

**Behavioral Health Administration – Mental Health
030 - M2 - RS - BHO RATE ADJUSTMENT**

Agency Submittal: 2015-17 Final 2017 Sup

Budget Period: 2015-17

SUMMARY

The Behavioral Health Administration (BHA) requests a funding adjustment for Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) rate updates in order to carry rates developed after the 2016 Supplemental budget into the Fiscal Year 2017.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The Behavioral Health Organization funding is based on the Medicaid Forecast Council Forecast and actuarially developed rates. The rates were updated and are currently being developed by the actuary and need to be carried into the 2017-19 Biennial Budget for the Medicaid rates.

PROPOSED SOLUTION

Provide funding for the actuarially developed rates to Behavioral Health Organizations (BHO) for services to eligible populations

EXPECTED RESULTS

The BHOs will have sufficient funding to provide the care needed for their clients.

STAKEHOLDER IMPACT

BHOs and eligible clients will continue to receive benefits and assistance. This proposal is routine in nature and expected to have support from stakeholders with no known opposition.

Agency Contact: Sara Corbin (360) 902-8194
Program Contact: Melissa Clarey (360) 725-1675



DSHS VISION

People are healthy • People are safe • People are supported • Taxpayer resources are guarded

DSHS MISSION

To transform lives

DSHS VALUES

Honesty and Integrity • Pursuit of Excellence • Open Communication • Diversity and Inclusion • Commitment to Service

OTHER CONNECTIONS

Performance Outcomes/Important Connections

1. Does this DP provide essential support to one or more of the Governor's Results Washington priorities?

Goal 4: Healthy & Safe Communities - Healthy People - Provide access to good medical care to improve people's lives.

2. The decision package meets the following DSHS' strategic objectives:

4.3: Implement managed care behavioral health integration by April 1, 2016.

3. Identify other important connections or impacts below. (Indicate 'Yes' or 'No'. If 'Yes' identify the connections or impacts related to the proposal.)

- a) Regional/County impacts? Yes, the Behavioral Health Organizations are impacted by this request.
- b) Other local government impacts? Yes, the Behavioral Health Organizations are impacted by this request.
- c) Tribal government impacts? No
- d) Other state agency impacts? No
- e) Responds to specific task force, report, mandate or executive order? No
- f) Does request contain a compensation change or require changes to a Collective Bargaining Agreement? No
- g) Facility/workplace needs or impacts? No
- h) Capital budget impacts? No
- i) Is change required to existing statutes, rules or contracts? No
- j) Is the request related to litigation? No
- k) Is the request related to Puget Sound recovery? No
- l) Other important connections? No

4. Please provide a detailed discussion of connections/impacts identified above.

The actuarially developed rates adjustment necessitates a change in the funding provided for the forecasted program.

030 - M2 - RS - BHO Rate Adjustment

Alternatives/Consequences/Other

5. What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

There are no alternatives to this request because the actuarially developed rates adjustment necessitates a funding adjustment.

6. How has or can the agency address the issue or need within its current appropriation level?

If this request is not funded, persons eligible for series will not receive them.

7. Does this decision package include funding for any IT-related costs (hardware, software, services, cloud-based services, contracts or IT staff)?

No

Yes (Include an IT Addendum)

