |
| Jessie Aguilar, Tony Hernandez, James Segota | Data Collection Supervisors | Training, interviewing, monitoring, data entry |

SESRC Professional Staff

All of the work conducted at the Social & Economic Sciences Research Center is the result of a cooperative effort made by a team of dedicated research professionals. The research in this report could not have been conducted without the efforts of interviewers and part-time personnel not listed.

Principal Investigators and Study Directors

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| John Tarnai, Ph.D. | Director |
| Don A. Dillman, Ph.D. | Deputy Director for Research & Development |
| Danna L. Moore, Ph. D. | Associate Director |
| Paul Stern, M.A. | Sr. Research Associate, Vancouver |
| Rose Krebill-Prather, Ph. D. | Research Associate |
| Kirby Pitman, MA | Research Associate, Vancouver |
| Kent Miller, M.A. | Study Director/Mail Survey Manager |
| Thom Allen, B.A. | Study Director II/Special Programs Manager |
| Tim Faiella, M.A. | Study Director |
| Yi-Jen Wang, M.A. | Study Director |

Administrative Support

| | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Rita Koontz | Department Administrative Manager |
| Jessanne Price | Fiscal Analyst II |
| Jaime Colyar, B.A. | Fiscal Specialist I |
| Lisa Brooks, B.A. | Research Associate, Olympia |
| Vanessa Jodway | Social Scientific Assistant, Olympia |

Data Collection and Interviewer Supervision

| | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Marion Schultz, M.A | Data Collection Manager |
| Tony Hernandez | Research Survey Supervisor |
| Jessie Paulson, B.A. | Research Survey Supervisor |
| James Segota | Research Survey Supervisor |
| Pat Slinkard | Social Scientific Assistant |

Data Management, Analysis, and Network Support

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Nikolay Ponomarev, Ph. D. | Sr. Research Programmer/Database Architect |
| Bruce Austin, M.S. | Information Systems Coordinator/Data Analyst |
| Leona Ding, M.S. | Information Systems Coordinator/Data Analyst |
| Vincent Kok, B.A. | Information Systems Coordinator/Network Administrator |
| David Schultz, B.S. | Information Systems Coordinator/Data Analyst |
| Dan Vakoch, M.S. | Information Systems Coordinator/Data Analyst |
| Jack E. Ambrose, B.S. | Systems Analyst/Programmer |
| Candiya Mann, M.A. | Research Associate, Olympia |

Table of Contents

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| SESRC Project Profile..... | ii |
| Project Accountability | iii |
| SESCR Professional Staff..... | iv |
| I. SURVEY ADMINISTRATION AND DESIGN | 1 |
| Study Background and Survey Objectives | 1 |
| Population and Sample..... | 2 |
| Questionnaire Design..... | 4 |
| II. SURVEY IMPLEMENTATION AND PROCEDURES | 6 |
| Human Subjects Research Review | 6 |
| Progression of Survey | 6 |
| Data Entry and Data Management | 9 |
| III. CASE DISPOSITION AND RESPONSE RATE | 10 |
| Response Rates | 10 |
| Sample Error | 13 |
| IV. SURVEY RESULTS | 14 |
| Study Limitations and Corrections from Wave 2..... | 15 |
| Survey Crosstabulations..... | 16 |
| V. SURVEY DOCUMENTATION | 77 |
| Advance Letter | 78 |
| Questionnaire | 96 |
| Question Names by Wave..... | 97 |
| Interviewer Training Materials (FAQ's)..... | 105 |
| Voice Mail Message..... | 107 |
| Survey Datasets | 108 |

List of Tables

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Survey Population and Sample Plan | 3 |
| 2. Telephone Sample Disposition Summary | 11 |
| 3. Detailed Telephone Sample Disposition..... | 12 |
| 4. Final Number of Completed Telephone Interviews | 13 |

SURVEY ADMINISTRATION AND DESIGN

Study Background and Survey Objectives

The SESRC designed and conducted an independent, statistically valid, anonymous telephone survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State. This was the third year for this survey that has been conducted annually for the DSHS Children's Administration. This third wave of the survey was conducted during the summer of 2009 and asked foster parents about their experiences during the last half (July through December) of 2008.

The main purpose of the survey was to obtain information that is helpful to all areas of the Braam Settlement Agreement related to caregivers' work with foster children and associated outcomes and action steps. The foster parent survey addresses all areas of the Braam Settlement Agreement: placement stability, mental health, foster parent training and information, unsafe and inappropriate placements, sibling separation, and services to adolescents. Several types of questions are included in the survey:

- Monitoring- Certain questions in the foster parent survey are being used by the Braam Oversight Panel to monitor Children's Administration's progress toward eight of the outcomes specified in the Braam Implementation Plan. These specific questions are used to calculate outcomes data as described in the document entitled "Braam Outcomes Report for the 2009 Survey of Foster Parents and Caregivers in Washington State" and the accompanying appendix.
- Informational- Numerous items within the foster parent survey are related to the goals of the Braam Settlement Agreement, but will not be used by the Braam Panel to monitor compliance with the agreement. In these areas, these data will be used by Children's Administration, providers, and stakeholders to better understand the experiences of foster parents and inform practice improvement. Data on all questions in the foster parent survey are provided in the document entitled "2009 Survey of Foster Parents and Caregivers in Washington State."
- Demographic and background information- The foster parent survey includes a number of demographic and background questions.

In developing the survey design, questionnaire, and procedures in the first year, the SESRC consulted with the Braam Oversight Panel, the Foster Parent Association of Washington State (FPAWS), the Children's Administration (CA) including the foster parent liaisons, and the Braam Survey Advisory Group. The survey questionnaire was extensively reviewed by foster parents, Braam Panel members, Children's Administration staff, and Braam plaintiffs' attorneys, to ensure that it would provide adequate measures of specific Braam Panel benchmarks over time.

* The Braam Settlement Agreement is available online at
<http://www.braampanel.org/settlement.asp>

** The Braam Implementation Plan is available online at
<http://www.braampanel.org/reports.asp>

Population and Sample

The population for this survey is all foster parents and relative caregivers who have cared for a child or children placed in state custody in Washington State. The sampling frame for this survey is the list of all foster parents and relative caregivers maintained by the State of Washington in March 2009.

The sample plan was designed to represent all six DSHS regions in proportion to their representation in all of Washington State. The sample includes caregivers certified through private agencies as well as those licensed by the state. The sample also includes those who are unlicensed relative caregivers and those registered with the state.

Inactive relative caregivers as well as duplicate listings (which were likely caused by a change in license status, and which were determined by name, telephone, and address matches) were removed from the sample before the cases were received by SESRC in March of 2009. This reduced the survey population to 5,632 caregivers (3,035 licensed caregivers and 2,597 unlicensed caregivers). Half of these cases were then randomly selected to produce a working sample of 2816 cases. Since all 1242 completed interviews were obtained from this sample, the remaining half of the population was not needed for the survey.

The tables below show counts and proportions for the population and sample, as well as the maximum sampling error by region and type. The population consists of all caregivers with at least one child in an open placement episode in their care for at least 60 days between 7/1/2008 and 12/31/2008.

Table 1. Survey Population and Sample Plan

| <i>Survey Population by Region and Type</i> | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Region | FH (DLR Licensed) | PA (Private Agency) | RP (Unlicensed) | Totals |
| 1 | 461 | 74 | 460 | 995 |
| 2 | 250 | 124 | 251 | 625 |
| 3 | 322 | 150 | 552 | 1024 |
| 4 | 238 | 220 | 505 | 963 |
| 5 | 203 | 355 | 492 | 1050 |
| 6 | 528 | 110 | 337 | 975 |
| Total | 2002 | 1033 | 2597 | 5632 |

| <i>Population Proportions by Region and Type</i> | | | | |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Region | FH (DLR Licensed) | PA (Private Agency) | RP (Unlicensed) | Totals |
| 1 | 23.03 | 7.16 | 17.71 | 17.67 |
| 2 | 12.49 | 12.00 | 9.66 | 11.10 |
| 3 | 16.08 | 14.52 | 21.26 | 18.18 |
| 4 | 11.89 | 21.30 | 19.45 | 17.10 |
| 5 | 10.14 | 34.37 | 18.94 | 18.64 |
| 6 | 26.37 | 10.65 | 12.98 | 17.31 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

| <i>Actual Sample by Region and Type</i> | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| Region | FH (DLR Licensed) | PA (Private Agency) | RP (Unlicensed Rel/Sig Prsn) | Totals |
| 1 | 245 | 35 | 227 | 507 |
| 2 | 134 | 53 | 123 | 310 |
| 3 | 163 | 65 | 260 | 488 |
| 4 | 123 | 104 | 260 | 487 |
| 5 | 108 | 182 | 244 | 534 |
| 6 | 263 | 51 | 176 | 490 |
| Total | 1036 | 490 | 1290 | 2816 |

| Number of Completed and Partially Completed Telephone Interviews | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Region | FH (DLR Licensed) | PA (Private Agency) | RP (Unlicensed) | Totals | Max SE* |
| 1 | 150 | 21 | 78 | 249 | 5.5% |
| 2 | 86 | 25 | 43 | 154 | 7.02% |
| 3 | 95 | 37 | 69 | 201 | 6.34% |
| 4 | 62 | 51 | 46 | 159 | 7.27% |
| 5 | 69 | 91 | 61 | 221 | 5.99% |
| 6 | 168 | 30 | 60 | 258 | 5.35% |
| Total | 630 | 255 | 357 | 1242 | 2.5% |
| Max SE* | 3.3% | 5.45% | 4.92% | 2.5% | |

*SE = Sample Error at 95% Confidence Level – See Section on Sample Error below.

Questionnaire Design

The questionnaire content areas correspond to specific issues raised either in the Braam Settlement, by Plaintiffs, the Braam Panel, or the Survey Advisory Group:

Questionnaire – Content Areas

Background Questions

Child Demographics

Sibling Visits (Outcome E.2.1)

Adequate Information (Outcome C.1.3)

Same Behavioral Health Provider (Outcome B.4.1)

Adequate Support – A (Outcome C.1.2)

Adequate Support – B (Outcome D.1.6)

Medically Fragile Placements (Outcome D.1.5)

Physically Assaultive Youth - PAY Questions (Outcome D.1.4)

Sexually Aggressive Youth - SAY Questions (Outcome D.1.3)

Adequate Support – C (Outcome C.1.2)

Adequate Training for Role Responsibilities (C.1.1)

Demographics

The SESRC worked with the Braam Oversight Panel and CA staff, foster parent liaisons, and the Plaintiff's attorneys to review and revise the questionnaire used in the 2009 survey. The overall effort was intended to shorten the length of the survey and eliminate a number of questions no longer deemed useful as well as to incorporate questions from wave one that were not asked in wave 2. Seventy-seven (77) questions were kept from wave 2 to wave 3. Two (2) questions used in wave 1 but not wave 2 were incorporated back into wave 3. One hundred ten (110) questions were deleted from wave 2, and forty-three (43) new questions were added to the survey for wave 3. Since comparisons in results will be made over time, the question numbers were not changed. The appendix of variable names at the end of this document lists each question name along with the wave or waves in which they appear.

The SESRC data manager made the programming changes to the CATI from the 2008 survey and then SESRC staff members tested the CATI before any calling began. The finalized CATI for the 2009 survey was translated into Spanish by SESRC staff to accommodate those respondents who primarily speak Spanish and preferred to complete the interview in Spanish.

SURVEY IMPLEMENTATION AND PROCEDURES

Human Subjects Research Review

This survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State is for evaluation purposes only and not for research purposes. Therefore, no further IRB approval was needed through WSU.

Progression of Survey

Several procedures were implemented that helped to build a rapport with respondents and to ensure that respondents felt comfortable with the survey. Information about the survey was placed on the BRAAM website prior to the beginning of the survey. An advance letter was sent to all foster parents in the sample approximately one week before the beginning of telephone interviews. The purpose of the advance letter was to let foster parents know that an SESRC interviewer would be calling and to inform them about the topic of the survey. A toll-free 800-telephone number that foster parents could call in to ask questions about the survey was maintained during the calling period. The SESRC telephone Interviewers were provided with a "Frequently Asked Questions" reference sheet to help answer questions that foster parents may have about the survey.

A particular concern for this survey was to put in place several safeguards to guarantee confidentiality in responding and anonymity in survey results, so that foster parents felt comfortable in responding to the survey honestly. To ensure that survey respondents could not be identified from their survey responses the SESRC followed federal guidelines for protection of human subjects, and removed all identifiers from the survey dataset.

Additionally, the survey dataset is maintained in a secure location at SESRC and no survey datasets will be released without prior written approval from the Braam Oversight Panel.

Interviewer Training. The initial project training for interviewers was held on June 12, 2009 and additional training sessions were held as needed throughout the data collection period to train new interviewers for the study or clarify interviewing circumstances. All interviewers selected to work on this project received a minimum of eight hours of basic interview training and an additional hour of project specific training. The project training

included background information, purpose of the study, definitions, and a review of the questions and content of this survey. In addition, interviewers practiced a minimum of one hour on the CATI questionnaire before calling on the actual survey. At all times during the course of training and project calling, one or more supervisors were always available to provide quality control and to respond to interviewers' needs and questions. Overall, the SESRC trained 3 supervisors and 38 telephone interviewers to conduct the telephone calls and interviews for this survey.

Telephone Interviews. The calling on the full study commenced after the training on June 12, 2009 and calling was completed on August 13, 2009. The average number of call attempts across all cases was six, while all active cases received a minimum of 10 attempts. Call attempts were made at different times of the day and on different days of the week in order to maximize the likelihood of reaching respondents. At least three evening call attempts were made and two daytime call attempts. At least one call attempt was made on the weekend. If an interviewer called at an inconvenient time for the respondent, the interviewer attempted to schedule a specific time to re-contact the household for an interview. If an answering machine (AM) was encountered, interviewers were instructed to leave the first scripted answering machine message. If an answering message was left, the phone number was held for three days and then called on again. A second AM message was left for each phone number where an answering machine was encountered after another call attempt was made where no message was left.

Approximately 15,554 calls were made during the calling period in order to conduct the 1242 interviews. The average length for a completed interview was 20 minutes. Interviewers made an average of 15 calls per hour during the calling period. Approximately 1.66 interviews were completed per hour or one interview every 35 minutes.

Interviewer Monitoring. To maintain data quality and continuity in the telephone data collection process, interviewer performance was regularly monitored and measured. One of the main purposes of monitoring is to minimize interviewer effects. Interviewers are scored on specific factors that measure proper interviewing techniques. The two principles that guide the training and scoring of interviews are: (1) respondents should receive information that is delivered by the interviewer in an unbiased manner; and (2) every respondent should receive the same stimulus from each interviewer. These principles translate into six basic interviewing rules that are used as factors by the monitor for scoring an interview:

- Rule 1: The reading of each question is exactly as it is written and in the order in which it appears in the questionnaire.
- Rule 2: Never skip a question.
- Rule 3: Accurate recording of all responses.
- Rule 4: Standard neutral feedback phrases such as "Thank you. That's important information" or "I see" are given as acceptable responses.
- Rule 5: Standard neutral cues or probes such as "Could you tell me more about that" or "Which would be closer to the way you feel?" are given to the respondent to help him/her give more complete answers to questions.
- Rule 6: Accurately record the outcome of each call

Telephone interviews were monitored throughout the survey period. In total, 73 interviews were monitored which represents 6% of the total number of interviews.

Non-Working Number Protocol. SESRC tried several different methods for finding new phone numbers in cases where non-working numbers were encountered (either disconnected phone lines or incorrect phone numbers). The first method used was to have interviewers call directory assistance to request a new phone number immediately upon learning a sample number was not valid. Any new phone numbers obtained by directory assistance were immediately called to check if the new number was accurate. If accurate, that new phone number was used for future call attempts. Internet look-ups were employed for cases where no new working phone number could be obtained through directory assistance.

Once all cases had received a minimum of one attempt, all non-working cases were reviewed to determine that directory assistance and internet look-ups had been performed

in an attempt to obtain new phone numbers. The remaining non-working cases were then sent to Children's Administration for checking against their data bases based on the Business ID in the sample. On June 29, 2009, 376 non-working cases were sent to CA, which returned 221 cases with new phone numbers. Another set of non-working cases, totaling 346, was sent to Children's Administration on July 28, 2009. CA returned 54 cases with new phone numbers.

If the original sample number and all new phone numbers proved to be non-working the CATI system held the case for two weeks, after which the sample phone numbers were recalled. If the numbers were still non-working the case was retired and listed in the disposition as part of the non-working number group. As telephone numbers were attempted with each case, documentation was left in the CATI system's F9 notes to keep track of the success or failure of each particular phone number in the sample for that case.

Lack of current phone numbers for foster parents and caregivers is not an indication that DSHS is unable to contact children and youth in out-of-home care. Explanations for the non-working and missing phone numbers include that the caregivers may have discontinued providing care since 2008 and their phone numbers had changed, phone numbers may have changed between the time the sample was pulled and the caregiver was called, or the numbers could have been misdialled or entered incorrectly into the CAMIS database. Nonworking phone numbers came predominantly from the relative caregiver portion of the sample.

Data Entry and Data Management

All SESRC interviews were conducted from the Public Opinion Laboratory (POL) of SESRC using a Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) system from the Voxco Company. The CATI system displays survey questions on a computer monitor from which the interviewer can read the question to the respondent and then enter the response directly into the CATI database for storage on the server computer. Data files were collected at the conclusion of the survey and archived to CD-ROM for permanent storage at SESRC.

CASE DISPOSITION AND RESPONSE RATE

Response Rates

Two kinds of response rates for the survey sample were calculated from the number of completed interviews obtained and are presented in Table 2. The **cooperation rate** (CR) is the ratio of the number of completed and partially completed interviews plus ineligible to the number of completed, partially completed, ineligible and refusal cases. The formula for calculating the cooperation rate is:

$$\frac{(CM+PC)}{[(CM+PC+RF)]}$$

where CM = 1224, number of completed interviews
 PC = 18, number of partially completed interviews
 RF = 132, number of refusals

For the total fielded sample, the **cooperation rate** was **90.4%**.

The AAPOR **response rate** (RR4) is the ratio of the number of completed and partially completed interviews, to the number of completed, partially completed, eligible non-interviews and the product of the unknown eligibility non-interviews times the eligibility estimate. The eligibility estimate is the proportion of cases determined to be eligible by the total number of cases for which eligibility has been determine (eligible plus ineligible). The formula for calculating the response rate is provided below, and is derived from the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR):

$$\frac{CM+PC}{CM + PC + RF + OT + e*NC}$$

where CM = 1224, number of completed interviews
 PC = 18, number of partially completed interviews
 RF = 132, number of refusals
 NC = 22, non-contact
 UE = 491, non-interview, unknown eligibility
 NW = 385, non-interview, non-working/missing information
 OT = 14, Other
 e = .739, estimated eligibility

$$e = \frac{CM + PC + RF + OT}{CM + PC + RF + OT + IE}$$

where IE = 487, number of known ineligible.

For the total fielded sample, the **response rate (RR4)** was **59.1%**

Table 2. Telephone Sample Disposition Summary

| | <i>Disposition Category</i> | <i>Number</i> |
|----|---|---------------|
| CM | Completed Interviews | 1224 |
| PC | Partial Interviews | 18 |
| RF | Refusals | 132 |
| NC | Non Contact | 74 |
| OT | Other Non Contact | 5 |
| UE | Unknown | 491 |
| IE | Ineligible (no children in foster care in 2008) | 487 |
| NW | Non Working | 385 |
| | Total | 2816 |

| | | |
|------------|--|--------------|
| e | Estimated Eligibility Proportion | .739 |
| CR | $= (CM+PC)/(CM+PC+RF)$ | 90.4% |
| RR4 | $= (CM+PC)/(CM+PC+RF+NC+OT+e*(UE+NW))$ | 59.1% |

Table 3. Detailed Telephone Sample Dispositions

| | <i>Count</i> |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Total Sample | 2816 |
| Interview | |
| Completed Interviews | 1224 |
| Partials | 18 |
| Refusals | |
| Refusals - Hang Ups | 2 |
| Hard refusals | 130 |
| Ineligible | |
| Not a Foster Parent After June 2008 | 121 |
| Children are in Group Home | 330 |
| Complete Ineligible | 36 |
| Self Identified Duplicates | 8 |
| Non-Contact | |
| Call-backs | 31 |
| Respondent Never Available | 26 |
| Always Busy | 28 |
| No Answer | 53 |
| Telephone Answering Machine | 409 |
| Blocked Call | 18 |
| Other Non-Contact | |
| Language Barrier | 5 |
| Other | 2 |
| Non-Working Non-Contact | |
| Disconnected | 138 |
| Wrong Number | 138 |
| Missing Phone Number | 26 |
| Cannot be Completed as Dialed | 56 |
| Electronic Device | 17 |

Sample Error

Sample error is a measure of the degree to which a randomly selected sample of respondents represents the population from which it is drawn. Sampling error also is the basis upon which tests of statistical significance are calculated. One formula for calculating an estimate of the sampling error for a proportion at the 95 percent confidence level is presented below, and this can be used to calculate the sampling error for survey results in this report.

$$SE = 2\sqrt{\frac{pq}{(n-1)}\left(\frac{N-n}{N}\right)}$$

Where: SE= sample error

p = Expected proportion of "yes" responses for a specific question = 0.50

q = Expected proportion of "no" responses for a specific question = 0.50

n = Sample size = number of completed interviews for a specific question

N = Population size for the survey

The table below shows the maximum sample errors for the survey results in each of the six DSHS regions, and for license type. The maximum sample error for the entire survey of 1242 respondents is no larger than plus or minus 2.5%. This means that we can have 95% confidence that sample results for all 1242 respondents do not deviate from the population of all caregivers in the state by more than 2.5%. The sample errors shown in the table are estimates for questions with two response categories and the indicated sample sizes. Sample errors vary for each question, with the result dependent on the number of response categories, the population size, and the number of respondents answering the question.

Table 4. Final Number of Completed Telephone Interviews

| Region | FH (DLR Licensed) | PA (Private Agency) | RP (Unlicensed) | Totals | Max SE* |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------|---------|
| 1 | 150 | 21 | 78 | 249 | 5.5% |
| 2 | 86 | 25 | 43 | 154 | 7.02% |
| 3 | 95 | 37 | 69 | 201 | 6.34% |
| 4 | 62 | 51 | 46 | 159 | 7.27% |
| 5 | 69 | 91 | 61 | 221 | 5.99% |
| 6 | 168 | 30 | 60 | 258 | 5.35% |
| Total | 630 | 255 | 357 | 1242 | 2.5% |
| Max SE* | 3.3% | 5.45% | 4.92% | 2.5% | |

*SE = Sample Error at 95% Confidence Level

SURVEY RESULTS

The survey results are presented in the following pages for all 1242 foster parents and relative caregivers who participated in the survey and fully or partially completed the survey. The data are crosstabbed to show results for licensed and unlicensed caregivers, and for the all respondents. Percentages reported in the tables that follow sum to 100% for each column in each table. Only non-missing values are shown in the tables; respondents who skipped questions or who did not answer a question are not shown in the tables that follow.

The appendix to this report displays survey results separately for licensed and unlicensed caregivers as well as for all respondents, and includes all skipped and missing values.

A note about the difference between "percent" and "valid percent" in the data tables contained in this report.

The survey result tables show two different percent values that differ in whether or not the missing values and skips are included in the calculation of the percentages.

The "**percent**" column includes the missing and skips in the calculation.

The "**valid percent**" does not include the missing and skips in the calculation.

In most instances, the "valid percent" is the appropriate measure to use, since this shows the percent of only those respondents that answered the question.

There are three kinds of "**missing**" values reported for survey questions:

- Don't Know – when a respondent answers with "don't know"
- Refused – when a respondent says they don't want to answer
- System – refers to missing values that occur because of instructions in the survey to skip certain questions that don't apply to all respondents

Study Limitations and Corrections from Wave 2

- In the first two survey waves, if respondents had a child they considered medically fragile in their home, they were asked to respond to questions Q34 through Q39 with respect to that child. However, this resulted in an oversample of children with significant medical needs which may have had an impact on results of questions in other areas (such as the child's visitation and placement with siblings or the preparedness of the caregiver). This problem was corrected in the Wave 3 survey so that medically fragile children were not oversampled, but were selected at the same rate as all foster children.
- There were no directions in the Wave 2 survey for caregivers to exclude children who were in a guardianship relationship with the respondent when answering the questions about medically fragile children. The current Wave 3 version of the survey corrected for this issue by specifying that respondents should only include "those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship" while answering the questions about medically fragile children.
- Certain terms used in the interviews (e.g., "medically fragile" and "temporary or emergency care") were not defined in the Wave 2 version of the survey. This was corrected in the current Wave 3 survey with specific questions providing detailed definitions for these terms. This change was done in order to ensure that respondents were not interpreting these questions differently, which could have resulted in inconsistencies and bias in the results.

Note for Q53

CA policy currently requires monthly visits for all children in out-of-home care. These visits include individual and private time with the child to discuss the child's situation. For children who are non-verbal, this individual and private part of the monthly visit is not expected.

Survey Crosstabs - By Type of Caregiver

INTERVIEW RESULT CODE * Type Crosstabulation

| | | Type | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------|--------|-------|
| | | Licensed | Unlicensed | Total | |
| INTERVIEW RESULT CODE | Fully Completed Interview | Count | 873 | 351 | 1224 |
| | | % within Type | 98.6% | 98.3% | 98.6% |
| | Partial Complete | Count | 12 | 6 | 18 |
| | | % within Type | 1.4% | 1.7% | 1.4% |
| Total | Count | 885 | 357 | 1242 | |
| | % within Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

PLACEMENT TYPE -- SAMPLE * Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Type | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|------------|-------|
| | | | Licensed | Unlicensed | Total |
| PLACEMENT TYPE -- SAMPLE | FH | Count | 630 | 0 | 630 |
| | | % within Type | 71.2% | .0% | 50.7% |
| | PA | Count | 255 | 0 | 255 |
| | | % within Type | 28.8% | .0% | 20.5% |
| | RP | Count | 0 | 357 | 357 |
| | | % within Type | .0% | 100.0% | 28.7% |
| Total | Count | 885 | 357 | 1242 | |
| | % within Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

REGION -- SAMPLE * Type Crosstabulation

| | | Type | | | |
|---------------------|---|---------------|------------|--------|--------|
| | | Licensed | Unlicensed | Total | |
| REGION -- SAMPLE | 1 | Count | 171 | 78 | 249 |
| | | % within Type | 19.3% | 21.8% | 20.0% |
| | 2 | Count | 111 | 43 | 154 |
| | | % within Type | 12.5% | 12.0% | 12.4% |
| | 3 | Count | 132 | 69 | 201 |
| | | % within Type | 14.9% | 19.3% | 16.2% |
| | 4 | Count | 113 | 46 | 159 |
| | | % within Type | 12.8% | 12.9% | 12.8% |
| | 5 | Count | 160 | 61 | 221 |
| | | % within Type | 18.1% | 17.1% | 17.8% |
| | 6 | Count | 198 | 60 | 258 |
| | | % within Type | 22.4% | 16.8% | 20.8% |
| Total | | Count | 885 | 357 | 1242 |
| | | % within Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q01 First, I have just a few questions about your role as a foster parent or caregiver. Between July and December of 2008 did you care for any children placed by DCFS? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q01 First, I have just a few questions about your role as a foster parent or caregiver. Between July and December of 2008 did you care for any children placed by DCFS? | 1 Yes | Count | 885 | 357 | 1242 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Total | | Count | 885 | 357 | 1242 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for between July and December of 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|---|----|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total | |
| Q02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for between July and December of 2008? | 1 | Count | 255 | 202 | 457 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 29.0% | 56.6% | 37.0% |
| | 2 | Count | 276 | 101 | 377 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 31.4% | 28.3% | 30.5% |
| | 3 | Count | 142 | 37 | 179 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 16.2% | 10.4% | 14.5% |
| | 4 | Count | 95 | 8 | 103 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.8% | 2.2% | 8.3% |
| | 5 | Count | 46 | 5 | 51 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.2% | 1.4% | 4.1% |
| | 6 | Count | 30 | 3 | 33 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.4% | .8% | 2.7% |
| | 7 | Count | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.1% | .3% | .9% |
| | 8 | Count | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .8% | .0% | .6% |
| | 9 | Count | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .5% | .0% | .3% |
| | 10 | Count | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .5% | .0% | .3% |
| | 12 | Count | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .2% |
| | 13 | Count | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .2% |
| | 15 | Count | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .2% |
| | 20 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .1% | .0% | .1% |
| | 30 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .1% | .0% | .1% |
| | 60 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .1% | .0% | .1% |
| Total | | Count | 878 | 357 | 1235 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q03 (Was this child/Were these children) that you cared for between July and December of 2008 in a guardianship or adopted by you? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q03 (Was this child/Were these children) that you cared for between July and December of 2008 in a guardianship or adopted by you? | 2 Some were and some weren't | Count | 158 | 18 | 176 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 17.9% | 5.1% | 14.2% |
| | 3 No | Count | 727 | 336 | 1063 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 82.1% | 94.9% | 85.8% |
| Total | Count | 885 | 354 | 1239 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q04 Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q04 Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home? | 1 Yes | Count | 884 | 357 | 1241 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Total | Count | 884 | 357 | 1241 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q05 Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed by DCFS, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during the period of July 1, 2008 and December 31, 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q05 Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed by DCFS, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during the period of July 1, 2008 and December 31, 2008? | 1 One | Count | 356 | 216 | 572 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 40.5% | 60.5% | 46.3% |
| | 2 Two | Count | 280 | 98 | 378 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 31.9% | 27.5% | 30.6% |
| | 3 Three | Count | 136 | 28 | 164 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 15.5% | 7.8% | 13.3% |
| | 4 Four | Count | 63 | 7 | 70 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 7.2% | 2.0% | 5.7% |
| | 5 Five | Count | 20 | 5 | 25 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.3% | 1.4% | 2.0% |
| | 6 Six | Count | 17 | 2 | 19 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.9% | .6% | 1.5% |
| | 7 Seven | Count | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .1% | .3% | .2% |
| | 8 Eight | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .1% | .0% | .1% |
| | 9 Nine | Count | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .6% | .0% | .4% |
| Total | Count | 879 | 357 | 1236 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q06 Were you licensed through the Division of Licensed Resources, known as DLR, or through a Private Agency, or were you not licensed? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q06 Were you licensed through the Division of Licensed Resources, known as DLR, or through a Private Agency, or were you not licensed? | 1 DLR | Count | 619 | 23 | 642 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 72.0% | 6.6% | 53.1% |
| | 2 Private Agency | Count | 239 | 6 | 245 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 27.8% | 1.7% | 20.2% |
| | 3 Not licensed | Count | 2 | 321 | 323 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | 91.7% | 26.7% |
| Total | Count | 860 | 350 | 1210 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q07N Did you care for any children of a different ethnicity than you? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q07N Did you care for any children of a different ethnicity than you? | 1 Yes | Count | 512 | 68 | 580 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 58.3% | 19.3% | 47.2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 366 | 284 | 650 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 41.7% | 80.7% | 52.8% |
| Total | Count | | 878 | 352 | 1230 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q40A I am going to refer to the child you chose as 'this child.' Do you have a child in mind? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q40A I am going to refer to the child you chose as 'this child.' Do you have a child in mind? | 1 Press ENTER to Continue | Count | 529 | 141 | 670 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Total | Count | | 529 | 141 | 670 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q40B The next set of questions are about the one child placed by DCFS, who has spent longer than 60 days in your home during the last half of 2008. * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q40B The next set of questions are about the one child placed by DCFS, who has spent longer than 60 days in your home during the last half of 2008. | 1 Press ENTER to Continue | Count | 356 | 216 | 572 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Total | Count | | 356 | 216 | 572 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q40 Can you please tell me whether this child is male or female? * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q40 Can you please tell me whether this child is male or female? | 1 Male | Count | 445 | 175 | 620 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 50.3% | 49.2% | 50.0% |
| | 2 Female | Count | 440 | 181 | 621 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 49.7% | 50.8% | 50.0% |
| Total | Count | | 885 | 356 | 1241 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q41 How old is this child? (IWR: Please enter age in years) * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------|-------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total | |
| Q41 How old is this child? (IWR: Please enter age in years) | 0 | Count | 26 | 6 | 32 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.0% | 1.7% | 2.6% |
| | 1 | Count | 118 | 35 | 153 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.4% | 9.8% | 12.4% |
| | 2 | Count | 96 | 49 | 145 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.9% | 13.8% | 11.7% |
| | 3 | Count | 61 | 43 | 104 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.9% | 12.1% | 8.4% |
| | 4 | Count | 48 | 33 | 81 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.4% | 9.3% | 6.5% |
| | 5 | Count | 61 | 29 | 90 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.9% | 8.1% | 7.3% |
| | 6 | Count | 34 | 14 | 48 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.9% | 3.9% | 3.9% |
| | 7 | Count | 47 | 19 | 66 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.3% | 5.3% | 5.3% |
| | 8 | Count | 36 | 21 | 57 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.1% | 5.9% | 4.6% |
| | 9 | Count | 45 | 14 | 59 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 5.1% | 3.9% | 4.8% | |
| 10 | Count | 24 | 15 | 39 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 2.7% | 4.2% | 3.2% | |
| 11 | Count | 27 | 11 | 38 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 3.1% | 3.1% | 3.1% | |
| 12 | Count | 47 | 13 | 60 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 5.3% | 3.7% | 4.9% | |
| 13 | Count | 38 | 12 | 50 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 4.3% | 3.4% | 4.0% | |
| 14 | Count | 25 | 11 | 36 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 2.8% | 3.1% | 2.9% | |
| 15 | Count | 35 | 12 | 47 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 4.0% | 3.4% | 3.8% | |
| 16 | Count | 43 | 5 | 48 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 4.9% | 1.4% | 3.9% | |
| 17 | Count | 49 | 10 | 59 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 5.6% | 2.8% | 4.8% | |
| 18 | Count | 21 | 4 | 25 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 2.4% | 1.1% | 2.0% | |
| Total | Count | 881 | 356 | 1237 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH) *
Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH) | 1 January | Count | 48 | 22 | 70 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.6% | 6.5% | 5.8% |
| | 2 February | Count | 49 | 35 | 84 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.7% | 10.3% | 7.0% |
| | 3 March | Count | 54 | 28 | 82 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.3% | 8.2% | 6.8% |
| | 4 April | Count | 86 | 33 | 119 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.0% | 9.7% | 9.9% |
| | 5 May | Count | 73 | 20 | 93 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.5% | 5.9% | 7.8% |
| | 6 June | Count | 70 | 43 | 113 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.2% | 12.6% | 9.4% |
| | 7 July | Count | 80 | 30 | 110 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 9.3% | 8.8% | 9.2% |
| | 8 August | Count | 105 | 38 | 143 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 12.3% | 11.1% | 11.9% |
| | 9 September | Count | 80 | 30 | 110 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 9.3% | 8.8% | 9.2% |
| | 10 October | Count | 89 | 33 | 122 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.4% | 9.7% | 10.2% |
| | 11 November | Count | 67 | 18 | 85 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 7.8% | 5.3% | 7.1% |
| | 12 December | Count | 56 | 11 | 67 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.5% | 3.2% | 5.6% |
| Total | Count | 857 | 341 | 1198 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

**Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR) *
 Caregiver Type Crosstabulation**

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|--|------|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total | |
| Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR) | 1997 | Count | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .2% |
| | 1998 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .1% | .0% | .1% |
| | 2000 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .1% | .0% | .1% |
| | 2001 | Count | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .7% | .0% | .5% |
| | 2002 | Count | 12 | 1 | 13 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.4% | .3% | 1.1% |
| | 2003 | Count | 9 | 1 | 10 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.0% | .3% | .8% |
| | 2004 | Count | 23 | 7 | 30 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.6% | 2.0% | 2.5% |
| | 2005 | Count | 39 | 16 | 55 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.5% | 4.6% | 4.5% |
| | 2006 | Count | 93 | 42 | 135 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.6% | 12.1% | 11.1% |
| | 2007 | Count | 229 | 97 | 326 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 26.2% | 28.0% | 26.7% |
| | 2008 | Count | 458 | 183 | 641 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 52.4% | 52.7% | 52.5% |
| | 2009 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .1% | .0% | .1% |
| Total | | Count | 874 | 347 | 1221 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q43_AmerInd Child's Race/Ethnicity: American Indian/Native American * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q43_AmerInd Child's Race/Ethnicity: American Indian/Native American | 1 Yes | Count | 130 | 52 | 182 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 14.8% | 14.6% | 14.7% |
| | 2 No | Count | 749 | 304 | 1053 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 85.2% | 85.4% | 85.3% |
| Total | | Count | 879 | 356 | 1235 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q43_Black Child's Race/Ethnicity: Black or African American * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q43_Black Child's Race/Ethnicity: Black or African American | 1 Yes | Count | 135 | 44 | 179 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 15.4% | 12.4% | 14.5% |
| | 2 No | Count | 744 | 312 | 1056 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 84.6% | 87.6% | 85.5% |
| Total | | Count | 879 | 356 | 1235 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q43_Asian Child's Race/Ethnicity: Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q43_Asian Child's Race/Ethnicity: Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 1 Yes | Count | 36 | 13 | 49 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.1% | 3.7% | 4.0% |
| | 2 No | Count | 843 | 343 | 1186 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 95.9% | 96.3% | 96.0% |
| Total | | Count | 879 | 356 | 1235 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q43_Hispanic Child's Race/Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latin American * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q43_Hispanic Child's Race/Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latin American | 1 Yes | Count | 147 | 57 | 204 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 16.7% | 16.0% | 16.5% |
| | 2 No | Count | 732 | 299 | 1031 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 83.3% | 84.0% | 83.5% |
| Total | | Count | 879 | 356 | 1235 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q43_White Child's Race/Ethnicity: Caucasian or white * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q43_White Child's Race/Ethnicity: Caucasian or white | 1 Yes | Count | 563 | 259 | 822 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 64.1% | 72.8% | 66.6% |
| | 2 No | Count | 316 | 97 | 413 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 35.9% | 27.2% | 33.4% |
| Total | | Count | 879 | 356 | 1235 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q43_Eskimo Child's Race/Ethnicity: Eskimo or Aleut * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q43_Eskimo Child's Race/Ethnicity: Eskimo or Aleut | 1 Yes | Count | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 877 | 356 | 1233 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 99.8% | 100.0% | 99.8% |
| Total | | Count | 879 | 356 | 1235 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q43_Multiple Child's Race/Ethnicity: Multiple ethnicities * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q43_Multiple Child's Race/Ethnicity: Multiple ethnicities | 1 Yes | Count | 25 | 10 | 35 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.8% | 2.8% | 2.8% |
| | 2 No | Count | 854 | 346 | 1200 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 97.2% | 97.2% | 97.2% |
| Total | | Count | 879 | 356 | 1235 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q43_Other Child's Race/Ethnicity: Other ethnicity * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q43_Other Child's Race/Ethnicity: Other ethnicity | 1 Yes | Count | 16 | 3 | 19 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.8% | .8% | 1.5% |
| | 2 No | Count | 863 | 353 | 1216 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 98.2% | 99.2% | 98.5% |
| Total | | Count | 879 | 356 | 1235 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Summary of Child Ethnicity * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Summary of Child Ethnicity | 1 Native American | Count | 130 | 52 | 182 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 14.8% | 14.7% | 14.8% |
| | 2 African American | Count | 125 | 39 | 164 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 14.2% | 11.0% | 13.3% |
| | 3 Asian/PI | Count | 25 | 10 | 35 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.8% | 2.8% | 2.8% |
| | 4 Hispanic | Count | 122 | 44 | 166 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.9% | 12.4% | 13.5% |
| | 5 White | Count | 447 | 200 | 647 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 50.9% | 56.5% | 52.5% |
| | 6 Other | Count | 30 | 9 | 39 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.4% | 2.5% | 3.2% |
| Total | Count | 879 | 354 | 1233 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q125 Does this child have a sister or brother? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q125 Does this child have a sister or brother? | 1 Yes | Count | 788 | 307 | 1095 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 89.6% | 87.0% | 88.9% |
| | 2 No | Count | 91 | 46 | 137 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.4% | 13.0% | 11.1% |
| Total | Count | 879 | 353 | 1232 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

**Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have? * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | Caregiver Type | | Total | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------|-------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | | |
| Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have? | 1 One | Count | 144 | 73 | 217 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 19.0% | 24.0% | 20.5% |
| | 2 Two | Count | 219 | 81 | 300 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 28.9% | 26.6% | 28.3% |
| | 3 Three | Count | 139 | 62 | 201 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 18.4% | 20.4% | 18.9% |
| | 4 Four | Count | 119 | 34 | 153 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 15.7% | 11.2% | 14.4% |
| | 5 Five | Count | 52 | 29 | 81 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.9% | 9.5% | 7.6% |
| | 6 Six | Count | 37 | 13 | 50 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.9% | 4.3% | 4.7% |
| | 7 Seven | Count | 19 | 3 | 22 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.5% | 1.0% | 2.1% |
| | 8 Eight | Count | 15 | 6 | 21 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% |
| | 9 Nine or more | Count | 13 | 3 | 16 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.7% | 1.0% | 1.5% |
| Total | Count | 757 | 304 | 1061 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q125F Of these, how many are full siblings? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total | |
| Q125F Of these, how many are full siblings? | 0 None | Count | 328 | 139 | 467 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 46.0% | 46.2% | 46.1% |
| | 1 One | Count | 181 | 85 | 266 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 25.4% | 28.2% | 26.2% |
| | 2 Two | Count | 99 | 40 | 139 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.9% | 13.3% | 13.7% |
| | 3 Three | Count | 42 | 18 | 60 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.9% | 6.0% | 5.9% |
| | 4 Four | Count | 35 | 8 | 43 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.9% | 2.7% | 4.2% |
| | 5 Five | Count | 16 | 9 | 25 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.2% | 3.0% | 2.5% |
| | 6 Six | Count | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .8% | .7% | .8% |
| | 7 Seven | Count | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .4% | .0% | .3% |
| | 8 Eight | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .1% | .0% | .1% |
| 9 Nine or more | Count | 2 | 0 | 2 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | .3% | .0% | .2% | |
| Total | | Count | 713 | 301 | 1014 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q125H How many are half siblings? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|---|----------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q125H How many are half siblings? | 0 None | Count | 171 | 72 | 243 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 23.8% | 23.9% | 23.9% |
| | 1 One | Count | 170 | 76 | 246 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 23.7% | 25.2% | 24.2% |
| | 2 Two | Count | 154 | 61 | 215 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 21.5% | 20.3% | 21.1% |
| | 3 Three | Count | 89 | 46 | 135 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 12.4% | 15.3% | 13.3% |
| | 4 Four | Count | 66 | 20 | 86 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 9.2% | 6.6% | 8.4% |
| | 5 Five | Count | 28 | 17 | 45 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.9% | 5.6% | 4.4% |
| | 6 Six | Count | 22 | 9 | 31 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.1% | 3.0% | 3.0% |
| | 7 Seven | Count | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.0% | .0% | .7% |
| | 8 Eight | Count | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .6% | .0% | .4% |
| | 9 Nine or more | Count | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .8% | .0% | .6% |
| Total | | Count | 717 | 301 | 1018 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q125S How many are step siblings? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q125S How many are step siblings? | 0 None | Count | 675 | 270 | 945 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 95.7% | 92.5% | 94.8% |
| | 1 One | Count | 11 | 7 | 18 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.6% | 2.4% | 1.8% |
| | 2 Two | Count | 8 | 7 | 15 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.1% | 2.4% | 1.5% |
| | 3 Three | Count | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.0% | 2.1% | 1.3% |
| | 4 Four | Count | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .3% | .3% | .3% |
| | 5 Five | Count | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .1% | .3% | .2% |
| | 7 Seven | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .1% | .0% | .1% |
| Total | Count | 705 | 292 | 997 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q126 In the last half of 2008, were all siblings (full, half, and step) of this child placed in your home? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q126 In the last half of 2008, were all siblings (full, half, and step) of this child placed in your home? | 1 Yes | Count | 87 | 76 | 163 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 11.1% | 24.9% | 14.9% |
| | 2 No | Count | 700 | 229 | 929 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 88.9% | 75.1% | 85.1% |
| Total | Count | 787 | 305 | 1092 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

**Q126A How many siblings were placed in: The first setting is with their mother?
 * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation**

| | | Caregiver Type | | Total | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------|-------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | | |
| Q126A How many siblings were placed in: The first setting is with their mother? | 0 | Count | 534 | 158 | 692 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 80.3% | 70.2% | 77.8% |
| | 1 | Count | 81 | 34 | 115 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 12.2% | 15.1% | 12.9% |
| | 2 | Count | 35 | 18 | 53 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.3% | 8.0% | 6.0% |
| | 3 | Count | 6 | 9 | 15 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .9% | 4.0% | 1.7% |
| | 4 | Count | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .9% | .9% | .9% |
| | 5 | Count | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .9% | .3% |
| | 6 | Count | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .0% | .9% | .2% |
| 12 | Count | 2 | 0 | 2 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | .3% | .0% | .2% | |
| Total | Count | 665 | 225 | 890 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q126B How many siblings were placed in: The next setting is with their father *
Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | Caregiver Type | | Total | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------|-------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | | |
| Q126B How many siblings were placed in: The next setting is with their father | 0 | Count | 538 | 158 | 696 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 81.4% | 70.5% | 78.6% |
| | 1 | Count | 59 | 44 | 103 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.9% | 19.6% | 11.6% |
| | 2 | Count | 35 | 15 | 50 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.3% | 6.7% | 5.6% |
| | 3 | Count | 20 | 5 | 25 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.0% | 2.2% | 2.8% |
| | 4 | Count | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .8% | .4% | .7% |
| | 5 | Count | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .5% | .0% | .3% |
| | 6 | Count | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .0% | .4% | .1% |
| 12 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% | |
| Total | Count | 661 | 224 | 885 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q126C How many siblings were placed in: With a relative or unlicensed family friend * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total | |
| Q126C How many siblings were placed in: With a relative or unlicensed family friend | 0 | Count | 427 | 119 | 546 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 66.1% | 53.6% | 62.9% |
| | 1 | Count | 118 | 41 | 159 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 18.3% | 18.5% | 18.3% |
| | 2 | Count | 66 | 41 | 107 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.2% | 18.5% | 12.3% |
| | 3 | Count | 23 | 10 | 33 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.6% | 4.5% | 3.8% |
| | 4 | Count | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.4% | 3.2% | 1.8% |
| | 5 | Count | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .9% | .3% |
| | 6 | Count | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .5% | .2% |
| | 7 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% |
| | 8 | Count | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .0% | .5% | .1% |
| Total | | Count | 646 | 222 | 868 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q126D How many siblings were placed in: In another foster home *
Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126D How many siblings were placed in: In another foster home | 0 | Count | 283 | 163 | 446 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 43.6% | 74.1% | 51.3% |
| | 1 | Count | 158 | 35 | 193 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 24.3% | 15.9% | 22.2% |
| | 2 | Count | 107 | 18 | 125 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 16.5% | 8.2% | 14.4% |
| | 3 | Count | 48 | 3 | 51 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 7.4% | 1.4% | 5.9% |
| | 4 | Count | 25 | 1 | 26 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.9% | .5% | 3.0% |
| | 5 | Count | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.7% | .0% | 1.3% |
| | 6 | Count | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.2% | .0% | .9% |
| | 7 | Count | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .6% | .0% | .5% |
| | 8 | Count | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .3% | .0% | .2% |
| | 9 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% |
| | 10 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% |
| 11 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% | |
| Total | Count | 649 | 220 | 869 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

**Q126E How many siblings were placed in: In a group home * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|---|-------|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total | |
| Q126E How many siblings were placed in: In a group home | 0 | Count | 603 | 205 | 808 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 93.5% | 94.0% | 93.6% |
| | 1 | Count | 32 | 11 | 43 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.0% | 5.0% | 5.0% |
| | 2 | Count | 9 | 2 | 11 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.4% | .9% | 1.3% |
| | 3 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% |
| | Total | Count | 645 | 218 | 863 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q126F How many siblings were placed in: Some other setting * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total | |
| Q126F How many siblings were placed in: Some other setting | 0 | Count | 447 | 168 | 615 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 70.8% | 77.8% | 72.6% |
| | 1 | Count | 96 | 28 | 124 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 15.2% | 13.0% | 14.6% |
| | 2 | Count | 50 | 11 | 61 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 7.9% | 5.1% | 7.2% |
| | 3 | Count | 21 | 7 | 28 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.3% | 3.2% | 3.3% |
| | 4 | Count | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.0% | .9% | .9% |
| | 5 | Count | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.1% | .0% | .8% |
| | 7 | Count | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .3% | .0% | .2% |
| | 9 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% |
| | 11 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% |
| | Total | Count | 631 | 216 | 847 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q126G How many siblings were placed in: Some setting that you don't know about? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|----|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126G How many siblings were placed in: Some setting that you don't know about? | 0 | Count | 501 | 196 | 697 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 79.8% | 88.7% | 82.1% |
| | 1 | Count | 53 | 14 | 67 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.4% | 6.3% | 7.9% |
| | 2 | Count | 33 | 7 | 40 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.3% | 3.2% | 4.7% |
| | 3 | Count | 15 | 3 | 18 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.4% | 1.4% | 2.1% |
| | 4 | Count | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.9% | .0% | 1.4% |
| | 5 | Count | 8 | 1 | 9 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.3% | .5% | 1.1% |
| | 6 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% |
| | 7 | Count | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .3% | .0% | .2% |
| | 9 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% |
| | 13 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% |
| | 15 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .0% | .1% |
| Total | | Count | 628 | 221 | 849 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q126H Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The siblings live far apart * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126H Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The siblings live far apart | 1 Yes | Count | 148 | 54 | 202 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 22.2% | 24.2% | 22.7% |
| | 2 No | Count | 520 | 169 | 689 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 77.8% | 75.8% | 77.3% |
| Total | | Count | 668 | 223 | 891 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q126I Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The siblings don't get along *
Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126I Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The siblings don't get along | 1 Yes | Count | 87 | 9 | 96 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.3% | 4.0% | 10.9% |
| | 2 No | Count | 568 | 214 | 782 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 86.7% | 96.0% | 89.1% |
| Total | | Count | 655 | 223 | 878 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q126J Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Your home doesn't have room for all siblings *
Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126J Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Your home doesn't have room for all siblings | 1 Yes | Count | 182 | 64 | 246 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 26.5% | 28.2% | 26.9% |
| | 2 No | Count | 504 | 163 | 667 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 73.5% | 71.8% | 73.1% |
| Total | | Count | 686 | 227 | 913 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q126K Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Siblings can't live together due to safety issues *
Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126K Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Siblings can't live together due to safety issues | 1 Yes | Count | 184 | 27 | 211 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 28.2% | 11.9% | 24.0% |
| | 2 No | Count | 469 | 199 | 668 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 71.8% | 88.1% | 76.0% |
| Total | | Count | 653 | 226 | 879 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q126L Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Siblings don't know one another *
 Caregiver Type Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126L Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Siblings don't know one another | 1 Yes | Count | 148 | 44 | 192 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 21.8% | 19.5% | 21.2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 530 | 182 | 712 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 78.2% | 80.5% | 78.8% |
| Total | Count | | 678 | 226 | 904 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q126M Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Siblings are over age 18 * Caregiver
 Type Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126M Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Siblings are over age 18 | 1 Yes | Count | 146 | 44 | 190 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 21.4% | 19.6% | 21.0% |
| | 2 No | Count | 536 | 180 | 716 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 78.6% | 80.4% | 79.0% |
| Total | Count | | 682 | 224 | 906 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q126N Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: You are not willing or able to take all
 siblings * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126N Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: You are not willing or able to take all siblings | 1 Yes | Count | 220 | 83 | 303 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 31.9% | 37.2% | 33.2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 469 | 140 | 609 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 68.1% | 62.8% | 66.8% |
| Total | Count | | 689 | 223 | 912 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q126O Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The department didn't ask you to *
 Caregiver Type Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126O Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The department didn't ask you to | 1 Yes | Count | 362 | 94 | 456 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 52.5% | 42.0% | 49.9% |
| | 2 No | Count | 327 | 130 | 457 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 47.5% | 58.0% | 50.1% |
| Total | Count | | 689 | 224 | 913 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q126P Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: You are not sure why * Caregiver
 Type Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126P Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: You are not sure why | 1 Yes | Count | 131 | 30 | 161 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 19.0% | 13.5% | 17.7% |
| | 2 No | Count | 557 | 192 | 749 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 81.0% | 86.5% | 82.3% |
| Total | Count | | 688 | 222 | 910 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q126Q Were there any other reasons that siblings may not have been placed together? * Caregiver
 Type Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q126Q Were there any other reasons that siblings may not have been placed together? | 1 Yes - specify | Count | 368 | 117 | 485 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 55.5% | 52.7% | 54.8% |
| | 2 No | Count | 295 | 105 | 400 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 44.5% | 47.3% | 45.2% |
| Total | Count | | 663 | 222 | 885 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

NQ126B * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|--------|---|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total | |
| NQ126B | 1 Child has special needs | Count | 10 | 2 | 12 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.7% | 1.7% | 2.5% |
| | 2 Age-appropriate needs can't be met | Count | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.9% | .0% | 1.5% |
| | 3 Health problems | Count | 13 | 1 | 14 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.6% | .9% | 2.9% |
| | 4 Behavioral problems | Count | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.9% | .9% | 1.7% |
| | 5 Foster HH doesn't take girls/boys | Count | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.6% | .0% | 1.2% |
| | 6 Too demanding for caregiver | Count | 14 | 9 | 23 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.8% | 7.8% | 4.8% |
| | 7 Living with parents/relative/family | Count | 63 | 37 | 100 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 17.2% | 31.9% | 20.7% |
| | 8 Children have not previously lived together | Count | 39 | 10 | 49 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.7% | 8.6% | 10.2% |
| | 9 Hostile child | Count | 24 | 5 | 29 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.6% | 4.3% | 6.0% |
| | 10 Unwilling to take child | Count | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.4% | .0% | 1.0% |
| | 11 Child acting out/drugs | Count | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.1% | .9% | 1.0% |
| | 12 Agency decision | Count | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.6% | .9% | 1.5% |
| | 13 Located too far away | Count | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.9% | .0% | 1.5% |
| | 14 No room for children | Count | 9 | 1 | 10 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.5% | .9% | 2.1% |
| | 15 Children adopted or grown | Count | 56 | 6 | 62 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 15.3% | 5.2% | 12.9% |
| | 16 Children have different families | Count | 21 | 20 | 41 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.7% | 17.2% | 8.5% |
| | 17 Children not in foster care | Count | 18 | 4 | 22 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.9% | 3.4% | 4.6% |
| | 18 Alleged abuse | Count | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.0% | .0% | 2.3% |
| | 19 Other | Count | 35 | 16 | 51 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 9.6% | 13.8% | 10.6% |
| | 20 NA/DK | Count | 11 | 2 | 13 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.0% | 1.7% | 2.7% |
| Total | | Count | 366 | 116 | 482 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q127 Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and (his/her) siblings? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q127 Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and (his/her) siblings? | 1 Yes | Count | 84 | 26 | 110 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 12.2% | 11.6% | 12.0% |
| | 2 No | Count | 606 | 198 | 804 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 87.8% | 88.4% | 88.0% |
| Total | Count | | 690 | 224 | 914 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q128 In a typical month in the last half of 2008, how often did this child have visits with their siblings? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q128 In a typical month in the last half of 2008, how often did this child have visits with their siblings? | -2 Refused | Count | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .5% | .2% |
| | -1 Don't know | Count | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.1% | 1.5% | 1.2% |
| | 0 NOT AT ALL | Count | 193 | 50 | 243 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 31.4% | 24.6% | 29.7% |
| | 1 LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH | Count | 90 | 21 | 111 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 14.7% | 10.3% | 13.6% |
| | 2 ONCE A MONTH | Count | 77 | 26 | 103 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 12.5% | 12.8% | 12.6% |
| | 3 TWICE A MONTH | Count | 65 | 28 | 93 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.6% | 13.8% | 11.4% |
| | 4 THREE TIMES A MONTH | Count | 25 | 13 | 38 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.1% | 6.4% | 4.7% |
| | 5 FOUR TIMES A MONTH | Count | 68 | 23 | 91 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 11.1% | 11.3% | 11.1% |
| | 6 MORE THAN 4 TIMES A MONTH | Count | 88 | 38 | 126 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 14.3% | 18.7% | 15.4% |
| Total | Count | | 614 | 203 | 817 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q128B In a typical month in the last half of 2008, how often did this child have other forms of contact with their siblings, not including staffing meetings or court events? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128B In a typical month in the last half of 2008, how often did this child have other forms of contact with their siblings, not including staffing meetings or court events? | -2 Refused | Count | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | .5% | .2% |
| | -1 Don't know | Count | 12 | 5 | 17 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.0% | 2.5% | 2.1% |
| | 0 NOT AT ALL | Count | 303 | 82 | 385 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 49.3% | 40.4% | 47.1% |
| | 1 LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH | Count | 75 | 27 | 102 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 12.2% | 13.3% | 12.5% |
| | 2 ONCE A MONTH | Count | 59 | 16 | 75 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 9.6% | 7.9% | 9.2% |
| | 3 TWICE A MONTH | Count | 40 | 17 | 57 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.5% | 8.4% | 7.0% |
| | 4 THREE TIMES A MONTH | Count | 14 | 8 | 22 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.3% | 3.9% | 2.7% |
| | 5 FOUR TIMES A MONTH | Count | 26 | 15 | 41 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.2% | 7.4% | 5.0% |
| | 6 MORE THAN 4 TIMES A MONTH | Count | 84 | 32 | 116 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.7% | 15.8% | 14.2% |
| Total | Count | 614 | 203 | 817 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q128C No contact with siblings: This child doesn't want visits or contact * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128C No contact with siblings: This child doesn't want visits or contact | 1 Yes | Count | 22 | 5 | 27 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 7.4% | 6.5% | 7.2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 274 | 72 | 346 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 92.6% | 93.5% | 92.8% |
| Total | Count | 296 | 77 | 373 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q128D No contact with siblings: Visits or contact have not been coordinated by the department * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128D No contact with siblings: Visits or contact have not been coordinated by the department | 1 Yes | Count | 149 | 33 | 182 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 52.3% | 42.3% | 50.1% |
| | 2 No | Count | 136 | 45 | 181 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 47.7% | 57.7% | 49.9% |
| Total | Count | | 285 | 78 | 363 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q128E No contact with siblings: The children are physically far apart * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128E No contact with siblings: The children are physically far apart | 1 Yes | Count | 147 | 52 | 199 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 52.5% | 65.8% | 55.4% |
| | 2 No | Count | 133 | 27 | 160 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 47.5% | 34.2% | 44.6% |
| Total | Count | | 280 | 79 | 359 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q128F No contact with siblings: Children's age makes phone or other contact unreasonable * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128F No contact with siblings: Children's age makes phone or other contact unreasonable | 1 Yes | Count | 125 | 27 | 152 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 43.1% | 34.2% | 41.2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 165 | 52 | 217 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 56.9% | 65.8% | 58.8% |
| Total | Count | | 290 | 79 | 369 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q128G No contact with siblings: Haven't got around to doing it * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128G No contact with siblings: Haven't got around to doing it | 1 Yes | Count | 29 | 10 | 39 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.1% | 12.8% | 10.7% |
| | 2 No | Count | 258 | 68 | 326 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 89.9% | 87.2% | 89.3% |
| Total | Count | | 287 | 78 | 365 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q128H No contact with siblings: Scheduling conflicts * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128H No contact with siblings: Scheduling conflicts | 1 Yes | Count | 52 | 20 | 72 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 17.9% | 26.0% | 19.6% |
| | 2 No | Count | 239 | 57 | 296 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 82.1% | 74.0% | 80.4% |
| Total | Count | | 291 | 77 | 368 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q128I No contact with siblings: Sibling's caregiver was unsuccessful in scheduling visits * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128I No contact with siblings: Sibling's caregiver was unsuccessful in scheduling visits | 1 Yes | Count | 44 | 13 | 57 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 15.5% | 17.1% | 15.8% |
| | 2 No | Count | 240 | 63 | 303 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 84.5% | 82.9% | 84.2% |
| Total | Count | | 284 | 76 | 360 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q128J No contact with siblings: Sibling aged out * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128J No contact with siblings: Sibling aged out | 1 Yes | Count | 33 | 8 | 41 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 11.5% | 10.5% | 11.3% |
| | 2 No | Count | 254 | 68 | 322 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 88.5% | 89.5% | 88.7% |
| Total | Count | | 287 | 76 | 363 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q128K No contact with siblings: Sibling was adopted * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128K No contact with siblings: Sibling was adopted | 1 Yes | Count | 85 | 9 | 94 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 30.5% | 11.7% | 26.4% |
| | 2 No | Count | 194 | 68 | 262 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 69.5% | 88.3% | 73.6% |
| Total | Count | | 279 | 77 | 356 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q128L No contact with siblings: Not sure why? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128L No contact with siblings: Not sure why? | 1 Yes | Count | 95 | 26 | 121 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 32.3% | 33.3% | 32.5% |
| | 2 No | Count | 199 | 52 | 251 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 67.7% | 66.7% | 67.5% |
| Total | Count | | 294 | 78 | 372 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q128M No contact with siblings: Other reasons * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q128M No contact with siblings: Other reasons | 1 Yes - specify | Count | 155 | 43 | 198 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 53.8% | 53.8% | 53.8% |
| | 2 No | Count | 133 | 37 | 170 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 46.2% | 46.3% | 46.2% |
| Total | Count | | 288 | 80 | 368 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

NQ128C * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|--------|---|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total | |
| NQ128C | 1 Parent decision | Count | 19 | 7 | 26 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 12.3% | 16.7% | 13.3% |
| | 2 Caregiver decision | Count | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.2% | .0% | 2.6% |
| | 3 Unavailable | Count | 9 | 1 | 10 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.8% | 2.4% | 5.1% |
| | 4 Hurtful behavior by parents/siblings | Count | 9 | 4 | 13 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.8% | 9.5% | 6.6% |
| | 5 Child doesn't know siblings | Count | 20 | 3 | 23 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.0% | 7.1% | 11.7% |
| | 6 Agency/counselor decision | Count | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.2% | 7.1% | 4.1% |
| | 7 Scheduling problems | Count | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.6% | 2.4% | 2.6% |
| | 8 Too far away | Count | 13 | 6 | 19 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.4% | 14.3% | 9.7% |
| | 9 Little/no effort to arrange visit | Count | 21 | 3 | 24 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.6% | 7.1% | 12.2% |
| | 10 No contact info | Count | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.9% | 7.1% | 3.1% |
| | 11 Child decision | Count | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.5% | 2.4% | 5.6% |
| | 12 Agency unable to locate sibling | Count | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.6% | .0% | 2.0% |
| | 13 Other child not in foster care/adopted | Count | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.6% | .0% | 2.0% |
| | 14 Other | Count | 26 | 9 | 35 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 16.9% | 21.4% | 17.9% |
| | 15 NA/DK | Count | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.3% | 2.4% | 1.5% |
| Total | | Count | 154 | 42 | 196 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q59 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q59 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2008? | 1 Strongly agree | Count | 567 | 259 | 826 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 65.8% | 75.3% | 68.5% |
| | 2 Somewhat agree | Count | 176 | 49 | 225 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 20.4% | 14.2% | 18.7% |
| | 3 Somewhat disagree | Count | 46 | 12 | 58 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.3% | 3.5% | 4.8% |
| | 4 Strongly disagree | Count | 73 | 24 | 97 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.5% | 7.0% | 8.0% |
| Total | Count | 862 | 344 | 1206 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q60 If the child was placed with you in 2008, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q60 If the child was placed with you in 2008, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? | 1 Yes | Count | 477 | 198 | 675 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 54.9% | 56.9% | 55.5% |
| | 2 No | Count | 139 | 72 | 211 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 16.0% | 20.7% | 17.3% |
| | 3 Child placed before 2008 | Count | 253 | 78 | 331 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 29.1% | 22.4% | 27.2% |
| Total | Count | 869 | 348 | 1217 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q61 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q61 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2008? | 1 Strongly agree | Count | 282 | 117 | 399 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 55.2% | 63.9% | 57.5% |
| | 2 Somewhat agree | Count | 113 | 23 | 136 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 22.1% | 12.6% | 19.6% |
| | 3 Somewhat disagree | Count | 47 | 12 | 59 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 9.2% | 6.6% | 8.5% |
| | 4 Strongly disagree | Count | 69 | 31 | 100 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.5% | 16.9% | 14.4% |
| Total | Count | 511 | 183 | 694 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q62 If the child was placed in 2008, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q62 If the child was placed in 2008, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? | 1 Yes | Count | 244 | 94 | 338 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 46.2% | 51.4% | 47.5% |
| | 2 No | Count | 113 | 46 | 159 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 21.4% | 25.1% | 22.4% |
| | 3 Child placed before 2008 | Count | 171 | 43 | 214 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 32.4% | 23.5% | 30.1% |
| Total | Count | 528 | 183 | 711 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q63 Has this child been identified as having 'developmental delays'? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q63 Has this child been identified as having 'developmental delays'? | 1 Yes | Count | 388 | 122 | 510 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 45.0% | 35.3% | 42.2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 475 | 224 | 699 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 55.0% | 64.7% | 57.8% |
| Total | Count | 863 | 346 | 1209 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q63A Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q63A Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2008? | 1 Strongly agree | Count | 190 | 76 | 266 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 50.7% | 63.9% | 53.8% |
| | 2 Somewhat agree | Count | 77 | 16 | 93 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 20.5% | 13.4% | 18.8% |
| | 3 Somewhat disagree | Count | 42 | 10 | 52 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 11.2% | 8.4% | 10.5% |
| | 4 Strongly disagree | Count | 66 | 17 | 83 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 17.6% | 14.3% | 16.8% |
| Total | Count | 375 | 119 | 494 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q63B If the child was placed in 2008, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q63B If the child was placed in 2008, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? | 1 Yes | Count | 156 | 61 | 217 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 40.7% | 51.3% | 43.2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 97 | 30 | 127 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 25.3% | 25.2% | 25.3% |
| | 3 Child placed before 2008 | Count | 130 | 28 | 158 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 33.9% | 23.5% | 31.5% |
| Total | Count | 383 | 119 | 502 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q64 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral needs in 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q64 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral needs in 2008? | 1 Strongly agree | Count | 429 | 220 | 649 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 51.3% | 65.1% | 55.3% |
| | 2 Somewhat agree | Count | 173 | 52 | 225 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 20.7% | 15.4% | 19.2% |
| | 3 Somewhat disagree | Count | 97 | 19 | 116 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 11.6% | 5.6% | 9.9% |
| | 4 Strongly disagree | Count | 137 | 47 | 184 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 16.4% | 13.9% | 15.7% |
| Total | Count | 836 | 338 | 1174 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q65 If the child was placed in 2008, did you receive information about this child's behavioral problems or substance abuse issues within 30 days after she/he was placed in your home? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q65 If the child was placed in 2008, did you receive information about this child's behavioral problems or substance abuse issues within 30 days after she/he was placed in your home? | 1 Yes | Count | 420 | 174 | 594 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 49.0% | 52.1% | 49.8% |
| | 2 No | Count | 174 | 74 | 248 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 20.3% | 22.2% | 20.8% |
| | 3 Child placed before 2008 | Count | 264 | 86 | 350 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 30.8% | 25.7% | 29.4% |
| Total | Count | 858 | 334 | 1192 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q67A In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in the last half of 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q67A In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in the last half of 2008? | 1 Yes | Count | 259 | 78 | 337 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 29.9% | 22.7% | 27.8% |
| | 2 No | Count | 608 | 266 | 874 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 70.1% | 77.3% | 72.2% |
| Total | Count | 867 | 344 | 1211 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q67B Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q67B Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2008? | 1 Yes | Count | 245 | 71 | 316 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 95.0% | 91.0% | 94.0% |
| | 2 No | Count | 13 | 7 | 20 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.0% | 9.0% | 6.0% |
| Total | Count | | 258 | 78 | 336 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q67C Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q67C Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis? | 1 Yes | Count | 210 | 60 | 270 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 81.7% | 76.9% | 80.6% |
| | 2 No | Count | 47 | 18 | 65 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 18.3% | 23.1% | 19.4% |
| Total | Count | | 257 | 78 | 335 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q67D Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q67D Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner? | 1 Yes | Count | 173 | 50 | 223 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 83.6% | 83.3% | 83.5% |
| | 2 No | Count | 34 | 10 | 44 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 16.4% | 16.7% | 16.5% |
| Total | Count | | 207 | 60 | 267 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q67 Where there any other times in 2008, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q67 Where there any other times in 2008, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child? | 1 Yes | Count | 278 | 93 | 371 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 31.8% | 26.6% | 30.4% |
| | 2 No | Count | 595 | 256 | 851 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 68.2% | 73.4% | 69.6% |
| Total | | Count | 873 | 349 | 1222 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services? | 1 Yes | Count | 213 | 72 | 285 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 77.2% | 77.4% | 77.2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 63 | 21 | 84 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 22.8% | 22.6% | 22.8% |
| Total | | Count | 276 | 93 | 369 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q70 In 2008, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q70 In 2008, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians? | 1 By just one clinician | Count | 110 | 45 | 155 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 52.4% | 63.4% | 55.2% |
| | 2 By a team of clinicians | Count | 100 | 26 | 126 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 47.6% | 36.6% | 44.8% |
| Total | | Count | 210 | 71 | 281 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q71 During 2008, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|--|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q71 During 2008, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams? | 1 The same clinician/ treatment team | Count | 171 | 51 | 222 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 81.4% | 73.9% | 79.6% |
| | 2 Different clinicians/ treatment teams | Count | 39 | 18 | 57 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 18.6% | 26.1% | 20.4% |
| Total | Count | 210 | 69 | 279 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child? | 1 Yes | Count | 28 | 15 | 43 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 71.8% | 83.3% | 75.4% |
| | 2 No | Count | 11 | 3 | 14 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 28.2% | 16.7% | 24.6% |
| Total | Count | 39 | 18 | 57 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q78 During the last half of 2008 did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about shared case planning meetings; * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q78 During the last half of 2008 did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about shared case planning meetings; | 1 ALWAYS | Count | 306 | 131 | 437 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 35.8% | 39.2% | 36.8% |
| | 2 MOST OF THE TIME | Count | 208 | 80 | 288 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 24.4% | 24.0% | 24.2% |
| | 3 ABOUT HALF THE TIME | Count | 89 | 33 | 122 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.4% | 9.9% | 10.3% |
| | 4 RARELY | Count | 113 | 45 | 158 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.2% | 13.5% | 13.3% |
| | 5 NEVER | Count | 138 | 45 | 183 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 16.2% | 13.5% | 15.4% |
| Total | Count | 854 | 334 | 1188 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q57 In the last half of 2008, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|--|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q57 In the last half of 2008, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings | 1 ALWAYS | Count | 347 | 143 | 490 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 40.0% | 41.4% | 40.4% |
| | 2 MOST OF THE TIME | Count | 168 | 62 | 230 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 19.4% | 18.0% | 19.0% |
| | 3 ABOUT HALF THE TIME | Count | 73 | 31 | 104 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.4% | 9.0% | 8.6% |
| | 4 RARELY | Count | 99 | 40 | 139 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 11.4% | 11.6% | 11.5% |
| | 5 NEVER | Count | 103 | 33 | 136 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 11.9% | 9.6% | 11.2% |
| | 6 WERE THERE NO COURT HEARINGS IN 2008 | Count | 77 | 36 | 113 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.9% | 10.4% | 9.3% |
| Total | Count | 867 | 345 | 1212 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q58 In the last half of 2008, how often did the agency advise you that you would have an opportunity to be heard at these hearings? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q58 In the last half of 2008, how often did the agency advise you that you would have an opportunity to be heard at these hearings? | 1 ALWAYS | Count | 359 | 153 | 512 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 45.6% | 49.4% | 46.6% |
| | 2 MOST OF THE TIME | Count | 92 | 33 | 125 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 11.7% | 10.6% | 11.4% |
| | 3 ABOUT HALF THE TIME | Count | 49 | 24 | 73 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.2% | 7.7% | 6.6% |
| | 4 RARELY | Count | 81 | 31 | 112 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.3% | 10.0% | 10.2% |
| | 5 NEVER | Count | 207 | 69 | 276 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 26.3% | 22.3% | 25.1% |
| Total | Count | 788 | 310 | 1098 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q53 In the last half of 2008, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child, either at home or outside of the home? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q53 In the last half of 2008, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child, either at home or outside of the home? | 1 SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH | Count | 109 | 36 | 145 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 12.6% | 10.4% | 12.0% |
| | 2 ABOUT ONCE A MONTH | Count | 467 | 224 | 691 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 53.8% | 64.9% | 57.0% |
| | 3 ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH | Count | 116 | 29 | 145 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.4% | 8.4% | 12.0% |
| | 4 ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS | Count | 79 | 25 | 104 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 9.1% | 7.2% | 8.6% |
| | 5 ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS | Count | 43 | 15 | 58 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.0% | 4.3% | 4.8% |
| | 6 ONCE IN THE WHOLE YEAR | Count | 27 | 6 | 33 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.1% | 1.7% | 2.7% |
| | 7 NEVER IN 2008 | Count | 27 | 10 | 37 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.1% | 2.9% | 3.1% |
| Total | Count | 868 | 345 | 1213 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the last half of 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the last half of 2008? | 1 VERY SATISFIED | Count | 378 | 154 | 532 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 43.5% | 44.4% | 43.8% |
| | 2 SOMEWHAT SATISFIED | Count | 217 | 87 | 304 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 25.0% | 25.1% | 25.0% |
| | 3 SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED | Count | 122 | 45 | 167 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 14.1% | 13.0% | 13.7% |
| | 4 VERY DISSATISFIED | Count | 151 | 61 | 212 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 17.4% | 17.6% | 17.4% |
| Total | Count | 868 | 347 | 1215 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q33 In the last half of 2008 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile' * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q33 In the last half of 2008 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile' | 1 Yes | Count | 154 | 45 | 199 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 17.7% | 13.1% | 16.4% |
| | 2 No | Count | 716 | 299 | 1015 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 82.3% | 86.9% | 83.6% |
| Total | | Count | 870 | 344 | 1214 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q33A Given this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q33A Given this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition? | 1 Yes | Count | 87 | 12 | 99 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 57.6% | 27.9% | 51.0% |
| | 2 No | Count | 64 | 31 | 95 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 42.4% | 72.1% | 49.0% |
| Total | | Count | 151 | 43 | 194 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q34 How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the last half of 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total | |
|---|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | | |
| Q34 How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the last half of 2008? | 1 | Count | 57 | 9 | 66 | |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 65.5% | 75.0% | 66.7% | |
| | 2 | Count | 19 | 2 | 21 | |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 21.8% | 16.7% | 21.2% | |
| | 3 | Count | 8 | 1 | 9 | |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 9.2% | 8.3% | 9.1% | |
| | 5 | Count | 2 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.3% | .0% | 2.0% | |
| | 6 | Count | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.1% | .0% | 1.0% | |
| | Total | | Count | 87 | 12 | 99 |
| | | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? | 1 Yes | Count | 68 | 8 | 76 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 81.9% | 66.7% | 80.0% |
| | 2 No | Count | 15 | 4 | 19 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 18.1% | 33.3% | 20.0% |
| Total | Count | | 83 | 12 | 95 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q38 In the last half of 2008 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q38 In the last half of 2008 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? | 1 Yes | Count | 81 | 9 | 90 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 95.3% | 75.0% | 92.8% |
| | 2 No | Count | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.7% | 25.0% | 7.2% |
| Total | Count | | 85 | 12 | 97 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q39 In 2008 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q39 In 2008 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? | 1 Yes | Count | 77 | 9 | 86 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 95.1% | 100.0% | 95.6% |
| | 2 No | Count | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.9% | .0% | 4.4% |
| Total | Count | | 81 | 9 | 90 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the last half of 2008, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship. * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the last half of 2008, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship. | 1 Yes | Count | 195 | 32 | 227 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 22.4% | 9.4% | 18.7% |
| | 2 No | Count | 674 | 310 | 984 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 77.6% | 90.6% | 81.3% |
| Total | Count | 869 | 342 | 1211 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? | 1 Yes | Count | 133 | 15 | 148 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 70.0% | 48.4% | 67.0% |
| | 2 No | Count | 57 | 16 | 73 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 30.0% | 51.6% | 33.0% |
| Total | Count | 190 | 31 | 221 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y? | 1 Yes | Count | 100 | 4 | 104 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 76.3% | 26.7% | 71.2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 31 | 11 | 42 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 23.7% | 73.3% | 28.8% |
| Total | Count | 131 | 15 | 146 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

**QR111 Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child? *
 Caregiver Type Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| QR111 Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child? | 1 Yes | Count | 87 | 7 | 94 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 67.4% | 46.7% | 65.3% |
| | 2 No | Count | 42 | 8 | 50 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 32.6% | 53.3% | 34.7% |
| Total | | Count | 129 | 15 | 144 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

R111A Was the plan in written form? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| R111A Was the plan in written form? | 1 Yes | Count | 73 | 4 | 77 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 88.0% | 66.7% | 86.5% |
| | 2 No | Count | 10 | 2 | 12 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 12.0% | 33.3% | 13.5% |
| Total | | Count | 83 | 6 | 89 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

R111B Was the plan discussed with you? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| R111B Was the plan discussed with you? | 1 Yes | Count | 85 | 5 | 90 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 98.8% | 71.4% | 96.8% |
| | 2 No | Count | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.2% | 28.6% | 3.2% |
| Total | | Count | 86 | 7 | 93 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? *
Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? | 1 Yes | Count | 80 | 7 | 87 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 95.2% | 100.0% | 95.6% |
| | 2 No | Count | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.8% | .0% | 4.4% |
| Total | Count | 84 | 7 | 91 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the last half of 2008, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship. * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the last half of 2008, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship. | 1 Yes | Count | 89 | 14 | 103 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.3% | 4.0% | 8.5% |
| | 2 No | Count | 779 | 332 | 1111 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 89.7% | 96.0% | 91.5% |
| Total | Count | 868 | 346 | 1214 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? | 1 Yes | Count | 66 | 7 | 73 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 77.6% | 50.0% | 73.7% |
| | 2 No | Count | 19 | 7 | 26 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 22.4% | 50.0% | 26.3% |
| Total | Count | 85 | 14 | 99 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y? | 1 Yes | Count | 58 | 2 | 60 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 89.2% | 28.6% | 83.3% |
| | 2 No | Count | 7 | 5 | 12 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.8% | 71.4% | 16.7% |
| Total | | Count | 65 | 7 | 72 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

QR116 Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| QR116 Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child? | 1 Yes | Count | 55 | 4 | 59 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 84.6% | 57.1% | 81.9% |
| | 2 No | Count | 10 | 3 | 13 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 15.4% | 42.9% | 18.1% |
| Total | | Count | 65 | 7 | 72 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

R116A Was the plan in written form? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| R116A Was the plan in written form? | 1 Yes | Count | 51 | 2 | 53 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 92.7% | 66.7% | 91.4% |
| | 2 No | Count | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 7.3% | 33.3% | 8.6% |
| Total | | Count | 55 | 3 | 58 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

R116B Was the plan discussed with you? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| R116B Was the plan discussed with you? | 1 Yes | Count | 52 | 4 | 56 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 94.5% | 100.0% | 94.9% |
| | 2 No | Count | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.5% | .0% | 5.1% |
| Total | | Count | 55 | 4 | 59 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? | 1 Yes | Count | 51 | 4 | 55 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 92.7% | 100.0% | 93.2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 7.3% | .0% | 6.8% |
| Total | | Count | 55 | 4 | 59 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q87 In the last half of 2008, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q87 In the last half of 2008, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help? | 1 Yes | Count | 323 | 100 | 423 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 37.3% | 28.7% | 34.8% |
| | 2 No | Count | 542 | 249 | 791 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 62.7% | 71.3% | 65.2% |
| Total | | Count | 865 | 349 | 1214 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

NQ88 * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| NQ88 | 1 Once | Count | 112 | 35 | 147 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 35.1% | 35.7% | 35.3% |
| | 2 Twice | Count | 78 | 31 | 109 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 24.5% | 31.6% | 26.1% |
| | 3 Three times | Count | 45 | 19 | 64 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 14.1% | 19.4% | 15.3% |
| | 4 Four times | Count | 21 | 5 | 26 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.6% | 5.1% | 6.2% |
| | 5 Five times | Count | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.3% | .0% | 4.1% |
| | 6 6 to 10 times | Count | 28 | 6 | 34 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.8% | 6.1% | 8.2% |
| | 7 11 to 15 times | Count | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.1% | .0% | 2.4% |
| | 8 16 to 20 times | Count | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .9% | .0% | .7% |
| | 9 More than 20 times | Count | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.6% | 2.0% | 1.7% |
| Total | Count | | 319 | 98 | 417 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q89 The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2008, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q89 The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2008, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? | 1 YES, RIGHT AWAY | Count | 168 | 39 | 207 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 52.2% | 39.0% | 49.1% |
| | 2 YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE | Count | 90 | 34 | 124 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 28.0% | 34.0% | 29.4% |
| | 3 NO | Count | 64 | 27 | 91 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 19.9% | 27.0% | 21.6% |
| Total | Count | | 322 | 100 | 422 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q93 How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q93 How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during 2008? | 1 VERY SUPPORTIVE | Count | 334 | 140 | 474 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 47.4% | 55.6% | 49.5% |
| | 2 SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE | Count | 262 | 80 | 342 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 37.2% | 31.7% | 35.7% |
| | 3 SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE | Count | 58 | 15 | 73 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.2% | 6.0% | 7.6% |
| | 4 VERY UNSUPPORTIVE | Count | 51 | 17 | 68 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 7.2% | 6.7% | 7.1% |
| Total | Count | 705 | 252 | 957 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q93A What's the main reason that you say the agency was unsupportive in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during the last half of 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q93A What's the main reason that you say the agency was unsupportive in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during the last half of 2008? | 1 Open Text Box | Count | 108 | 29 | 137 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 99.1% | 90.6% | 97.2% |
| | 2 Don't know | Count | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .9% | 3.1% | 1.4% |
| | 3 Refused | Count | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .0% | 6.3% | 1.4% |
| Total | Count | 109 | 32 | 141 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

NQ93A * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|-------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| NQ93A | 1 Agency did not deal with issues | Count | 36 | 7 | 43 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 38.3% | 25.9% | 35.5% |
| | 2 Agency took no action when asked | Count | 11 | 4 | 15 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 11.7% | 14.8% | 12.4% |
| | 3 Agency not supportive/helpful | Count | 13 | 1 | 14 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.8% | 3.7% | 11.6% |
| | 4 Agency says its FP responsibility | Count | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.3% | .0% | 4.1% |
| | 5 Caseworker ineffective/doesn't care | Count | 19 | 10 | 29 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 20.2% | 37.0% | 24.0% |
| | 6 Other | Count | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.5% | 7.4% | 8.3% |
| | 7 NA/DK | Count | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.1% | 11.1% | 4.1% |
| Total | | Count | 94 | 27 | 121 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the last half of 2008? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the last half of 2008? | 1 VERY SATISFIED | Count | 325 | 133 | 458 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 37.4% | 38.1% | 37.6% |
| | 2 SOMEWHAT SATISFIED | Count | 290 | 114 | 404 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 33.4% | 32.7% | 33.2% |
| | 3 SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED | Count | 128 | 46 | 174 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 14.7% | 13.2% | 14.3% |
| | 4 VERY DISSATISFIED | Count | 126 | 56 | 182 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 14.5% | 16.0% | 14.9% |
| Total | | Count | 869 | 349 | 1218 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q27 Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? * Caregiver Type
Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|--|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q27 Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? | 1 VERY ADEQUATELY | Count | 346 | 118 | 464 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 39.9% | 34.0% | 38.2% |
| | 2 SOMEWHAT ADEQUATELY | Count | 389 | 72 | 461 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 44.9% | 20.7% | 38.0% |
| | 3 SOMEWHAT INADEQUATELY | Count | 83 | 19 | 102 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 9.6% | 5.5% | 8.4% |
| | 4 VERY INADEQUATELY | Count | 38 | 12 | 50 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.4% | 3.5% | 4.1% |
| | 5 Already prepared - did not need the training | Count | 9 | 28 | 37 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.0% | 8.1% | 3.0% |
| | 6 Have not had any training | Count | 2 | 98 | 100 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .2% | 28.2% | 8.2% |
| Total | Count | 867 | 347 | 1214 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

Q144 Respondent Gender * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q144 Respondent Gender | 1 Male | Count | 93 | 32 | 125 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.7% | 9.1% | 10.2% |
| | 2 Female | Count | 780 | 319 | 1099 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 89.3% | 90.9% | 89.8% |
| Total | Count | 873 | 351 | 1224 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

NQ145 Caregiver Age * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---------------------------|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| NQ145 Caregiver Age | 1 20s | Count | 34 | 27 | 61 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.9% | 7.7% | 5.0% |
| | 2 30s | Count | 203 | 47 | 250 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 23.4% | 13.4% | 20.6% |
| | 3 40s | Count | 298 | 90 | 388 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 34.4% | 25.7% | 31.9% |
| | 4 50s | Count | 221 | 119 | 340 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 25.5% | 34.0% | 28.0% |
| | 5 60s | Count | 94 | 54 | 148 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 10.9% | 15.4% | 12.2% |
| | 6 70+ | Count | 16 | 13 | 29 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.8% | 3.7% | 2.4% |
| Total | | Count | 866 | 350 | 1216 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q146 How would you describe the geographic area in which you live? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q146 How would you describe the geographic area in which you live? | 1 A RURAL AREA | Count | 250 | 96 | 346 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 28.7% | 27.5% | 28.4% |
| | 2 A SMALL TOWN | Count | 248 | 105 | 353 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 28.5% | 30.1% | 28.9% |
| | 3 A SUBURB | Count | 169 | 53 | 222 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 19.4% | 15.2% | 18.2% |
| | 4 A CITY OR URBAN AREA | Count | 204 | 95 | 299 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 23.4% | 27.2% | 24.5% |
| Total | | Count | 871 | 349 | 1220 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q147_AmerInd Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: American Indian/Native American * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q147_AmerInd Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: American Indian/Native American | 1 Yes | Count | 40 | 27 | 67 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.6% | 7.6% | 5.4% |
| | 2 No | Count | 836 | 328 | 1164 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 95.4% | 92.4% | 94.6% |
| Total | Count | 876 | 355 | 1231 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

**Q147_Black Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Black or African American * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q147_Black Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Black or African American | 1 Yes | Count | 47 | 33 | 80 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.4% | 9.3% | 6.5% |
| | 2 No | Count | 829 | 322 | 1151 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 94.6% | 90.7% | 93.5% |
| Total | Count | 876 | 355 | 1231 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

**Q147_Asian Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q147_Asian Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 1 Yes | Count | 8 | 7 | 15 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .9% | 2.0% | 1.2% |
| | 2 No | Count | 868 | 348 | 1216 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 99.1% | 98.0% | 98.8% |
| Total | Count | 876 | 355 | 1231 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

**Q147_Hispanic Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latin American * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q147_Hispanic Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latin American | 1 Yes | Count | 46 | 36 | 82 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.3% | 10.1% | 6.7% |
| | 2 No | Count | 830 | 319 | 1149 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 94.7% | 89.9% | 93.3% |
| Total | Count | | 876 | 355 | 1231 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q147_White Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Caucasian or white * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q147_White Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Caucasian or white | 1 Yes | Count | 756 | 262 | 1018 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 86.3% | 73.8% | 82.7% |
| | 2 No | Count | 120 | 93 | 213 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 13.7% | 26.2% | 17.3% |
| Total | Count | | 876 | 355 | 1231 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q147_Eskimo Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Eskimo or Aleut * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q147_Eskimo Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Eskimo or Aleut | 2 No | Count | 876 | 355 | 1231 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Total | Count | | 876 | 355 | 1231 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**Q147_Multiple Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Multiple ethnicities * Caregiver Type
 Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q147_Multiple Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Multiple ethnicities | 1 Yes | Count | 12 | 5 | 17 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.4% | 1.4% | 1.4% |
| | 2 No | Count | 864 | 350 | 1214 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 98.6% | 98.6% | 98.6% |
| Total | Count | | 876 | 355 | 1231 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q147_Other Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Other ethnicity * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q147_Other Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Other ethnicity | 1 Yes | Count | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .6% | 1.4% | .8% |
| | 2 No | Count | 871 | 350 | 1221 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 99.4% | 98.6% | 99.2% |
| Total | | Count | 876 | 355 | 1231 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Summary of Respondent Ethnicity * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Summary of Respondent Ethnicity | 1 Native American | Count | 40 | 27 | 67 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.6% | 7.7% | 5.5% |
| | 2 African American | Count | 43 | 31 | 74 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.0% | 8.9% | 6.1% |
| | 3 Asian/PI | Count | 8 | 6 | 14 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .9% | 1.7% | 1.2% |
| | 4 Hispanic | Count | 42 | 32 | 74 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.9% | 9.2% | 6.1% |
| | 5 White | Count | 718 | 245 | 963 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 83.1% | 70.2% | 79.4% |
| | 6 Other | Count | 13 | 8 | 21 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.5% | 2.3% | 1.7% |
| Total | | Count | 864 | 349 | 1213 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q148 What is the highest grade that you completed in school? * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|---|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| Q148 What is the highest grade that you completed in school? | 1 None, or grades 1 through 8 | Count | 8 | 6 | 14 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .9% | 1.7% | 1.1% |
| | 2 High school incomplete, grades 9 through 11 | Count | 29 | 30 | 59 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.3% | 8.6% | 4.8% |
| | 3 High school graduate, grade 12 or GED | Count | 211 | 135 | 346 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 24.3% | 38.6% | 28.4% |
| | 4 Business, technical, or vocational school AFTER high school | Count | 23 | 12 | 35 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.6% | 3.4% | 2.9% |
| | 5 Some college, but no degree | Count | 221 | 71 | 292 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 25.4% | 20.3% | 23.9% |
| | 6 Associate (2-year) college degree | Count | 130 | 39 | 169 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 14.9% | 11.1% | 13.9% |
| | 7 College graduate (4-year), BS, BA, or other | Count | 150 | 37 | 187 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 17.2% | 10.6% | 15.3% |
| | 8 Post graduate training or professional school | Count | 27 | 6 | 33 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.1% | 1.7% | 2.7% |
| | 9 Masters degree | Count | 60 | 12 | 72 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.9% | 3.4% | 5.9% |
| | 10 Doctorate degree | Count | 11 | 2 | 13 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.3% | .6% | 1.1% |
| Total | Count | 870 | 350 | 1220 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

NQ153 Zipcode Region * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| NQ153 Zipcode Region | 1 Other | Count | 3 | 9 | 12 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .3% | 2.6% | 1.0% |
| | 2 West | Count | 685 | 266 | 951 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 79.5% | 76.7% | 78.7% |
| | 3 East | Count | 174 | 72 | 246 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 20.2% | 20.7% | 20.3% |
| Total | Count | 862 | 347 | 1209 | |
| | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

NQ154 County Area * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| NQ154 County Area | 1 East Rural | Count | 100 | 39 | 139 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 11.6% | 11.2% | 11.5% |
| | 2 East Urban | Count | 171 | 76 | 247 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 19.8% | 21.9% | 20.4% |
| | 3 West Rural | Count | 110 | 49 | 159 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 12.7% | 14.1% | 13.1% |
| | 4 West Urban | Count | 476 | 166 | 642 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 55.1% | 47.8% | 53.0% |
| | 5 Out of State | Count | 7 | 17 | 24 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .8% | 4.9% | 2.0% |
| Total | | Count | 864 | 347 | 1211 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

**QLAST Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007 or 2008 about your foster care experiences?
 * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation**

| | | | Caregiver Type | | Total |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | |
| QLAST Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007 or 2008 about your foster care experiences? | 1 Yes - in 2007 | Count | 101 | 13 | 114 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 12.3% | 4.0% | 9.9% |
| | 2 Yes - in 2008 | Count | 71 | 26 | 97 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 8.7% | 7.9% | 8.5% |
| | 3 Yes - both years 2007 & 2008 | Count | 34 | 16 | 50 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.2% | 4.9% | 4.4% |
| | 4 No | Count | 612 | 273 | 885 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 74.8% | 83.2% | 77.2% |
| Total | | Count | 818 | 328 | 1146 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Q155 Respondent Comments * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | | Caregiver Type | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total |
| Q155 Respondent Comments | 1 Comments | Count | 331 | 121 | 452 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 37.9% | 34.5% | 36.9% |
| | 2 No Comments | Count | 542 | 230 | 772 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 62.1% | 65.5% | 63.1% |
| Total | Count | | 873 | 351 | 1224 |
| | % within Caregiver Type | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

NNQ155 * Caregiver Type Crosstabulation

| | | Caregiver Type | | | |
|--------|---|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | | 1 Licensed | 2 Unlicensed | Total | |
| NNQ155 | 1 Financial compensation/reimbursement | Count | 25 | 14 | 39 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 7.5% | 11.5% | 8.6% |
| | 2 Foster parents need better information from agency | Count | 15 | 2 | 17 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.5% | 1.6% | 3.7% |
| | 3 System and social workers should work for best interests of youth | Count | 10 | 6 | 16 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.0% | 4.9% | 3.5% |
| | 4 Private agencies do a better job | Count | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.0% | .0% | 2.2% |
| | 5 Foster parents should be respected/supported | Count | 17 | 1 | 18 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.1% | .8% | 3.9% |
| | 6 Case workers are overloaded/need more case workers/less turnover | Count | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.9% | 7.4% | 4.8% |
| | 7 Insufficient/inaccessible respite care | Count | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.1% | 2.5% | 2.2% |
| | 8 Children are moved too often/need stable environment | Count | 8 | 3 | 11 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.4% | 2.5% | 2.4% |
| | 9 Inadequate training/want more training | Count | 11 | 4 | 15 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.3% | 3.3% | 3.3% |
| | 10 Unpleasant experience working with agency/social workers | Count | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 1.8% | 3.3% | 2.2% |
| | 11 Good experience working with social workers | Count | 25 | 12 | 37 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 7.5% | 9.8% | 8.1% |
| | 12 Social workers are unprofessional | Count | 16 | 5 | 21 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.8% | 4.1% | 4.6% |
| | 13 Satisfied with agency | Count | 23 | 7 | 30 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 6.9% | 5.7% | 6.6% |
| | 14 Wants adoption procedures speeded up | Count | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 2.4% | 3.3% | 2.6% |
| | 15 Do more to keep families together | Count | 12 | 5 | 17 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.6% | 4.1% | 3.7% |
| | 16 Some social workers are good/others are not | Count | 18 | 5 | 23 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 5.4% | 4.1% | 5.0% |
| | 17 More attention to autistic/medically fragile youth | Count | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.0% | .0% | 2.2% |
| | 18 State's financial problems are making the system worse | Count | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 3.0% | .8% | 2.4% |
| | 19 System/agency is not good | Count | 16 | 6 | 22 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 4.8% | 4.9% | 4.8% |
| | 20 Other | Count | 72 | 30 | 102 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 21.6% | 24.6% | 22.4% |
| | 21 NA/DK | Count | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | .6% | .8% | .7% |
| Total | | Count | 334 | 122 | 456 |
| | | % within Caregiver Type | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

SURVEY DOCUMENTATION

Advance Letter

May 8, 2009

«FNAME» «LNAME»
«Addr2»
«Addr1» «UNIT»
«CITY», «STATE» «ZIP»«dash»«ZIP4»

Dear Foster Parent or Caregiver:

We need your help! I am writing to let you know that you will be contacted sometime in May or June for a telephone survey of foster parents. The survey is being conducted as part of the Braam Settlement Implementation Plan, and the purpose is to gather information about your experiences to ensure that you are getting the training and support you need.

Your participation is voluntary, but is crucial to the survey's ability to accurately represent the opinions of all foster parents in Washington State. This is an opportunity for you to tell the Children's Administration and the Braam Oversight Panel how things are going.

In order to ensure your confidentiality, Washington State University's Social and Economic Sciences Research Center (SESRC) is conducting the survey. All information about you will remain in a confidential form here at SESRC and no personally identifying information will be shared with anyone else, including the Braam panel, and Children's Administration. Your answers will be combined with those of other survey participants so that individual answers cannot be identified in the results. Overall survey results will be used by the Braam Panel and by DCFS (Children's Administration) to identify ways of improving the training and support provided to foster parents.

Results will also be available during fall 2009 at the Children's Administration website: <http://www1.dshs.wa.gov/ca/fosterparents/index.asp> and on the Braam Panel website. This is the second year that a survey of foster parents has been undertaken. The results from last year's survey of over 1200 foster parents and relative caregivers are available on the Braam Panel website at www.braampanel.org.

The interview will take about 30 minutes or so, depending on your situation. If the interviewer calls at an inconvenient time, they will gladly make an appointment to call again at a more convenient time.

If you have any questions about the survey or about your participation please call SESRC at 1-800-833-0867 and ask to speak with the Braam survey coordinator, or you may email us at sesrc@wsu.edu. You may also call this number if you want to schedule a specific appointment time for the interview. Information about the survey is also available at the Braam Panel website at www.braampanel.org.

Thank you so much for your time and for the care you provide every day!

Sincerely,



John Tarnai
Director

Questionnaire

2009 Survey

Foster Parent/Caregiver Telephone Questionnaire

Table of Contents

| Question | Section | Outcome | Page |
|---------------|---|---------|------|
| Q1-Q7N | Background Questions | | 28 |
| Q40-Q43 | Child Demographics | | 29 |
| Q125-Q128C | Sibling Visits | E.2.1 | 30 |
| Q59-Q65 | Adequate Information | C.1.3 | 32 |
| Q67A-Q72 | Same Behavioral Health Provider | B.4.1 | 34 |
| Q78, Q57, Q58 | Adequate Support - A | C.1.2 | 35 |
| Q53, Q94 | Adequate Support - B | D.1.6 | 36 |
| Q33-Q39 | Medically Fragile Placements | D.1.5 | 37 |
| QR110-QR115 | PAY Questions | D.1.4 | 38 |
| Q115A-QR118 | SAY Questions | D.1.3 | 39 |
| Q87-Q96 | Adequate Support - C | C.1.2 | 40 |
| Q27 | Adequate Training for Role Responsibilities | C.1.1 | 41 |
| Q144-Q155 | Demographics | | 42 |

Outcomes Measurement

Braam Outcomes

Survey Questions

| | |
|---|---|
| C.1.1 Adequate training of caregivers | Q27 |
| C.1.2 Adequate support of caregivers | Q89, Q93, Q94, Q96, Q57, Q58, Q78 |
| C.1.3 Adequate information for caregivers | Q59, Q61, Q64, Q60, Q62, Q65, Q63A, 63B |
| D.1.5 Medically fragile care | Q33, Q38, Q35, Q39 (includes screening Q) |
| D.1.3 SAY - Sexually Aggressive Youth | Q114, Q115, Q118 (includes screening Q) |
| D.1.4 PAY - Physically Assaultive Youth | Q109 Q110, Q113 (includes screening Q) |
| D.1.6 Monthly caseworker visits | Q53 |
| B.4.1 Behavioral health services | Q68, Q71, Q72 (includes screening Q) |
| E.2.1 Sibling visits | Q125, Q126, Q127, Q128, Q128B (includes screening Q) |

Foster Parent/ Caregiver Telephone Questionnaire

Introduction

BEGIN

Hello, is this the <Respondent Name> household? This is <interviewer name> from Washington State University.

1. Yes – Continue..... 1
2. Not available – Callback 2 → Get best time to call back
3. Nonworking number 3
4. Noncontact 4
5. Communication barrier 5
6. Other 6
7. Ineligible 7

BEGN2

We mailed a letter recently about a survey we are conducting of foster parents and caregivers in Washington State. Do you remember receiving the letter? (IWR Prompt if R says NO: "It was a brief letter to let you know we would be calling.") The survey is being conducted to improve our understanding of the foster care system in Washington from the foster parent and caregiver perspective. May I speak with the main foster parent or child caregiver in your household?

1. Yes - Continue..... 1
2. Not available - Callback..... 2 → Get best time to call back
3. Refusal..... 3 → Thank them for their time

CELL

First, for safety reasons I need to ask if this a cellular phone?

1. Yes - Continue..... 1
2. No 2

CLSAF

Are you in a place where you can safely talk on the phone and answer my questions (that is you are not currently driving)? (IWR: If R cannot safely talk which included driving in a car then say "Sorry to have bothered you, we can call you back at another time." Set a GB General Call-Back.)

1. Yes - Continue..... 1
2. No 2 → Schedule callback

CONFD

Before we begin I want you to know that this interview is completely voluntary and has been approved by Washington State University. While parts of this interview may be monitored by my supervisor, your answers will be kept strictly confidential. If I come to any question you would prefer not to answer, just let me know and I'll skip over it. The questions will take about 20 minutes to complete.

1. Yes - Continue..... 1
2. Not a good time - Callback..... 2 → Get best time to call back
3. Refusal..... 3 → Thank them for their time

Background Questions

Q1. First, I have just a few questions about your role as a foster parent or caregiver. Between July and December of 2008 did you care for any children placed by DCFS?

- 1. YES
- 2. NO → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
- D → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
- R → Skip to End & code as Ineligible

Q2. How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for between July and December of 2008?

_____ # CHILDREN (0 to 99)

Q3. Was (the child/children) that you cared for between July and December of 2008 in a guardianship or adopted by you?

- 1. YES → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
- 2. Some were and some weren't
- 3. NO
- D
- R

Q4. Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home?

- 1. YES
- 2. NO → Skip to Q27 and then Code as Complete-Ineligible
- D
- R

Q5. Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed by DCFS, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during the period of July 1, 2008 and December 31, 2008?

- 1. One
- 2. Two
- 3. Three
- 4. Four
- 5. Five
- 6. Six
- 7. Seven
- 8. Eight
- 9. Nine

Q6. Were you licensed through the Division of Licensed Resources, known as DLR, or through a Private Agency, or were you not licensed?

- 1. DLR
- 2. Private Agency
- 3. Not licensed
- 4. Not sure

Q7N. In the last half of 2008, did you care for any children of a different ethnicity than you?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

Throughout the survey when I say "last half of 2008" I mean the time period between July and December of 2008.

Child Demographics

IF Q5 >1 → For the remaining questions in this survey, we need to select one child, of the <Q05> children placed in your home by DCFS in the last half of 2008. When I say "last half of 2008" I mean the time period between July and December of 2008. I am going to refer to the child you choose as "this child." Do you have a child in mind? (IWR: Press ENTER to Continue when R has Child in mind.)

IF Q5 = 1 → The next set of questions are about the one child placed by DCFS, who has spent longer than 60 days in your home during the last half of 2008. Throughout the survey when I say "last half of 2008" I mean the time period between July 1, 2008 and December 31, 2008.

Q40. Can you please tell me whether this child is male or female?

1. Male
2. Female

Q41. How old is this child?

_____ Age in Years

Q42. In what month and year was this child placed in your home?

_____ Month _____ Year

Q43. Please tell me the racial or ethnic background that best describes this child. (IWR: Read categories if R gives you a race or ethnicity that does not fit the list.)

- 1 American Indian/Native American
- 2 Black or African American
- 3 Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander
- 4 Hispanic or Latin American
- 5 Caucasian or white
- 6 Eskimo or Aleut
- 7 Multiple ethnicities
- 8 Other ethnicity
- 9 Not Sure

Sibling Visits

Q125. Does this child have a sister or brother?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q59
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q59

Q125A. How many sisters and brothers does this child have?

- 1. One
- 2. Two
- 3. Three
- 4. Four
- 5. Five
- 6. Six
- 7. Seven
- 8. Eight
- 9. Nine or more
- D. Don't know

Q125B. Of these, how many are full siblings, half, and step siblings?

| FULL | | HALF | | STEP | |
|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|
| 0. | None | 0. | None | 0. | None |
| 1. | One | 1. | One | 1. | One |
| 2. | Two | 2. | Two | 2. | Two |
| 3. | Three | 3. | Three | 3. | Three |
| 4. | Four | 4. | Four | 4. | Four |
| 5. | Five | 5. | Five | 5. | Five |
| 6. | Six | 6. | Six | 6. | Six |
| 7. | Seven | 7. | Seven | 7. | Seven |
| 8. | Eight | 8. | Eight | 8. | Eight |
| 9. | Nine or more | 9. | Nine or more | 9. | Nine or more |
| D | Don't Know | D | Don't Know | D. | Don't Know |

Q126. In the last half of 2008, were all siblings (full, half, and step) of this child placed in your home?

- 1 Yes → SKIP TO Q59
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

Q126A. If all the siblings (full, half and step) were not placed in your home, how many were placed in each of these settings?

- A. With their mother _____ (0-9)
- B. With their father _____
- C. With a relative or unlicensed family friend _____
- D. In another foster home _____
- E. In a group home _____
- F. Some other setting _____
- G. Don't Know _____

Q126B. I am going to read a list of reasons that siblings may not be placed together, as I read each one, please tell me whether or not this is a reason that this child's sibling(s) (is/are) not placed in your home?

| | | | |
|--|-----|----|----|
| A. Siblings live far apart | yes | no | DK |
| B. Siblings don't get along | yes | no | DK |
| C. Your home doesn't have room for all siblings | yes | no | DK |
| D. Siblings can't live together due to safety issues | yes | no | DK |
| E. Siblings don't know one another | yes | no | DK |
| F. Siblings are over age 18 | yes | no | DK |
| G. You are not willing or able to take all siblings | yes | no | DK |
| H. The department didn't ask you to | yes | no | DK |
| I. You are not sure why | yes | no | DK |
| J. Some other reason | yes | no | DK |

Q127. Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and his/her siblings?

- 1 Yes → SKIP to Q59
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

Q128. In a typical month in the last half of 2008, how often did this child have visits with their siblings? Would you say . . .

- 0 Not at all
- 1 Less than once a month
- 2 Once a month
- 3 Twice a month
- 4 Three times a month
- 5 Four times a month
- 6 More than 4 times a month
- 7 Not sure

Q128B. In a typical month in the last half of 2008, how often did this child have other forms of contact with their siblings, not including staffing meetings or court events? Would you say . . .

- 0 Not at all
- 1 Less than once a month
- 2 Once a month
- 3 Twice a month
- 4 Three times a month
- 5 Four times a month
- 6 More than 4 times a month
- 7 Not sure

(ASK NEXT QUESTION ONLY IF RESPONSES TO Q128 AND Q128B TOGETHER TOTAL LESS THAN TWICE A MONTH; I.E., SUM OF Q128 AND Q128B IS < 2)

Q128C What are the main reasons that this child has not had visits or other forms of contact with their siblings at least twice a month? (CODE RESPONSE)

- | | | | |
|--|-----|----|----|
| A. This child doesn't want visits or contact | yes | no | DK |
| B. Visits or contact have not been coordinated by the department | yes | no | DK |
| C. The children are physically far apart | yes | no | DK |
| D. Children's age makes phone or other contact unreasonable | yes | no | DK |
| E. Haven't got around to doing it | yes | no | DK |
| F. Scheduling conflicts | yes | no | DK |
| G. Sibling's caregiver was unsuccessful in scheduling visits | yes | no | DK |
| H. Sibling aged out | yes | no | DK |
| I. Sibling was adopted | yes | no | DK |
| J. Not sure why | yes | no | DK |
| K. Some other reason | yes | no | DK |
-

Adequate Information about Children's Needs

Next, I have a few more questions about this child in the last half of 2008, from July through December.

Q59. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2008?

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

Q60. If the child was placed with you in 2008, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2008
4. Not sure

[IF AGE OF CHILD IN Q41 IS <=4 YEARS → SKIP Q61 & Q62 (since they don't apply)]

Q61. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2008?

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

Q62. If the child was placed in 2008, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2008
4. Not sure

Q63. Has this child been identified as having "developmental delays"?

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q64
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q64

Q63A. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2008?

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

Q63B. If the child was placed in 2008, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2008
4. Not sure

Q64. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral needs in 2008?

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

Q65. IF the child was placed in 2008, did you receive information about this child's behavioral problems or substance abuse issues within 30 days after she/he was placed in your home?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2008
4. Not sure

Here are some more questions about this child in the last half of 2008, from July through December.

Same Behavioral Health Provider

Q67A. In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in the last half of 2008?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q67
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q67

Q67B. Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2008?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

Q67C. Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q67
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q67

Q67D. Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

Q67. Where there any other times in 2008, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q78
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q78
- 4

Q68. Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q78
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q78

Q70. In 2008, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians?

- 1 By just one clinician
- 2 By a team of clinicians
- 3 Not sure

Q71. During 2008, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child/your foster child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?

- 1 The same clinician/ treatment team → SKIP to Q78
- 2 Different clinicians/ treatment teams
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q78

Q72. In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child/your foster child

1. YES
2. NO
3. OR YOU ARE NOT SURE

Adequate Support - A

Q78. During the last half of 2008 did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about shared case planning meetings; for example: ISSP meetings, CHET meetings, multiple placement staffings, or case conferences, for this child? (Exclude meetings that were called on an emergency basis.)

- 1 ALWAYS
- 2 MOST OF THE TIME
- 3 ABOUT HALF THE TIME
- 4 RARELY
- 5 NEVER

Q57. *In the last half of 2008, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings (excluding hearings called on an emergency basis)? Would you say . . .*

- 1 ALWAYS
- 2 MOST OF THE TIME
- 3 ABOUT HALF THE TIME
- 4 RARELY
- 5 NEVER
- 6 OR WERE THERE NO COURT HEARINGS IN 2008 → SKIP to Q53

Q58. *In the last half of 2008, how often did the agency advise you that you would have an opportunity to be heard at these hearings? Would you say . . .*

- 1 ALWAYS
- 2 MOST OF THE TIME
- 3 ABOUT HALF THE TIME
- 4 RARELY
- 5 NEVER

Adequate Support - B

Q53. *In the last half of 2008, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child, either at home or outside of the home? Would you say . . .*

1. SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH
2. ABOUT ONCE A MONTH
3. ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH
4. ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS
5. ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS
6. ONCE IN THE WHOLE YEAR
7. NEVER IN 2008
8. Not sure

Q94. *Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the last half of 2008? Would you say . . .*

- 1 VERY SATISFIED
- 2 SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3 SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4 VERY DISSATISFIED
- 5 Not Sure

Medically Fragile Placements

Q33. *In the last half of 2008 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as “medically fragile” (include only those children who remain in the custody of DCF and not in guardianship)*

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

I'd like to read the definition of a “medically fragile” child to you:

Medically Fragile Children are those who have medical conditions that require the availability 24-hour skilled care from a health care professional or specially trained family or foster family member. These conditions may be present all the time or frequently occurring. If the technology, support and services being received by the medically fragile children are interrupted or denied, the child may, without immediate health care intervention, experience death.

Q33A. *Given this definition of “medically fragile” children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition?*

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

Q34. *How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the last half of 2008?*

_____ # MEDICALLY FRAGILE CHILDREN

Q35. *Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for this/these medically fragile child(ren)?*

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

Q38. *In the last half of 2008 did you need medical care for this/these child(ren)?*

1. YES
2. NO → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

Q39. *In 2008 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for this/these child(ren)?*

1. YES
2. NO
3. Not sure

P-A-Y Questions

QR110. Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the last half of 2008, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

I'd like to read the definition of a "Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive" child to you:

Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive means those youth who have been observed to willfully assault a person with the potential of inflicting bodily harm to that person. This definition also includes youth who have made real threats to use a weapon or attempted to inflict harm to a person. Developmental level should be considered. A toddler who bites or hits is not considered physically assaultive.

Q110A. Given this definition of "Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive" children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

QR109. Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

QR111. Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

QR111A. Was the plan in written form?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

QR111B. Was the plan discussed with you?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

QR113. Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

S-A-Y Questions

QR115. Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the last half of 2008, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q27
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q27

I'd like to read the definition of a "Sexually Aggressive" child to you:

Sexually Aggressive Youth means those youth who have committed a sexually aggressive act or other violent act that is sexual in nature, whether or not they have been charged. This does not refer to developmentally normal exploration. The sexually aggressive acts should have involved power over the victim through age difference (normally four or more years) or force/coercion.

Q115A. Given this definition of "Sexually Aggressive" children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q27
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q27

QR114. Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

QR116. Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q27
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q27

QR116A. Was the plan in written form?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

QR116B. Was the plan discussed with you?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

QR118. Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

Adequate Support - C

The next few questions are about your interactions in the last half of 2008 with the agency.

Q87. In the last half of 2008, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help?

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q93
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q93

Q88. About how many times did this happen in the last half of 2008?

_____ # TIMES IN 2008

Q89. The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2008, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? Would you say. . .

- 1 YES, RIGHT AWAY
- 2 YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE
- 3 NO
- 4 Not sure

Q93. How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during 2008? Would you say they are . . .

1. VERY SUPPORTIVE → SKIP TO Q96
2. SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE → SKIP TO Q96
3. SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE
4. VERY UNSUPPORTIVE
5. Not sure --SKIP TO Q96

Q93A. What's the main reason that you say the agency was unsupportive in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during the last half of 2008?

_____ **OPEN END**

Q96. Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the last half of 2008? Would you say . . .

- 1 VERY SATISFIED
- 2 SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3 SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4 VERY DISSATISFIED
5. Not Sure

Adequate Training for Role Responsibilities

Q27. Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? Would you say . . .

- 1 VERY ADEQUATELY
- 2 SOMEWHAT ADEQUATELY
- 3 SOMEWHAT INADEQUATELY
- 4 VERY INADEQUATELY
- 5 Already prepared – did not need the training
- 6 Have not had any training
- 7 Not Sure

Demographics

Now, I have just a few questions about you for statistical purposes.

Q144. (ASK ONLY IF NECESSARY) For survey purposes, I need to ask, are you . . .

1. MALE
2. FEMALE

Q145. In what year were you born?

Q146. How would you describe the geographic area in which you live? Would you describe it as . . .

1. A RURAL AREA
2. A SMALL TOWN
3. A SUBURB
4. A CITY OR URBAN AREA
5. Not sure

Q147. Please tell me the racial or ethnic background that best describes you. [IWR: Read categories if R gives you a race or ethnicity that does not fit the list.]

- 1 AMERICAN INDIAN
- 2 BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN
- 3 ASIAN, HAWAIIAN, OR PACIFIC ISLANDER
- 4 HISPANIC OR LATIN AMERICAN
- 5 CAUCASIAN OR WHITE
- 6 ESKIMO OR ALEUT
- 7 MULTIPLE ETHNICITIES
- 8 SOME OTHER ETHNICITY
- 9 Not Sure

Q148. What is the highest grade that you completed in school?

- 1 None, or grades 1 through 8
- 2 High school incomplete, grades 9 through 11
- 3 High school graduate, grade 12 or GED
- 4 Business, technical, or vocational school AFTER high school
- 5 Some college, but no degree
- 6 Associate (2-year) college degree
- 7 College graduate (4-year), BS, BA, or other
- 8 Post graduate training or professional school
- 9 Masters degree
- 10 Doctorate degree
- 11 Not Sure

Q153. What is your zip code? _____

Q154. In which COUNTY do you live? IWR: This is asking for County NOT Country

1. Adams
2. Asotin
3. Benton
4. Chelan
5. Clallam
6. Clark
7. Columbia
8. Cowlitz
9. Douglas
10. Ferry
11. Franklin
12. Garfield
13. Grant
14. Grays Harbor
15. Island
16. Jefferson
17. King
18. Kitsap
19. Kittitas
20. Klickitat
21. Lewis
22. Lincoln
23. Mason
24. Okanogan
25. Pacific
26. Pend Oreille
27. Pierce
28. San Juan
29. Skagit
30. Skamania
31. Snohomish
32. Spokane
33. Stevens
34. Thurston
35. Wahkiakum
36. Walla Walla
37. Whatcom
38. Whitman
39. Yakima
40. Not sure
41. Out of State

QLAST This is my last question. Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007 or 2008 about your foster care experiences?

1. Yes – in 2007
2. Yes – in 2008
3. Yes – both years 2007 & 2008
4. No
5. Not sure

Closing

Q155. That completes the survey. Your help is greatly appreciated. If you have any additional comments or questions about Washington's Foster Care system or about this survey, I can take note of them now.

Thank you very much for taking the time to participate in this survey and share your opinions about these issues. Thank you!

Question Names by Wave

| Question Name | Wave |
|---------------|-------|
| Q01 | 1,2,3 |
| Q02 | 1,2,3 |
| Q02AM | 1 |
| Q02AY | 1 |
| Q02BM | 1 |
| Q02BY | 1 |
| Q03 | 1,2,3 |
| Q03A | 1 |
| Q03B | 1 |
| Q04 | 1,2,3 |
| Q05 | 1,3 |
| Q06 | 1,3 |
| Q07 | 1,2 |
| Q07A | 1,2 |
| Q07N | 3 |
| Q08 | 1 |
| Q08A | 1 |
| Q08BM1 | 1 |
| Q08BM10 | 1 |
| Q08BM2 | 1 |
| Q08BM3 | 1 |
| Q08BM4 | 1 |
| Q08BM5 | 1 |
| Q08BM6 | 1 |
| Q08BM7 | 1 |
| Q08BM8 | 1 |
| Q08BM9 | 1 |
| Q09 | 1,2 |
| Q10 | 1 |
| Q11 | 1,2 |
| Q12 | 1 |
| Q13 | 1,2 |
| Q13_2 | 1,2 |
| Q14 | 1,2 |
| Q15 | 1,2 |
| Q16 | 1,2 |
| Q16A | 1,2 |
| Q16BM1 | 1,2 |
| Q16BM10 | 1,2 |

| Question Name | Wave |
|---------------|-------|
| Q16BM2 | 1,2 |
| Q16BM3 | 1,2 |
| Q16BM4 | 1,2 |
| Q16BM5 | 1,2 |
| Q16BM6 | 1,2 |
| Q16BM7 | 1,2 |
| Q16BM8 | 1,2 |
| Q16BM9 | 1,2 |
| Q17 | 1 |
| Q18 | 1,2 |
| Q19 | 1,2 |
| Q20 | 1,2 |
| Q21 | 1 |
| Q21A | 1 |
| Q22 | 1,2 |
| Q23_A | 1,2 |
| Q23_B | 1,2 |
| Q23_C | 1,2 |
| Q23_D | 1,2 |
| Q23_E | 1,2 |
| Q23_F | 1,2 |
| Q23_G | 1,2 |
| Q23_H | 2 |
| Q24_A | 1 |
| Q24_B | 1 |
| Q24_C | 1 |
| Q24_D | 1 |
| Q24_E | 1 |
| Q24_F | 1 |
| Q24_G | 1 |
| Q25 | 1 |
| Q26 | 1 |
| Q27 | 1,2,3 |
| Q28 | 1,2 |
| Q29 | 1,2 |
| Q30 | 1,2 |
| Q31 | 1 |
| Q32_A | 1 |
| Q32_B | 1 |
| Q32_C | 1 |

| Question Name | Wave |
|---------------|-------|
| Q32_D | 1 |
| Q32_E | 1 |
| Q32_F | 1 |
| Q32_G | 1 |
| Q32_H | 1 |
| Q32_I | 1 |
| Q32_J | 1 |
| Q32_K | 1 |
| Q32_L | 1 |
| Q32A | 1 |
| Q33 | 1,2,3 |
| Q33A | 3 |
| Q34 | 1,2,3 |
| Q35 | 1,2,3 |
| Q36 | 1,2 |
| Q37 | 1 |
| Q38 | 1,2,3 |
| Q39 | 1,2,3 |
| Q40 | 1,2,3 |
| Q40A | 3 |
| Q40B | 3 |
| Q40F1 | 1 |
| Q40FL | 1 |
| Q40M1 | 2 |
| Q40M2 | 2 |
| Q41 | 1,2,3 |
| Q42M | 1,2,3 |
| Q42Y | 1,2,3 |
| Q43_AmerInd | 1,2,3 |
| Q43_Asian | 1,2,3 |
| Q43_Black | 1,2,3 |
| Q43_Eskimo | 1,2,3 |
| Q43_Hispanic | 1,2,3 |
| Q43_Multiple | 1,2,3 |
| Q43_Other | 1,2,3 |
| Q43_White | 1,2,3 |
| Q44 | 1,2 |
| Q45_A | 1,2 |
| Q45_B | 1,2 |
| Q45_C | 1,2 |

| Question Name | Wave |
|---------------|-------|
| Q45_D | 1,2 |
| Q45_E | 1,2 |
| Q45_F | 1,2 |
| Q45_G | 1,2 |
| Q45_H | 1,2 |
| Q45_I | 1,2 |
| Q45_J | 1,2 |
| Q45_K | 1,2 |
| Q45_L | 1,2 |
| Q45_M | 1,2 |
| Q46 | 1,2 |
| Q47 | 1 |
| Q48 | 1,2 |
| Q49 | 1,2 |
| Q50 | 1 |
| Q51 | 1,2 |
| Q51F1 | 1 |
| Q51F2 | 1 |
| Q52 | 1,2 |
| Q53 | 1,2,3 |
| Q54 | 1,2 |
| Q55 | 1,2 |
| Q56 | 1,2 |
| Q57 | 1,2,3 |
| Q58 | 1,2,3 |
| Q59 | 1,2,3 |
| Q60 | 1,2,3 |
| Q61 | 1,2,3 |
| Q62 | 1,2,3 |
| Q63 | 1,2,3 |
| Q63A | 2,3 |
| Q63B | 2,3 |
| Q64 | 1,2,3 |
| Q65 | 1,2,3 |
| Q66 | 1,2 |
| Q67 | 1,2,3 |
| Q67A | 2,3 |
| Q67B | 2,3 |
| Q67C | 2,3 |
| Q67D | 2,3 |

| Question Name | Wave |
|---------------|-------|
| Q68 | 1,2,3 |
| Q69 | 1,2 |
| Q70 | 1,2,3 |
| Q71 | 1,2,3 |
| Q72 | 1,2,3 |
| Q72A | 2 |
| Q73 | 1,2 |
| Q74 | 1 |
| Q75 | 1,2 |
| Q76 | 1 |
| Q77 | 1,2 |
| Q78 | 1,2,3 |
| Q79 | 1,2 |
| Q80 | 1,2 |
| Q81 | 1,2 |
| Q82 | 1 |
| Q83 | 1 |
| Q84 | 1,2 |
| Q85 | 1 |
| Q86 | 1 |
| Q87 | 1,2,3 |
| Q88 | 1,2,3 |
| Q89 | 1,2,3 |
| Q90 | 1,2 |
| Q91 | 1,2 |
| Q92 | 1 |
| Q93 | 1,2,3 |
| Q93A | 3 |
| Q94 | 1,2,3 |
| Q95 | 1 |
| Q96 | 1,2,3 |
| Q97 | 1 |
| Q98 | 1 |
| Q99 | 1,2 |
| Q100 | 1 |
| Q101 | 1 |
| Q102 | 1 |
| Q103 | 1 |
| Q104 | 1,2 |
| Q105 | 1,2 |

| Question Name | Wave |
|---------------|------|
| Q106 | 1,2 |
| Q107 | 1,2 |
| Q108 | 1 |
| Q109 | 1 |
| QR109 | 2,3 |
| QR110 | 2,3 |
| QR111 | 2,3 |
| QR112 | 2 |
| QR113 | 2,3 |
| QR114 | 2,3 |
| QR115 | 2,3 |
| QR116 | 2,3 |
| QR117 | 2 |
| QR118 | 2,3 |
| QR11A | 2 |
| QR11B | 2 |
| QR16A | 2 |
| QR16B | 2 |
| R111A | 3 |
| R111B | 3 |
| R116A | 3 |
| R116B | 3 |
| Q110 | 1 |
| Q110A | 3 |
| Q111 | 1 |
| Q112 | 1 |
| Q113 | 1 |
| Q114 | 1 |
| Q115 | 1 |
| Q115A | 3 |
| Q116 | 1 |
| Q117 | 1 |
| Q118 | 1 |
| Q119 | 1,2 |
| Q120 | 1,2 |
| Q121 | 1,2 |
| Q122 | 1,2 |
| Q123 | 1,2 |
| Q124A | 1,2 |
| Q124B | 1,2 |

| Question Name | Wave |
|---------------|-------|
| Q125 | 1,2,3 |
| Q125A | 3 |
| Q125F | 3 |
| Q125H | 3 |
| Q125S | 3 |
| Q126 | 1,2,3 |
| Q126A | 3 |
| Q126B | 3 |
| Q126C | 3 |
| Q126D | 3 |
| Q126E | 3 |
| Q126F | 3 |
| Q126G | 3 |
| Q126H | 3 |
| Q126I | 3 |
| Q126J | 3 |
| Q126K | 3 |
| Q126L | 3 |
| Q126M | 3 |
| Q126N | 3 |
| Q126O | 3 |
| Q126P | 3 |
| Q126Q | 3 |
| Q127 | 1,2,3 |
| Q128 | 1,2,3 |
| Q128B | 2,3 |
| Q128C | 3 |
| Q128D | 3 |
| Q128E | 3 |
| Q128F | 3 |
| Q128G | 3 |
| Q128H | 3 |
| Q128I | 3 |
| Q128J | 3 |
| Q128K | 3 |
| Q128L | 3 |
| Q128M | 3 |
| Q129 | 1,2 |
| Q130 | 1,2 |
| Q131 | 1,2 |

| Question Name | Wave |
|---------------|-------|
| Q132 | 1 |
| Q133 | 1,2 |
| Q133A | 1,2 |
| Q134 | 1,2 |
| Q135 | 1 |
| Q136 | 1 |
| Q137 | 1 |
| Q138 | 1 |
| Q139 | 1,2 |
| Q140A | 1 |
| Q140B | 1 |
| Q141A | 1,2 |
| Q141B | 1,2 |
| Q141C | 1,2 |
| Q142 | 1,2 |
| Q143 | 1,2 |
| Q143A | 2 |
| Q143B | 2 |
| Q143C | 2 |
| Q143N | 2 |
| Q144 | 1,2,3 |
| Q145 | 1,2,3 |
| Q146 | 1,2,3 |
| Q147_AmerInd | 1,2,3 |
| Q147_Asian | 1,2,3 |
| Q147_Black | 1,2,3 |
| Q147_Eskimo | 1,2,3 |
| Q147_Hispanic | 1,2,3 |
| Q147_Multiple | 1,2,3 |
| Q147_Other | 1,2,3 |
| Q147_White | 1,2,3 |
| Q148 | 1,2,3 |
| Q149 | 1,2 |
| Q150 | 1,2 |
| Q151 | 1,2 |
| Q152 | 1,2 |
| Q153 | 1,2,3 |
| Q154 | 1,2,3 |
| Q155 | 1 |

Frequently Asked Questions

Telephone Survey of Washington State Foster Parents

Access code: 272-408-119

SESRC 800#: 800-833-0867

1. **WHO IS FUNDING THE SURVEY?**

This project is funded by the State of Washington and is sponsored by the Braam Oversight Panel.

1.5 **WHAT IS THE BRAAM OVERSIGHT PANEL?**

The Braam Oversight Panel was created in 2004 to oversee a settlement agreement regarding Washington State's foster care system. You can get more information about the Oversight Panel by going online at www.braampanel.org

2. **WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY?**

The purpose of this study is to find out what foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State think about the training they have received and to learn what more needs to be done to help foster parents properly care for their foster children. This is the third year that the survey is being conducted.

3. **WHO IS THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE STUDY?**

Here at Washington State University the people responsible are John Tarnai and Bruce Austin. You can contact them by calling 800-833-0867 and mentioning that you calling about the BRAAM survey project. You can also e-mail them at sesrc@wsu.edu.

If you want to contact the Braam Panel, you can call the Executive Director, Carrie Whitaker, at 206-616-0390 or email her at carwhit@u.washington.edu .

4. **HOW MANY PEOPLE WILL BE PARTICIPATING?**

We will be interviewing about 1,200 foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State over the next two months.

5. **WHO ARE YOU? WHO IS CONDUCTING THIS INTERVIEW?**

I am a (student/resident) of Pullman, Washington, working part-time for the Social and Economic Sciences Research Center at Washington State University.

6. HOW DID YOU GET MY NAME?

The State of Washington provided the names of all foster parents and relative caregivers for this survey.

7. HOW CAN I BE SURE THIS IS AUTHENTIC?

I would be glad to give you our telephone number here at SESRC, and you can call my supervisor to verify my employment and the validity of the study. The phone number is: 800-833-0867 and my supervisors are Jessie Paulson, James Segota, and Tony Hernandez.

You can also go to the Braam Panel website (www.braampanel.org), which has a link to the survey and to the SESRC website (www.sesrc.wsu.edu)

8. IS THIS CONFIDENTIAL?

Yes, all information about you will remain in a confidential form here at SESRC and no identifying information will be shared with anyone else, including the Braam panel, and Children's Administration. Your answers will be combined with those of other survey participants so that individual answers cannot be identified in the results. Your name and phone number will be removed from the final data set.

Also, maintaining confidentiality is extremely important to the success of our research center, because we conduct many surveys. Thus, we are very careful to adhere to these policies and protect your privacy.

9. HOW WILL THE RESULTS BE USED? WHAT WILL YOU DO WITH MY ANSWERS?

The results of the survey will be analyzed and summarized in a report to the Braam Panel and the State of Washington about the training experiences of foster parents and relative caregivers in the State of Washington. The results will be used to improve the training and preparation of foster parents in the state. The results of previous year's surveys are posted on the Braam Panel website at www.braampanel.org

VOICE MAIL MESSAGES

MESSAGE TO LEAVE ON RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING MACHINE

First Message:

Hi, I'm calling from Washington State University to speak with <FNAME> <LNAME> about a survey of foster parents and relative caregivers, described in a letter we sent you recently. If you can please call us back at our toll free number we can schedule a convenient day and time for the telephone interview. Please call 1-800-833-0867 and mention the foster parent survey and number <IDNUM>. Thanks in advance for your help.

Second Message:

HI, I'm calling from Washington State University to speak with <FNAME> <LNAME> about a survey of foster parents and relative caregivers that we are conducting for Children's Administration and the Braam Oversight Panel. We've been trying to contact you to schedule a convenient day and time for the telephone interview. If you could please call us back at our toll free number we can schedule a time that is most convenient for you. Please call 1-800-833-0867 and mention the foster parent survey and number <IDNUM>. Thanks in advance for your help.

SURVEY DATASETS

TO ENSURE ANONYMITY OF SURVEY RESPONDENTS, THE SESRC REMOVED ALL IDENTIFIERS FROM THE SURVEY DATASETS.

THE SURVEY DATASETS ARE MAINTAINED IN A SECURE LOCATION AT SESRC, AND MAY NOT BE RELEASEDD WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM THE BRAAM OVERSIGHT PANEL.



Social & Economic Sciences Research Center
Washington State University
P.O. Box 644014
Pullman, Washington 99164-4014
Telephone: (509) 335-1511 Fax: (509) 335-0116
<http://www.sesrc.wsu.edu>
sesrc@wsu.edu
