Community Drug and Alcohol Data for Prevention Planning

Five-year Rates of Risk Factors and Problem Outcomes

June, 2016

Washington State
Department of Social
& Health Services
Transforming lives

DSHS

WASHINGTON STATE
Department of Social and Health Services

Headquarters located at:
14th and Jefferson Street
Olympia, WA 98504

RDA

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division (RDA)

14th and Jefferson Street
PO Box 45204
Olympia, WA 98504-5204
360.902.0707

Order Publication:
360.902.0701

RDA's Online Library:
www.dshs.wa.gov/rda

Information About this Publication

Title: Community Drug and Alcohol Data for Prevention Planning: Five-year Rates of Risk Factors and Problem Outcomes

Abstract: This report provides data for drug and alcohol prevention planning at the community scale. The "communities" presented in this report are either larger school districts, or groupings of smaller neighboring school districts that (when grouped) have populations of around 20,000. For the rest of this report, these school districts and district groups are called "locales."

To overcome small number problems and allow for smaller locales, the rates presented here are five year averages.

Keywords: Alcohol or drug prevention, Washington State, Risk Factors, Teen Substance Abuse, David Hawkins, Richard Catalano, community-based prevention planning

Category: Risk and Prevention Profile

Geography: Washington State

Publication Number: 4.53-40

Project Name: Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Geographic Information System (CORE)

Authors: Irina V. Sharkova, Ph.D., Vera Barga, and David Mancuso, Ph.D.

Acknowledgments: Chris Imhoff, Director, Washington State Department of Social and Health Services Division of Behavioral Health and Recovery

Project Supported by: DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division and Behavioral Health and Recovery Division
Cover Design by: DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division



Understanding Locales

Locales are single school districts or groups of school districts. If school districts are grouped into a single locale, the following rules were used:

i. The total population within the grouping had to be at least 20,000 people.
ii. The school districts grouped were part of a single Educational Service District.
iii. The school districts grouped were similar in character (for example, they had similar proportions of students receiving school lunches).

Your locale contains the districts most like your district which share your geographic area, in essence, your neighbors in the prevention effort. Comparing your district to your locale allows smaller districts to get an idea of how you are doing compared to everyone in that neighborhood. Your locale covers an area large enough to provide a stable population for rates and minimize the choppiness caused by small number issues. While there will be differences between your district and others in your locale, these areas should be close enough for you to be aware of those differences and how your community fits in the grouping. Hopefully for districts too small to get reliable rates for analysis, the locale grouping can provide a helpful picture of your areas progress and a way to compare your area to other larger districts.



School Districts by Locale Number

School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.
Aberdeen	99	Auburn	79	Bethel	77	Bridgeport	33	Cascade	36	Centralia	92	Cle Elum-Roslyn	18
Adna	96	Bainbridge Island	87	Bickleton	20	Brinnon	107	Cashmere	36	Chehalis	96	Clover Park	76
Almira	12	Battle Ground	110	Blaine	40	Burlington-Edison	44	Castle Rock	114	Cheney	7	Colfax	13
Anacortes	43	Bellevue	74	Boistfort	97	Camas	116	Centerville	118	Chewelah	9	College Place	27
Arlington	47	Bellingham	52	Bremerton	105	Cape Flattery	107	Central Kitsap	101	Chimacum	103	Colton	13
Asotin-Anatone	28	Benge	12	Brewster	35	Carbonado	67	Central Valley	2	Clarkston	28	Columbia (Stevens)	11

School Districts by Locale Number (continued)

School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.	School District	Loc.
Wal)	26	Garfield	13	Longview	111	North Thurston	88	Quincy	17	Starbuck	26	White Pass	95
Colville	9	Glenwood	118	Loon Lake	10	Northport	11	Rainier	98	Stehekin	35	White River	85
Concrete	45	Goldendale	20	Lopez Island	42	Northshore	75	Raymond	97	Steilacoom Hist.	64	White Salmon	118
Conway	46	Grand Coulee Dam	33	Lyle	118	Oak Harbor	57	Reardan-Edwall	12	Steptoe	13	Wilbur	12
Cosmopolis	99	Grandview	16	Lynden	40	Oakesdale	13	Renton	62	Stevenson-Carson	118	Willapa Valley	97
Coulee-Hartline	33	Granger	21	Mabton	20	Oakville	98	Republic	11	Sultan	45	Wilson Creek	33
Coupeville	48	Granite Falls	45	Mansfield	33	Ocean Beach	113	Richland	30	Summit Valley	10	Winlock	96
Crescent	107	Grapeview	100	Manson	35	Ocosta	97	Ridgefield	115	Sumner	66	Wishkah Valley	100
Creston	12	Great Northern	7	Mary M Knight	100	Odessa	12	Ritzville	12	Sunnyside	16	Wishram	118
Curlew	11	Green Mountain	115	Mary Walker	10	Okanogan	32	Riverside	8	Tacoma	69	Woodland	114
Cusick	10	Griffin	94	Marysville	54	Olympia	89	Riverview	61	Taholah	100	Yakima	14
Damman	18	Harrington	12	Mc Cleary	98	Omak	32	Rochester	93	Tahoma	82	Yelm	91
Darrington	45	Highland	19	Mead	3	Onalaska	95	Roosevelt	118	Tekoa	13	Zillah	21
Davenport	12	Highline	73	Medical Lake	7	Onion Creek	11	Rosalia	13	Tenino	93		
Dayton	26	Hockinson	116	Mercer Island	86	Orcas Island	42	Royal	18	Thorp	18		
Deer Park	8	Hood Canal	100	Meridian	41	Orchard Prairie	6	San Juan Island	42	Toledo	95		
Dieringer	66	Hoquiam	99	Methow Valley	31	Orient	11	Satsop	98	Tonasket	31		
Dixie	26	Inchelium	11	Mill A	118	Orondo	35	Seattle	68	Toppenish	22		
East Valley (Spok.)	5	Index	45	Monroe	55	Oroville	31	Sedro-Woolley	58	Touchet	26		
East Valley (Yak.)	21	Issaquah	78	Montesano	98	Orting	67	Selah	19	Toutle Lake	114		
Eastmont	37	Kahlotus	26	Morton	95	Othello	23	Selkirk	10	Trout Lake	118		
Easton	18	Kalama	114	Moses Lake	39	Palisades	35	Sequim	102	Tumwater	90		
Eatonville	67	Keller	11	Mossyrock	95	Palouse	13	Shaw Island	42	Union Gap	22		
Edmonds	49	Kelso	112	Mount Adams	20	Pasco	29	Shelton	94	Valley	64		
Ellensburg	17	Kennewick	25	Mount Baker	41	Pateros	35	Shoreline	80	Valley	10		
Elma	98	Kent	71	Mount Pleasant	117	Paterson	24	Skamania	117	Vancouver	108		
Endicott	13	Kettle Falls	11	Mt Vernon	46	Pe Ell	97	Skykomish	61	Vashon Island	63		
Entiat	35	Kiona Benton	24	Mukilteo	56	Peninsula	63	Snohomish	59	Wahkiakum	113		
Enumclaw	84	Kittitas	18	Naches Valley	19	Pioneer	100	Snoqualmie Valley	83	Wahluke	18		
Ephrata	34	Klickitat	118	Napavine	96	Pomeroy	26	Soap Lake	33	Waitsburg	26		
Evaline	96	La Conner	46	Naselle-Grays Riv	113	Port Angeles	102	South Bend	97	Walla Walla	27		
Everett	50	La Center	115	Nespelem	33	Port Townsend	103	South Cent-Tukwila	62	Wapato	22		
Evergreen (Clark)	109	Lacrosse	13	Newport	10	Prescott	26	South Kitsap	104	Warden	33		
(Stevens)	10	Lake Chelan	35	Nine Mile Falls	8	Prosser	24	South Whidbey	48	Washougal	117		
Federal Way	72	Lake Stevens	53	Nooksack Valley	41	Pullman	4	Southside	100	Washtucna	12		
Ferndale	51	Lake Washington	70	North Beach	100	Puyallup	65	Spokane	1	Waterville	35		
Fife	65	Lakewood	47	North Franklin	23	Queets-Clearwater	37	Sprague	12	Wellpinit	10		
Finley	25	Lamont	13	North Kitsap	106	Quilcene	18	St John	13	Wenatchee	38		
Franklin Pierce	81	Liberty	7	North Mason	101	Quillayute Valley	67	Stanwood-Camano	60	West Valley (Yak.)	15		
Freeman	7	Lind	12	North River	97	Quinault	49	Star	26	West Valley (Spok.)	6		

Locales are comprised of 1 or more school districts…

Loc.	School District	Loc.	School District
1	Spokane	29	Pasco
2	Central Valley	30	Richland
3	Mead	31	Methow Valley, Oroville, Tonasket
4	Pullman	32	Okanogan, Omak
5	East Valley (Spokane)	33	Bridgeport, Coulee-Hartline, Grand Coulee Dam, Mansfield, Nespelem, Soap Lake, Warden, Wilson Creek
6	Orchard Prairie, West Valley (Spokane)	34	Ephrata, Quincy
7	Cheney, Freeman, Great Northern, Liberty, Medical Lake	35	Brewster, Entiat, Lake Chelan, Manson, Orondo, Palisades, Pateros, Stehekin, Waterville
8	Deer Park, Nine Mile Falls, Riverside	36	Cascade, Cashmere
9	Chewelah, Colville	37	Eastmont
10	Cusick, Evergreen (Stevens), Loon Lake, Mary Walker, Newport, Selkirk, Summit Valley, Valley, Wellpinit	38	Wenatchee
11	Columbia (Stevens), Curlew, Inchelium, Keller, Kettle Falls, Northport, Onion Creek, Orient, Republic	39	Moses Lake
12	Almira, Benge, Creston, Davenport, Harrington, Lind, Odessa, Reardan, Ritzville, Sprague, Washtucna, Wilbur	40	Blaine, Lynden
13	Colfax, Colton, Endicott, Garfield, Lacrosse, Lamont, Oakesdale, Palouse, Rosalia, St John, Steptoe, Tekoa	41	Meridian, Mount Baker, Nooksack Valley
14	Yakima	42	Lopez Island, Orcas Island, San Juan Island, Shaw Island
15	West Valley (Yakima)	43	Anacortes
16	Grandview, Sunnyside	44	Burlington Edison
17	Ellensburg	45	Concrete, Darrington, Granite Falls, Index, Sultan
18	Cle Elum-Roslyn, Damman, Easton, Kittitas, Royal, Thorp, Wahluke	46	Conway, La Conner, Mt Vernon
19	Highland, Naches Valley, Selah	47	Arlington, Lakewood
20	Bickleton, Goldendale, Mabton, Mount Adams	48	Coupeville, South Whidbey
21	East Valley (Yakima), Granger, Zillah	49	Edmonds
22	Toppenish, Union Gap, Wapato	50	Everett
23	North Franklin, Othello	51	Ferndale
24	Kiona Benton, Paterson, Prosser	52	Bellingham
25	Finley, Kennewick	53	Lake Stevens
26	Columbia (Walla Walla), Dayton, Dixie, Kahlotus, Pomeroy, Prescott, Star, Starbuck, Touchet, Waitsburg	54	Marysville
27	College Place, Walla Walla	55	Monroe
28	Asotin-Anatone, Clarkston	56	Mukilteo

One or more school districts (continued)

Loc.	School District
57	Oak Harbor
58	Sedro Woolley
59	Snohomish
60	Stanwood
61	Riverview, Skykomish
62	Renton, South Central
63	Peninsula, Vashon Island
64	Steilacoom, University Place
65	Fife, Puyallup
66	Dieringer, Sumner
67	Carbonado, Eatonville, Orting
68	Seattle
69	Tacoma
70	Lake Washington
71	Kent
72	Federal Way
73	Highline
74	Bellevue
75	Northshore
76	Clover Park
77	Bethel
78	Issaquah
79	Auburn
80	Shoreline
81	Franklin Pierce
82	Tahoma
83	Snoqualmie Valley
84	Enumclaw
85	White River
86	Mercer Island
87	Bainbridge Island
88	North Thurston
89	Olympia
90	Tumwater
91	Yelm
92	Centralia
93	Rainier, Rochester, Tenino
94	Griffin, Shelton
95	Morton, Mossyrock, Onalaska, Toledo, White Pass
96	Adna, Chehalis, Evaline, Napavine, Winlock
97	Boistfort, North River, Ocosta, Pe Ell, Raymond, South Bend, Willapa Valley
98	Elma, Mc Cleary, Montesano, Oakville, Satsop
99	Aberdeen, Cosmopolis, Hoquiam
100	Grapeview, Hood Canal, Mary M Knight, North Beach, Pioneer, Quinault, Southside, Taholah, Wishkah Valley
101	Central Kitsap, North Mason
102	Port Angeles, Sequim
103	Chimacum, Port Townsend
104	South Kitsap
105	Bremerton
106	North Kitsap
107	Brinnon, Cape Flattery, Crescent, Queets-Clearwater, Quilcene, Quillayute Valley
108	Vancouver
109	Evergreen (Clark)
110	Battle Ground
111	Longview
112	Kelso
113	Naselle-Grays River, Ocean Beach, Wahkiakum
114	Castle Rock, Kalama, Toutle Lake, Woodland
115	Green Mountain, La Center, Ridgefield,
116	Camas, Hockinson
117	Mount Pleasant, Skamania, Washougal
118	Centerville, Glenwood, Klickitat, Lyle, Mill A, Roosevelt, Stevenson-Carson, Trout Lake, White Salmon, Wishram

Comparison Charts for Five-Year* Indicator Rates

* Rates for indicators are averages for the most current five data years. Years used can be verified by checking the yearly trend chart for each indicator in a current locale report.





Alcohol Retail Licenses

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Alcohol Retail Licenses, Five Year Rates

The alcohol retail licenses active during the year, per 1,000 persons (all ages). Retail licenses include restaurants, grocery stores, and wine shops. Retail alcohol facilities on military bases and reservations are not licensed by the State and therefore are not included in these data.

Statewide 2.18

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	2.28	31	5.01	61	1.61	91 Yelm	1.38
2 Central Valley	1.57	32	3.20	62	2.27	92 Centralia	2.94
3 Mead	1.70	33	3.48	63	1.83	93	1.44
4 Pullman	1.62	34	3.02	64	1.15	94	2.09
5 East Valley (Spokane)	1.30	35	4.54	65	1.51	95	2.70
6	2.41	36	5.56	66	1.17	96	2.46
7	1.66	37 Eastmont	1.50	67	1.95	97	4.26
8	1.32	38 Wenatchee	2.81	68 Seattle	3.91	98	2.15
9	2.85	39 Moses Lake	1.85	69 Tacoma	2.42	99	2.94
10	2.69	40	2.41	70 Lake Washington	1.79	100	3.00
11	3.20	41	1.83	71 Kent	1.56	101	1.70
12	3.24	42	9.68	72 Federal Way	1.61	102	2.57
13	2.89	43 Anacortes	4.88	73 Highline	2.28	103	3.53
14 Yakima	2.52	44 Burlington-Edison	3.10	74 Bellevue	2.53	104 South Kitsap	1.46
15 West Valley (Yakima)	1.38	45	2.00	75 Northshore	1.44	105 Bremerton	2.47
16	2.10	46	2.67	76 Clover Park	1.95	106 North Kitsap	2.16
17 Ellensburg	2.77	47	1.70	77 Bethel	0.77	107	9.11
18	3.62	48	2.74	78 Issaquah	1.30	108 Vancouver	1.91
19	1.73	49 Edmonds	1.97	79 Auburn	1.48	109 Evergreen (Clark)	1.33
20	1.96	50 Everett	2.23	80 Shoreline	1.62	110 Battle Ground	0.93
21	1.39	51 Ferndale	1.70	81 Franklin Pierce	1.59	111 Longview	2.17
22	1.96	52 Bellingham	2.76	82 Tahoma	1.07	112 Kelso	1.72
23	1.54	53 Lake Stevens	1.08	83 Snoqualmie Valley	2.06	113	4.48
24	2.18	54 Marysville	1.29	84 Enumclaw	2.30	114	1.96
25	1.82	55 Monroe	1.68	85 White River	1.84	115	1.47
26	3.47	56 Mukilteo	1.77	86 Mercer Island	1.44	116	1.32
27	2.19	57 Oak Harbor	1.65	87 Bainbridge Island	2.33	117	1.50
28	1.77	58 Sedro-Woolley	1.70	88 North Thurston	1.49	118	3.37
29 Pasco	1.51	59 Snohomish	1.47	89 Olympia	2.80	**Updated:**	4/21/2016
30 Richland	1.93	60 Stanwood-Camano	1.53	90 Tumwater	1.37		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington State Liquor Control Board, Annual Operations Report. Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division

Availability of Drugs





Tobacco Retail and Vending Machine Licenses

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Tobacco Retail and Vending Machine Licenses, Five Year Rates

The tobacco retailer and vending machine licenses active during the year, per 1,000 persons (all ages). Tobacco retailers on military bases and reservations are not licensed by the State and therefore are not included in these data. Tobacco sales licenses include tobacco retailer licenses (stores that sell tobacco products) and tobacco vending machines.

Statewide 0.93

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	0.82	31	2.12	61	0.50	91 Yelm	0.72
2 Central Valley	0.68	32	1.66	62	1.15	92 Centralia	1.30
3 Mead	0.75	33	1.85	63	0.71	93	0.88
4 Pullman	0.59	34	1.41	64	0.59	94	0.96
5 East Valley (Spokane)	0.39	35	1.41	65	0.77	95	1.75
6	0.93	36	1.41	66	0.63	96	1.27
7	0.77	37 Eastmont	0.74	67	0.96	97	1.72
8	0.55	38 Wenatchee	0.90	68 Seattle	1.29	98	1.00
9	0.87	39 Moses Lake	0.89	69 Tacoma	1.15	99	1.49
10	1.18	40	1.16	70 Lake Washington	0.63	100	1.16
11	1.58	41	1.01	71 Kent	0.84	101	0.72
12	1.65	42	2.42	72 Federal Way	0.67	102	0.93
13	1.42	43 Anacortes	1.76	73 Highline	1.45	103	0.88
14 Yakima	1.20	44 Burlington-Edison	0.93	74 Bellevue	0.76	104 South Kitsap	0.82
15 West Valley (Yakima)	0.78	45	1.16	75 Northshore	0.58	105 Bremerton	1.13
16	1.21	46	1.13	76 Clover Park	1.04	106 North Kitsap	0.74
17 Ellensburg	1.08	47	0.86	77 Bethel	0.53	107	4.74
18	1.49	48	0.77	78 Issaquah	0.41	108 Vancouver	0.77
19	0.76	49 Edmonds	0.94	79 Auburn	0.91	109 Evergreen (Clark)	0.62
20	1.27	50 Everett	1.13	80 Shoreline	1.08	110 Battle Ground	0.44
21	0.75	51 Ferndale	0.86	81 Franklin Pierce	1.11	111 Longview	1.13
22	1.13	52 Bellingham	0.94	82 Tahoma	0.45	112 Kelso	0.96
23	0.76	53 Lake Stevens	0.62	83 Snoqualmie Valley	0.89	113	1.63
24	0.68	54 Marysville	0.87	84 Enumclaw	1.06	114	1.22
25	0.79	55 Monroe	0.72	85 White River	0.99	115	0.89
26	1.54	56 Mukilteo	0.96	86 Mercer Island	0.41	116	0.53
27	0.57	57 Oak Harbor	0.63	87 Bainbridge Island	0.43	117	0.75
28	0.58	58 Sedro-Woolley	1.01	88 North Thurston	0.79	118	1.03
29 Pasco	0.79	59 Snohomish	0.62	89 Olympia	1.18	**Updated:**	4/21/2016
30 Richland	0.65	60 Stanwood-Camano	0.63	90 Tumwater	0.86		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Health (from the Department of Licensing), Tobacco Prevention Program, Tobacco Statistics. Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program, SNAP (All Ages)

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program, SNAP (All Ages), Five Year Rates

The persons (all ages) receiving food stamps in the fiscal year, per 100 persons (all ages). Fiscal years run from July1 - June 30 and are designated by the ending year value. As of Oct. 1, 2008, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is the new name for the federal Food Stamp Program.

Statewide 20.45

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	31.96	31	23.75	61	6.79	91 Yelm	20.55
2 Central Valley	20.47	32	33.78	62	22.79	92 Centralia	37.85
3 Mead	15.20	33	27.02	63	9.93	93	21.54
4 Pullman	8.71	34	28.09	64	14.64	94	24.36
5 East Valley (Spokane)	26.67	35	19.57	65	18.13	95	25.00
6	23.59	36	15.91	66	14.91	96	25.77
7	16.09	37 Eastmont	21.92	67	16.78	97	24.99
8	20.12	38 Wenatchee	26.31	68 Seattle	14.44	98	24.32
9	29.48	39 Moses Lake	32.36	69 Tacoma	30.84	99	36.46
10	29.02	40	16.35	70 Lake Washington	5.62	100	22.57
11	28.15	41	21.94	71 Kent	22.54	101	14.79
12	17.96	42	10.81	72 Federal Way	24.91	102	20.38
13	16.53	43 Anacortes	14.10	73 Highline	27.49	103	19.07
14 Yakima	42.44	44 Burlington-Edison	22.51	74 Bellevue	7.54	104 South Kitsap	18.37
15 West Valley (Yakima)	16.41	45	23.20	75 Northshore	7.20	105 Bremerton	30.11
16	43.51	46	28.02	76 Clover Park	28.63	106 North Kitsap	12.28
17 Ellensburg	17.79	47	16.79	77 Bethel	22.28	107	22.96
18	20.71	48	10.74	78 Issaquah	4.62	108 Vancouver	29.74
19	20.10	49 Edmonds	14.86	79 Auburn	26.80	109 Evergreen (Clark)	23.79
20	37.31	50 Everett	20.92	80 Shoreline	11.72	110 Battle Ground	17.68
21	26.22	51 Ferndale	24.81	81 Franklin Pierce	32.17	111 Longview	36.02
22	45.73	52 Bellingham	18.94	82 Tahoma	7.54	112 Kelso	35.07
23	27.20	53 Lake Stevens	14.42	83 Snoqualmie Valley	6.46	113	24.00
24	23.71	54 Marysville	20.52	84 Enumclaw	13.01	114	21.36
25	25.80	55 Monroe	12.60	85 White River	15.83	115	12.95
26	18.32	56 Mukilteo	22.04	86 Mercer Island	2.31	116	12.33
27	23.22	57 Oak Harbor	15.91	87 Bainbridge Island	4.64	117	20.03
28	28.74	58 Sedro-Woolley	24.96	88 North Thurston	18.48	118	22.27
29 Pasco	30.55	59 Snohomish	11.09	89 Olympia	19.69	**Updated:**	9/24/2015
30 Richland	16.72	60 Stanwood-Camano	12.62	90 Tumwater	18.74		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Social and Health Services, Research and Data Analysis, Automated Client Eligibility System and Warrant Roll. Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division

Extreme Economic Deprivation





Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), Child Recipients

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), Child Recipients, Five Year Rates

The children (age birth-17) participating in Aid to Families (AFDC/TANF) programs in the fiscal year, per 100 children (age birth-17). Fiscal years run from July1 - June 30 and are designated by the ending year value.

Statewide 9.29

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	15.03	31	10.87	61	1.84	91 Yelm	7.61
2 Central Valley	7.07	32	11.53	62	11.11	92 Centralia	20.56
3 Mead	5.64	33	11.35	63	3.63	93	10.50
4 Pullman	4.81	34	11.78	64	5.90	94	16.11
5 East Valley (Spokane)	10.87	35	7.74	65	7.13	95	12.18
6	7.84	36	5.13	66	5.84	96	11.48
7	6.20	37 Eastmont	8.20	67	6.24	97	14.88
8	7.02	38 Wenatchee	10.72	68 Seattle	7.73	98	11.49
9	12.91	39 Moses Lake	14.28	69 Tacoma	16.91	99	18.39
10	11.57	40	5.35	70 Lake Washington	1.94	100	11.76
11	10.29	41	7.67	71 Kent	11.02	101	5.93
12	6.32	42	3.68	72 Federal Way	12.56	102	10.60
13	5.57	43 Anacortes	6.22	73 Highline	14.46	103	9.72
14 Yakima	21.22	44 Burlington-Edison	10.70	74 Bellevue	2.80	104 South Kitsap	6.77
15 West Valley (Yakima)	6.65	45	8.16	75 Northshore	2.05	105 Bremerton	17.69
16	21.83	46	13.30	76 Clover Park	16.29	106 North Kitsap	3.90
17 Ellensburg	8.83	47	5.87	77 Bethel	9.03	107	13.77
18	8.72	48	3.79	78 Issaquah	1.38	108 Vancouver	14.54
19	7.50	49 Edmonds	5.28	79 Auburn	13.16	109 Evergreen (Clark)	8.43
20	18.34	50 Everett	8.83	80 Shoreline	3.94	110 Battle Ground	4.05
21	10.20	51 Ferndale	8.42	81 Franklin Pierce	17.42	111 Longview	20.28
22	19.96	52 Bellingham	8.39	82 Tahoma	1.83	112 Kelso	17.30
23	12.05	53 Lake Stevens	4.21	83 Snoqualmie Valley	1.63	113	12.12
24	10.75	54 Marysville	6.92	84 Enumclaw	5.05	114	9.15
25	12.11	55 Monroe	4.70	85 White River	6.06	115	3.95
26	7.27	56 Mukilteo	10.02	86 Mercer Island	0.36	116	3.30
27	10.62	57 Oak Harbor	5.84	87 Bainbridge Island	0.77	117	6.95
28	14.40	58 Sedro-Woolley	8.76	88 North Thurston	8.40	118	8.22
29 Pasco	13.47	59 Snohomish	3.40	89 Olympia	8.62	**Updated:**	9/24/2015
30 Richland	6.21	60 Stanwood-Camano	4.32	90 Tumwater	8.66		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Social and Health Services, Research and Data Analysis, Automated Client Eligibility System and Warrant Roll. Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division

Extreme Economic Deprivation





Students Eligible for Free or Reduced Price Lunch

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Students Eligible for Free or Reduced Price Lunch, Five Year Rates

The students eligible for free or reduced price lunch per 100 students enrolled. Eligibility requirements are discussed in Technical Notes.

Statewide 45.33

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	57.14	31	63.61	61	20.02	91 Yelm	41.96
2 Central Valley	37.56	32	30.05	62	62.63	92 Centralia	71.10
3 Mead	29.87	33	77.96	63	23.03	93	51.71
4 Pullman	29.07	34	68.70	64	29.62	94	58.63
5 East Valley (Spokane)	56.09	35	73.34	65	34.40	95	59.57
6	50.66	36	50.44	66	29.81	96	48.90
7	42.55	37 Eastmont	57.41	67	36.21	97	66.00
8	44.31	38 Wenatchee	55.77	68 Seattle	39.94	98	56.16
9	52.91	39 Moses Lake	60.99	69 Tacoma	64.21	99	75.34
10	52.45	40	41.06	70 Lake Washington	12.98	100	78.21
11	59.35	41	46.32	71 Kent	51.81	101	35.87
12	48.56	42	34.92	72 Federal Way	57.07	102	49.11
13	42.23	43 Anacortes	29.67	73 Highline	70.70	103	46.77
14 Yakima	88.80	44 Burlington-Edison	54.79	74 Bellevue	19.66	104 South Kitsap	40.38
15 West Valley (Yakima)	42.03	45	50.27	75 Northshore	15.81	105 Bremerton	62.70
16	82.44	46	61.90	76 Clover Park	72.24	106 North Kitsap	32.70
17 Ellensburg	38.96	47	35.03	77 Bethel	48.25	107	29.51
18	73.58	48	34.49	78 Issaquah	8.61	108 Vancouver	48.77
19	53.56	49 Edmonds	34.19	79 Auburn	53.25	109 Evergreen (Clark)	44.30
20	76.88	50 Everett	40.39	80 Shoreline	26.45	110 Battle Ground	32.81
21	68.37	51 Ferndale	43.92	81 Franklin Pierce	73.47	111 Longview	55.89
22	87.38	52 Bellingham	37.47	82 Tahoma	13.48	112 Kelso	52.74
23	79.15	53 Lake Stevens	29.68	83 Snoqualmie Valley	14.94	113	52.52
24	67.40	54 Marysville	51.02	84 Enumclaw	31.65	114	44.35
25	55.41	55 Monroe	28.56	85 White River	36.22	115	30.26
26	55.00	56 Mukilteo	49.36	86 Mercer Island	2.76	116	17.61
27	56.92	57 Oak Harbor	44.15	87 Bainbridge Island	6.98	117	39.77
28	49.43	58 Sedro-Woolley	57.50	88 North Thurston	41.09	118	46.74
29 Pasco	69.27	59 Snohomish	21.37	89 Olympia	28.10	**Updated:**	5/11/2016
30 Richland	36.40	60 Stanwood-Camano	26.94	90 Tumwater	29.20		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction





Alcohol- or Drug-Related Deaths

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Alcohol- or Drug-Related Deaths, Five Year Rates

The deaths, with alcohol- or drug-related causes, per 100 deaths. Evaluation of whether a death is alcohol or drug related is based on all contributory causes of death for direct and indirect associations with alcohol and drug abuse. For a complete explanation of the codes and methods used please see Technical Notes: Counting Alcohol- or Drug-related Deaths. Suppression code definitions for rates are explained in Technical Notes. Rates are not reported when fewer than 100 deaths occurred in an area.

Statewide 12.36

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	13.45	31	12.20	61	13.03	91 Yelm	14.37
2 Central Valley	10.60	32	13.84	62	11.90	92 Centralia	9.83
3 Mead	10.49	33	13.89	63	11.38	93	14.58
4 Pullman	9.31	34	11.68	64	11.49	94	13.19
5 East Valley (Spokane)	11.78	35	12.75	65	12.37	95	11.71
6	UN	36	12.61	66	13.72	96	10.45
7	12.54	37 Eastmont	8.80	67	12.95	97	12.48
8	11.62	38 Wenatchee	10.88	68 Seattle	13.39	98	12.29
9	10.44	39 Moses Lake	12.97	69 Tacoma	14.09	99	12.61
10	14.74	40	9.37	70 Lake Washington	9.61	100	13.78
11	14.76	41	13.80	71 Kent	12.19	101	12.41
12	11.28	42	11.25	72 Federal Way	12.43	102	10.93
13	9.56	43 Anacortes	9.69	73 Highline	12.40	103	11.42
14 Yakima	11.64	44 Burlington-Edison	11.40	74 Bellevue	8.45	104 South Kitsap	12.52
15 West Valley (Yakima)	11.41	45	18.65	75 Northshore	10.39	105 Bremerton	11.90
16	12.21	46	11.88	76 Clover Park	14.27	106 North Kitsap	11.90
17 Ellensburg	11.72	47	12.83	77 Bethel	13.93	107	16.57
18	14.47	48	12.29	78 Issaquah	10.14	108 Vancouver	12.45
19	12.18	49 Edmonds	12.10	79 Auburn	13.29	109 Evergreen (Clark)	11.40
20	14.68	50 Everett	14.37	80 Shoreline	10.72	110 Battle Ground	11.66
21	12.16	51 Ferndale	15.56	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	11.85
22	15.86	52 Bellingham	10.66	82 Tahoma	12.18	112 Kelso	14.03
23	11.86	53 Lake Stevens	15.37	83 Snoqualmie Valley	12.55	113	13.53
24	12.70	54 Marysville	16.00	84 Enumclaw	12.10	114	12.32
25	11.39	55 Monroe	13.43	85 White River	12.70	115	11.58
26	11.64	56 Mukilteo	14.21	86 Mercer Island	6.33	116	11.72
27	12.57	57 Oak Harbor	12.83	87 Bainbridge Island	9.57	117	13.37
28	11.39	58 Sedro-Woolley	13.95	88 North Thurston	11.21	118	12.75
29 Pasco	12.89	59 Snohomish	13.50	89 Olympia	12.77	**Updated:**	10/12/2015
30 Richland	11.00	60 Stanwood-Camano	11.47	90 Tumwater	13.38		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Health, Center for Health Statistics, Death Certificate Data File.

Antisocial Behavior of Community Adults





Clients of State-Funded Alcohol or Drug Services (Age 18+)

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Clients of State-Funded Alcohol or Drug Services (Age 18+), Five Year Rates

The adults (age 18 and over) receiving state-funded alcohol or drug services, per 1,000 adults. Counts of adults are unduplicated so that those receiving services more than once during the year are only counted once for that year. State-funded services include treatment, assessment, and detox. Persons in Department of Corrections treatment programs are not included.

Statewide 12.95

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	20.61	31	8.61	61	4.67	91 Yelm	9.80
2 Central Valley	9.86	32	34.22	62	9.76	92 Centralia	17.29
3 Mead	6.23	33	16.88	63	5.37	93	10.39
4 Pullman	3.28	34	9.45	64	5.36	94	20.11
5 East Valley (Spokane)	12.64	35	7.46	65	7.58	95	11.19
6	UN	36	7.66	66	7.60	96	18.77
7	13.57	37 Eastmont	10.78	67	6.55	97	20.88
8	6.86	38 Wenatchee	22.41	68 Seattle	14.21	98	18.24
9	15.08	39 Moses Lake	14.70	69 Tacoma	20.96	99	20.53
10	13.48	40	7.83	70 Lake Washington	3.14	100	15.85
11	17.28	41	16.59	71 Kent	9.64	101	14.05
12	8.30	42	13.10	72 Federal Way	10.02	102	17.63
13	5.78	43 Anacortes	9.15	73 Highline	14.04	103	9.33
14 Yakima	34.11	44 Burlington-Edison	22.79	74 Bellevue	3.36	104 South Kitsap	11.93
15 West Valley (Yakima)	12.31	45	14.34	75 Northshore	4.44	105 Bremerton	14.88
16	18.73	46	28.53	76 Clover Park	9.33	106 North Kitsap	8.99
17 Ellensburg	13.21	47	13.05	77 Bethel	7.98	107	35.80
18	9.49	48	5.82	78 Issaquah	2.64	108 Vancouver	22.08
19	10.68	49 Edmonds	7.17	79 Auburn	17.96	109 Evergreen (Clark)	9.81
20	34.12	50 Everett	20.07	80 Shoreline	7.97	110 Battle Ground	8.64
21	22.01	51 Ferndale	30.47	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	23.34
22	38.35	52 Bellingham	17.83	82 Tahoma	5.12	112 Kelso	26.45
23	13.42	53 Lake Stevens	9.10	83 Snoqualmie Valley	5.32	113	18.64
24	10.25	54 Marysville	21.03	84 Enumclaw	6.59	114	8.80
25	14.86	55 Monroe	15.57	85 White River	6.53	115	5.40
26	10.73	56 Mukilteo	9.29	86 Mercer Island	1.10	116	6.45
27	12.78	57 Oak Harbor	9.92	87 Bainbridge Island	2.37	117	10.36
28	19.92	58 Sedro-Woolley	25.15	88 North Thurston	10.56	118	15.77
29 Pasco	18.69	59 Snohomish	7.23	89 Olympia	13.49	**Updated:**	11/6/2014
30 Richland	9.80	60 Stanwood-Camano	8.36	90 Tumwater	11.63		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Social and Health Services, Division of Behavioral Health and Recovery, Treatment and Assessment Report Generation Tool (TARGET). Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Arrests (Age 18+), Alcohol-Related

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Arrests (Age 18+), Alcohol-Related, Five Year Rates

The alcohol violations (age 18+), per 1,000 adults (age 18+). Alcohol violations include all crimes involving driving under the influence, liquor law violations, and drunkenness. DUI arrests by the Washington State Patrol are included in the state trend analysis. However, they are not included in other rankings since WSP arrests are reported only at the state level.

Statewide 7.66

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	3.50	31	4.28	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	3.54	32	7.28	62	2.80	92 Centralia	5.26
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	18.03	34	5.18	64	3.12	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	6.02	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	3.75
7	UN	37 Eastmont	5.86	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	5.17	68 Seattle	2.49	98	4.17
9	2.48	39 Moses Lake	6.18	69 Tacoma	4.08	99	4.56
10	3.79	40	4.02	70 Lake Washington	5.51	100	UN
11	4.51	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	4.03	42	3.65	72 Federal Way	3.62	102	3.42
13	7.71	43 Anacortes	7.94	73 Highline	NR	103	4.31
14 Yakima	6.31	44 Burlington-Edison	5.31	74 Bellevue	2.59	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	7.14
16	11.04	46	2.95	76 Clover Park	3.62	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	16.89	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	5.57	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	5.53	79 Auburn	4.40	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	3.72	50 Everett	2.43	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	7.06	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	5.64
22	14.42	52 Bellingham	5.60	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	4.11
23	7.43	53 Lake Stevens	2.15	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	2.24
24	6.00	54 Marysville	2.00	84 Enumclaw	8.40	114	5.21
25	4.66	55 Monroe	3.24	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	6.07	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	2.93	116	3.30
27	4.60	57 Oak Harbor	3.36	87 Bainbridge Island	2.68	117	2.60
28	6.66	58 Sedro-Woolley	3.87	88 North Thurston	3.49	118	5.45
29 Pasco	5.23	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	8.31	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	6.07	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	2.75		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Arrests (Age 18+), Drug Law Violation

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Arrests (Age 18+), Drug Law Violation, Five Year Rates

The arrests of adults (age 18+) for drug law violations, per 1,000 adults (age 18+). Drug law violations include all crimes involving sale, manufacturing, and possession of drugs.

Statewide 3.07

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	3.89	31	1.23	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	2.89	32	2.08	62	2.12	92 Centralia	10.83
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	5.06	34	3.25	64	2.05	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	3.90	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	3.36
7	UN	37 Eastmont	2.09	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	4.43	68 Seattle	1.70	98	4.66
9	1.46	39 Moses Lake	4.50	69 Tacoma	2.94	99	7.14
10	2.40	40	4.06	70 Lake Washington	1.68	100	UN
11	2.23	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	1.99	42	0.38	72 Federal Way	1.96	102	3.37
13	3.79	43 Anacortes	4.38	73 Highline	NR	103	2.00
14 Yakima	4.00	44 Burlington-Edison	4.11	74 Bellevue	1.44	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	4.64
16	5.74	46	3.46	76 Clover Park	4.84	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	4.13	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	2.56	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	3.91	79 Auburn	4.40	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	3.25	50 Everett	3.28	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	5.09	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	8.72
22	3.74	52 Bellingham	3.31	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	3.46
23	2.56	53 Lake Stevens	2.32	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	2.26
24	3.56	54 Marysville	4.09	84 Enumclaw	4.36	114	2.59
25	4.13	55 Monroe	3.81	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	2.33	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	0.71	116	1.87
27	3.03	57 Oak Harbor	1.63	87 Bainbridge Island	0.50	117	1.85
28	2.82	58 Sedro-Woolley	3.19	88 North Thurston	2.01	118	2.53
29 Pasco	3.95	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	2.85	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	4.06	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	3.67		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Arrests (Age 18+), Violent Crime

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Arrests (Age 18+), Violent Crime, Five Year Rates

The arrests of adults (age 18+) for violent crime per 1,000 adults (age 18+). Violent crimes include all crimes involving criminal homicide, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault. Simple assault is not defined as a violent crime.

Statewide 1.52

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	2.95	31	0.94	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	0.97	32	1.86	62	0.99	92 Centralia	2.92
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	0.33	34	1.95	64	1.37	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	1.50	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	1.74
7	UN	37 Eastmont	0.52	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	1.40	68 Seattle	2.19	98	1.16
9	0.88	39 Moses Lake	2.77	69 Tacoma	2.99	99	2.22
10	0.58	40	1.12	70 Lake Washington	0.52	100	UN
11	1.08	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	0.84	42	0.38	72 Federal Way	1.42	102	1.41
13	0.96	43 Anacortes	0.87	73 Highline	NR	103	0.97
14 Yakima	2.79	44 Burlington-Edison	1.47	74 Bellevue	0.73	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	3.05
16	2.45	46	2.03	76 Clover Park	3.33	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	0.86	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	0.74	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	1.27	79 Auburn	1.21	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	1.20	50 Everett	1.78	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	2.33	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	2.91
22	2.57	52 Bellingham	1.64	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	2.17
23	1.63	53 Lake Stevens	1.29	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	0.68
24	1.27	54 Marysville	1.29	84 Enumclaw	0.48	114	1.45
25	1.74	55 Monroe	1.53	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	0.63	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	0.84	116	0.52
27	1.12	57 Oak Harbor	1.11	87 Bainbridge Island	0.35	117	0.83
28	0.79	58 Sedro-Woolley	1.37	88 North Thurston	1.36	118	0.83
29 Pasco	1.97	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	1.45	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	1.01	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	1.48		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Victims of Child Abuse and Neglect in Accepted Referrals

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Victims of Child Abuse and Neglect in Accepted Referrals, Five Year Rates

The children (age birth-17) identified as victims in reports to Child Protective Services that were accepted for further action, per 1,000 children (age birth-17). Children are counted more than once if they are reported as a victim more than once during the year. A "referral" is a report of suspected child abuse which may have multiple listed victims.

Statewide 33.35

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	68.46	31	46.32	61	15.46	91 Yelm	29.29
2 Central Valley	32.31	32	54.43	62	30.39	92 Centralia	63.73
3 Mead	30.11	33	36.06	63	19.83	93	36.88
4 Pullman	23.28	34	28.70	64	25.85	94	50.33
5 East Valley (Spokane)	40.60	35	20.11	65	28.21	95	44.85
6	UN	36	18.82	66	28.33	96	38.30
7	34.96	37 Eastmont	27.89	67	29.67	97	58.11
8	31.57	38 Wenatchee	28.95	68 Seattle	24.39	98	41.83
9	56.44	39 Moses Lake	50.30	69 Tacoma	49.57	99	62.90
10	56.18	40	30.44	70 Lake Washington	12.24	100	49.95
11	50.57	41	46.79	71 Kent	29.13	101	31.78
12	37.06	42	36.58	72 Federal Way	37.13	102	51.79
13	37.92	43 Anacortes	37.10	73 Highline	39.20	103	44.42
14 Yakima	48.07	44 Burlington-Edison	35.35	74 Bellevue	16.74	104 South Kitsap	31.40
15 West Valley (Yakima)	24.91	45	50.01	75 Northshore	14.84	105 Bremerton	64.31
16	22.68	46	39.67	76 Clover Park	52.94	106 North Kitsap	19.94
17 Ellensburg	33.32	47	31.44	77 Bethel	34.78	107	56.43
18	21.97	48	31.18	78 Issaquah	10.90	108 Vancouver	37.92
19	28.18	49 Edmonds	26.53	79 Auburn	44.64	109 Evergreen (Clark)	23.94
20	58.52	50 Everett	37.23	80 Shoreline	24.41	110 Battle Ground	18.95
21	34.16	51 Ferndale	53.83	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	63.33
22	43.14	52 Bellingham	45.77	82 Tahoma	18.44	112 Kelso	61.34
23	12.66	53 Lake Stevens	30.09	83 Snoqualmie Valley	14.23	113	52.40
24	24.52	54 Marysville	51.47	84 Enumclaw	24.62	114	34.90
25	30.04	55 Monroe	24.36	85 White River	24.70	115	19.19
26	34.40	56 Mukilteo	34.65	86 Mercer Island	5.98	116	13.99
27	40.03	57 Oak Harbor	41.51	87 Bainbridge Island	5.83	117	32.55
28	68.87	58 Sedro-Woolley	45.60	88 North Thurston	27.30	118	47.63
29 Pasco	23.73	59 Snohomish	20.81	89 Olympia	30.97	**Updated:**	2/1/2016
30 Richland	27.92	60 Stanwood-Camano	27.71	90 Tumwater	25.98		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Social and Health Services, Children's Administration, Administrative Services, FamLink Data Warehouse. Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Poor Academic Performance, Grade 10

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Poor Academic Performance, Grade 10, Five Year Rates

Students tested who failed one or more content areas as a percent of all students tested at the 10th grade level. In 2009-10 the Washington Assessment of Student Learning (WASL) was replaced by the High School Proficiency Exam (HSPE). These tests are built on the same framework as the WASL, but contain fewer questions. They are considered equivalent by OSPI.

Statewide 54.1

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	53.96	31	61.19	61	41.01	91 Yelm	59.37
2 Central Valley	49.68	32	66.59	62	58.01	92 Centralia	61.26
3 Mead	44.96	33	69.05	63	39.15	93	64.76
4 Pullman	32.60	34	63.03	64	48.88	94	64.16
5 East Valley (Spokane)	59.81	35	63.89	65	54.17	95	66.98
6	59.41	36	55.27	66	52.94	96	53.45
7	49.53	37 Eastmont	68.25	67	58.79	97	63.87
8	53.52	38 Wenatchee	58.18	68 Seattle	50.09	98	67.84
9	57.41	39 Moses Lake	56.75	69 Tacoma	64.66	99	71.26
10	62.67	40	49.54	70 Lake Washington	32.72	100	73.58
11	58.23	41	49.02	71 Kent	53.63	101	52.41
12	55.22	42	42.13	72 Federal Way	63.41	102	52.04
13	47.93	43 Anacortes	40.64	73 Highline	66.31	103	52.12
14 Yakima	74.85	44 Burlington-Edison	54.46	74 Bellevue	32.48	104 South Kitsap	58.78
15 West Valley (Yakima)	55.31	45	60.68	75 Northshore	32.85	105 Bremerton	63.92
16	81.53	46	62.37	76 Clover Park	70.22	106 North Kitsap	45.81
17 Ellensburg	52.30	47	53.57	77 Bethel	68.45	107	63.09
18	65.69	48	47.36	78 Issaquah	27.98	108 Vancouver	58.97
19	59.66	49 Edmonds	52.95	79 Auburn	59.85	109 Evergreen (Clark)	56.76
20	73.45	50 Everett	48.00	80 Shoreline	41.76	110 Battle Ground	53.84
21	69.38	51 Ferndale	54.91	81 Franklin Pierce	68.61	111 Longview	56.22
22	83.73	52 Bellingham	41.53	82 Tahoma	37.68	112 Kelso	63.66
23	70.84	53 Lake Stevens	48.04	83 Snoqualmie Valley	41.11	113	58.06
24	63.24	54 Marysville	67.96	84 Enumclaw	56.91	114	56.68
25	61.07	55 Monroe	53.16	85 White River	56.42	115	49.96
26	55.44	56 Mukilteo	47.39	86 Mercer Island	16.00	116	42.08
27	56.28	57 Oak Harbor	55.97	87 Bainbridge Island	24.49	117	55.03
28	55.80	58 Sedro-Woolley	57.01	88 North Thurston	58.82	118	62.10
29 Pasco	72.11	59 Snohomish	49.04	89 Olympia	39.31	**Updated:**	4/14/2014
30 Richland	48.14	60 Stanwood-Camano	55.21	90 Tumwater	51.95		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Instructional Programs, Curriculum and Assessment, Grade 10 Failing In One Or More Content Areas





Poor Academic Performance, Grade 7

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Poor Academic Performance, Grade 7, Five Year Rates

Students tested who failed one or more content areas as a percent of all students tested at the 7th grade level. In 2009-10 the 7th grade WASL was replaced by Measurements of Student Progress (MSP). This test was built on the same framework as the WASL, but contain fewer questions. It is considered equivalent by OSPI.

Statewide 53.81

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	55.70	31	59.50	61	43.39	91 Yelm	60.83
2 Central Valley	46.75	32	72.42	62	60.04	92 Centralia	64.13
3 Mead	43.10	33	76.37	63	40.66	93	64.51
4 Pullman	37.99	34	63.11	64	42.57	94	54.71
5 East Valley (Spokane)	61.73	35	68.97	65	51.15	95	69.47
6	58.49	36	44.06	66	49.92	96	58.60
7	51.93	37 Eastmont	52.28	67	62.62	97	65.10
8	56.28	38 Wenatchee	58.16	68 Seattle	46.48	98	67.56
9	56.46	39 Moses Lake	54.49	69 Tacoma	68.74	99	72.46
10	62.47	40	50.99	70 Lake Washington	30.77	100	73.43
11	67.60	41	54.71	71 Kent	53.30	101	55.88
12	59.32	42	43.26	72 Federal Way	57.56	102	50.58
13	48.61	43 Anacortes	41.67	73 Highline	66.19	103	55.28
14 Yakima	72.31	44 Burlington-Edison	56.21	74 Bellevue	32.51	104 South Kitsap	60.29
15 West Valley (Yakima)	51.78	45	63.77	75 Northshore	34.73	105 Bremerton	64.37
16	76.25	46	61.41	76 Clover Park	65.47	106 North Kitsap	52.61
17 Ellensburg	49.46	47	50.75	77 Bethel	61.34	107	68.62
18	71.78	48	51.54	78 Issaquah	28.61	108 Vancouver	60.64
19	56.78	49 Edmonds	52.07	79 Auburn	60.25	109 Evergreen (Clark)	56.43
20	75.22	50 Everett	42.96	80 Shoreline	36.70	110 Battle Ground	49.10
21	75.52	51 Ferndale	52.72	81 Franklin Pierce	59.88	111 Longview	61.92
22	78.19	52 Bellingham	43.07	82 Tahoma	34.73	112 Kelso	59.31
23	63.02	53 Lake Stevens	48.77	83 Snoqualmie Valley	30.69	113	57.57
24	65.26	54 Marysville	65.66	84 Enumclaw	54.60	114	56.88
25	60.80	55 Monroe	59.33	85 White River	61.93	115	50.80
26	66.85	56 Mukilteo	48.33	86 Mercer Island	17.17	116	33.82
27	62.70	57 Oak Harbor	59.39	87 Bainbridge Island	23.08	117	55.92
28	56.39	58 Sedro-Woolley	55.40	88 North Thurston	55.03	118	66.16
29 Pasco	70.83	59 Snohomish	47.08	89 Olympia	38.22	**Updated:**	4/14/2014
30 Richland	54.50	60 Stanwood-Camano	50.50	90 Tumwater	47.85		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Instructional Programs, Curriculum and Assessment, Grade 7 Failing In One Or More Content Areas.





Poor Academic Performance, Grade 4

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Poor Academic Performance, Grade 4, Five Year Rates

Students tested who failed one or more content areas as a percent of all students tested at the 4th grade level. In 2009-10 the 4th grade WASL was replaced by Measurements of Student Progress (MSP). This test was built on the same framework as the WASL, but contain fewer questions. It is considered equivalent by OSPI.

Statewide 55.83

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	56.30	31	69.55	61	48.15	91 Yelm	44.97
2 Central Valley	47.23	32	75.16	62	61.43	92 Centralia	63.86
3 Mead	34.64	33	81.04	63	45.87	93	65.77
4 Pullman	42.14	34	65.77	64	50.57	94	63.28
5 East Valley (Spokane)	57.13	35	71.34	65	54.49	95	70.60
6	51.38	36	51.08	66	44.86	96	55.81
7	51.07	37 Eastmont	53.60	67	64.43	97	61.85
8	53.90	38 Wenatchee	70.86	68 Seattle	47.69	98	67.25
9	57.57	39 Moses Lake	63.78	69 Tacoma	66.24	99	72.19
10	66.73	40	50.36	70 Lake Washington	31.88	100	77.56
11	70.46	41	61.43	71 Kent	56.95	101	58.60
12	57.73	42	49.82	72 Federal Way	61.28	102	56.37
13	48.14	43 Anacortes	47.06	73 Highline	69.73	103	66.63
14 Yakima	77.94	44 Burlington-Edison	70.93	74 Bellevue	35.79	104 South Kitsap	52.15
15 West Valley (Yakima)	45.76	45	60.83	75 Northshore	37.31	105 Bremerton	60.51
16	73.64	46	70.15	76 Clover Park	68.81	106 North Kitsap	49.55
17 Ellensburg	56.43	47	53.98	77 Bethel	62.93	107	72.49
18	74.68	48	55.32	78 Issaquah	30.50	108 Vancouver	63.91
19	64.06	49 Edmonds	54.99	79 Auburn	54.75	109 Evergreen (Clark)	59.18
20	79.76	50 Everett	47.86	80 Shoreline	43.82	110 Battle Ground	60.33
21	71.23	51 Ferndale	56.67	81 Franklin Pierce	58.41	111 Longview	66.59
22	81.41	52 Bellingham	51.44	82 Tahoma	32.61	112 Kelso	62.87
23	71.39	53 Lake Stevens	47.58	83 Snoqualmie Valley	34.35	113	63.49
24	68.72	54 Marysville	67.71	84 Enumclaw	64.20	114	64.45
25	55.97	55 Monroe	63.46	85 White River	47.68	115	50.03
26	70.91	56 Mukilteo	49.52	86 Mercer Island	20.54	116	40.27
27	69.99	57 Oak Harbor	59.91	87 Bainbridge Island	29.14	117	50.75
28	64.14	58 Sedro-Woolley	69.74	88 North Thurston	53.95	118	70.93
29 Pasco	71.67	59 Snohomish	52.59	89 Olympia	45.79	**Updated:**	4/14/2014
30 Richland	50.37	60 Stanwood-Camano	43.91	90 Tumwater	45.20		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Instructional Programs, Curriculum and Assessment, Grade 4 Failing In One Or More Content Areas.





High school Cohort (Cumulative) Dropouts

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

High school Cohort (Cumulative) Dropouts, Five Year Rates

A cumulative or cohort dropout rate is based on the percentage of students who began grade 9 in a given year but dropped out of school over a four-year period and did not receive a high school diploma. OSPI began using the actual cohort of students for their calculations in 2010/11. For more information on the changes in rate computation and cohort methodology, see the Technical Notes.

Statewide 14.08

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	14.76	31	11.50	61	4.21	91 Yelm	12.63
2 Central Valley	6.35	32	13.83	62	14.38	92 Centralia	20.56
3 Mead	5.31	33	12.08	63	9.08	93	11.45
4 Pullman	4.03	34	14.76	64	9.58	94	16.70
5 East Valley (Spokane)	4.74	35	12.11	65	13.89	95	12.33
6	2.48	36	6.69	66	8.19	96	10.12
7	7.87	37 Eastmont	9.57	67	7.47	97	15.89
8	9.77	38 Wenatchee	22.91	68 Seattle	13.86	98	13.66
9	15.08	39 Moses Lake	22.63	69 Tacoma	22.97	99	19.22
10	11.29	40	8.70	70 Lake Washington	5.45	100	16.63
11	7.61	41	13.65	71 Kent	13.01	101	6.62
12	8.43	42	16.60	72 Federal Way	13.24	102	16.29
13	5.85	43 Anacortes	9.98	73 Highline	19.92	103	9.80
14 Yakima	23.80	44 Burlington-Edison	12.39	74 Bellevue	2.67	104 South Kitsap	9.57
15 West Valley (Yakima)	12.63	45	15.47	75 Northshore	5.03	105 Bremerton	15.35
16	17.30	46	18.72	76 Clover Park	14.86	106 North Kitsap	10.47
17 Ellensburg	17.07	47	11.14	77 Bethel	18.17	107	9.46
18	14.97	48	8.16	78 Issaquah	4.23	108 Vancouver	16.46
19	9.77	49 Edmonds	10.61	79 Auburn	14.85	109 Evergreen (Clark)	12.82
20	20.21	50 Everett	6.04	80 Shoreline	7.79	110 Battle Ground	12.05
21	11.10	51 Ferndale	17.72	81 Franklin Pierce	13.42	111 Longview	13.88
22	20.25	52 Bellingham	12.81	82 Tahoma	6.75	112 Kelso	11.40
23	13.55	53 Lake Stevens	8.56	83 Snoqualmie Valley	8.18	113	11.46
24	11.46	54 Marysville	17.22	84 Enumclaw	10.02	114	11.54
25	13.52	55 Monroe	13.17	85 White River	8.14	115	6.07
26	5.82	56 Mukilteo	8.56	86 Mercer Island	3.17	116	4.95
27	9.67	57 Oak Harbor	8.00	87 Bainbridge Island	3.35	117	11.09
28	19.24	58 Sedro-Woolley	6.20	88 North Thurston	14.05	118	14.87
29 Pasco	17.48	59 Snohomish	8.30	89 Olympia	8.74	**Updated:**	3/25/2015
30 Richland	8.20	60 Stanwood-Camano	10.98	90 Tumwater	12.03		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Graduation and Dropout Statistics for Washington.





Annual (Event) Dropouts

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Annual (Event) Dropouts, Five Year Rates

The proportion of students enrolled in grades 9-12 who drop out in a single year without completing high school. This is the total number of students that drop out of school from grades 9 through 12, divided by the total number of students in grades 9 through 12, less the number of students that transferred out of the district/school.

Statewide 4.77

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	6.24	31	3.40	61	1.51	91 Yelm	3.61
2 Central Valley	2.60	32	4.37	62	5.02	92 Centralia	6.52
3 Mead	2.10	33	3.51	63	2.50	93	4.21
4 Pullman	1.84	34	4.28	64	3.43	94	6.26
5 East Valley (Spokane)	2.66	35	4.11	65	5.45	95	3.74
6	1.38	36	1.77	66	2.38	96	2.87
7	2.97	37 Eastmont	2.48	67	2.93	97	3.28
8	3.26	38 Wenatchee	7.50	68 Seattle	6.21	98	4.52
9	4.15	39 Moses Lake	7.51	69 Tacoma	8.27	99	6.30
10	5.76	40	2.99	70 Lake Washington	1.53	100	5.34
11	3.01	41	4.01	71 Kent	4.93	101	1.66
12	2.66	42	3.23	72 Federal Way	3.71	102	5.94
13	1.81	43 Anacortes	3.96	73 Highline	5.93	103	3.05
14 Yakima	6.95	44 Burlington-Edison	4.71	74 Bellevue	0.76	104 South Kitsap	3.69
15 West Valley (Yakima)	3.15	45	5.13	75 Northshore	1.76	105 Bremerton	5.35
16	6.12	46	5.61	76 Clover Park	4.75	106 North Kitsap	2.59
17 Ellensburg	5.19	47	3.91	77 Bethel	5.90	107	3.19
18	4.32	48	3.13	78 Issaquah	1.15	108 Vancouver	5.12
19	3.61	49 Edmonds	4.16	79 Auburn	4.22	109 Evergreen (Clark)	4.52
20	7.83	50 Everett	2.50	80 Shoreline	2.74	110 Battle Ground	3.75
21	3.29	51 Ferndale	4.73	81 Franklin Pierce	4.68	111 Longview	5.47
22	6.54	52 Bellingham	4.21	82 Tahoma	2.50	112 Kelso	3.98
23	3.34	53 Lake Stevens	3.12	83 Snoqualmie Valley	2.02	113	3.86
24	3.13	54 Marysville	7.33	84 Enumclaw	2.76	114	3.24
25	4.38	55 Monroe	3.53	85 White River	2.86	115	2.04
26	1.70	56 Mukilteo	2.98	86 Mercer Island	1.32	116	1.79
27	2.71	57 Oak Harbor	2.22	87 Bainbridge Island	0.85	117	3.28
28	6.38	58 Sedro-Woolley	1.66	88 North Thurston	4.75	118	5.13
29 Pasco	6.69	59 Snohomish	2.70	89 Olympia	2.32	**Updated:**	3/25/2015
30 Richland	2.70	60 Stanwood-Camano	3.52	90 Tumwater	3.95		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Graduation and Dropout Statistics for Washington.





Protective Factor: On-time Graduation

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Protective Factor: On-time Graduation, Five Year Rates

The percent of freshman students who graduate in four years to complete their degree. OSPI began using the actual cohort of students for their calculations in 2010/11. For more information on the changes in rate computation and cohort methodology, see the Technical Notes.

Statewide 76.71

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	77.18	31	83.61	61	90.24	91 Yelm	74.60
2 Central Valley	86.32	32	80.09	62	75.03	92 Centralia	69.45
3 Mead	87.84	33	77.94	63	81.32	93	80.02
4 Pullman	90.15	34	79.97	64	86.54	94	69.26
5 East Valley (Spokane)	89.89	35	81.80	65	80.90	95	79.32
6	95.31	36	87.17	66	84.26	96	85.54
7	88.18	37 Eastmont	81.02	67	87.03	97	78.21
8	85.67	38 Wenatchee	65.69	68 Seattle	75.37	98	78.75
9	78.25	39 Moses Lake	67.79	69 Tacoma	68.55	99	64.86
10	80.23	40	82.39	70 Lake Washington	90.93	100	72.79
11	85.58	41	78.36	71 Kent	77.86	101	84.59
12	86.69	42	78.36	72 Federal Way	72.55	102	73.73
13	89.79	43 Anacortes	84.10	73 Highline	64.78	103	79.91
14 Yakima	63.46	44 Burlington-Edison	79.53	74 Bellevue	90.31	104 South Kitsap	78.08
15 West Valley (Yakima)	81.53	45	65.50	75 Northshore	90.50	105 Bremerton	73.06
16	72.58	46	70.62	76 Clover Park	73.08	106 North Kitsap	82.14
17 Ellensburg	78.70	47	80.94	77 Bethel	71.26	107	77.56
18	76.06	48	86.12	78 Issaquah	92.66	108 Vancouver	71.14
19	83.31	49 Edmonds	78.95	79 Auburn	79.04	109 Evergreen (Clark)	82.46
20	70.37	50 Everett	83.90	80 Shoreline	86.32	110 Battle Ground	81.28
21	82.14	51 Ferndale	71.71	81 Franklin Pierce	75.73	111 Longview	74.60
22	63.98	52 Bellingham	75.96	82 Tahoma	88.14	112 Kelso	85.90
23	79.47	53 Lake Stevens	86.59	83 Snoqualmie Valley	82.42	113	83.69
24	79.03	54 Marysville	73.15	84 Enumclaw	84.58	114	82.73
25	74.02	55 Monroe	75.37	85 White River	87.77	115	89.84
26	88.00	56 Mukilteo	80.85	86 Mercer Island	93.37	116	91.86
27	80.89	57 Oak Harbor	78.91	87 Bainbridge Island	93.24	117	82.00
28	70.48	58 Sedro-Woolley	88.05	88 North Thurston	81.66	118	76.25
29 Pasco	70.94	59 Snohomish	85.35	89 Olympia	85.37	**Updated:**	3/25/2015
30 Richland	77.94	60 Stanwood-Camano	80.99	90 Tumwater	81.74		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Graduation and Dropout Statistics for Washington.





Protective Factor: Extended Graduation

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Protective Factor: Extended Graduation, Five Year Rates

The percent of freshman students who graduate including those students who stay in school and take more than four years to complete their degree. OSPI began using the actual cohort of students for their calculations in 2010/11. For more information on the changes in rate computation and cohort methodology, see the Technical Notes.

Statewide 79.69

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	77.33	31	84.31	61	92.41	91 Yelm	77.30
2 Central Valley	89.70	32	81.16	62	80.26	92 Centralia	73.42
3 Mead	90.07	33	83.85	63	86.07	93	82.55
4 Pullman	91.63	34	82.70	64	87.64	94	76.66
5 East Valley (Spokane)	92.77	35	82.55	65	82.61	95	83.21
6	96.18	36	93.34	66	89.12	96	87.26
7	90.02	37 Eastmont	85.19	67	90.10	97	82.18
8	86.56	38 Wenatchee	69.05	68 Seattle	80.15	98	81.88
9	80.75	39 Moses Lake	69.18	69 Tacoma	67.54	99	70.82
10	84.85	40	86.98	70 Lake Washington	92.84	100	76.11
11	87.14	41	80.73	71 Kent	82.55	101	89.79
12	88.42	42	85.54	72 Federal Way	76.77	102	77.27
13	91.18	43 Anacortes	85.64	73 Highline	70.75	103	84.45
14 Yakima	69.04	44 Burlington-Edison	82.98	74 Bellevue	91.28	104 South Kitsap	86.14
15 West Valley (Yakima)	86.40	45	72.32	75 Northshore	92.37	105 Bremerton	80.12
16	73.65	46	74.75	76 Clover Park	78.08	106 North Kitsap	84.55
17 Ellensburg	78.72	47	84.35	77 Bethel	75.11	107	81.18
18	80.05	48	89.34	78 Issaquah	95.05	108 Vancouver	74.25
19	86.40	49 Edmonds	82.01	79 Auburn	81.88	109 Evergreen (Clark)	83.55
20	73.35	50 Everett	87.60	80 Shoreline	89.48	110 Battle Ground	85.74
21	86.25	51 Ferndale	76.23	81 Franklin Pierce	79.55	111 Longview	77.72
22	67.00	52 Bellingham	79.69	82 Tahoma	91.10	112 Kelso	85.70
23	82.19	53 Lake Stevens	88.16	83 Snoqualmie Valley	87.62	113	84.51
24	83.35	54 Marysville	77.89	84 Enumclaw	86.62	114	86.00
25	79.65	55 Monroe	75.73	85 White River	89.70	115	91.45
26	92.18	56 Mukilteo	85.62	86 Mercer Island	96.30	116	93.37
27	86.20	57 Oak Harbor	83.02	87 Bainbridge Island	96.23	117	85.07
28	73.22	58 Sedro-Woolley	93.04	88 North Thurston	84.40	118	78.50
29 Pasco	74.44	59 Snohomish	89.18	89 Olympia	89.29	**Updated:**	3/25/2015
30 Richland	83.34	60 Stanwood-Camano	85.56	90 Tumwater	84.04		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Graduation and Dropout Statistics for Washington.





Weapons Incidents in School

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Weapons Incidents in School, Five Year Rates

The reported incidents involving guns and other weapons at any grade level per 1000 students enrolled in October of all grades.

Statewide 2.39

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	2.92	31	5.01	61	0.48	91 Yelm	3.78
2 Central Valley	2.07	32	1.24	62	2.85	92 Centralia	2.25
3 Mead	1.09	33	2.08	63	1.45	93	2.51
4 Pullman	1.44	34	1.83	64	1.16	94	3.86
5 East Valley (Spokane)	0.96	35	0.63	65	2.23	95	1.22
6	2.41	36	1.24	66	1.88	96	0.90
7	1.95	37 Eastmont	2.38	67	2.08	97	1.45
8	2.11	38 Wenatchee	2.23	68 Seattle	2.78	98	1.41
9	1.58	39 Moses Lake	3.13	69 Tacoma	4.08	99	2.96
10	1.60	40	2.50	70 Lake Washington	1.11	100	2.75
11	1.64	41	1.89	71 Kent	2.63	101	2.44
12	1.49	42	0.55	72 Federal Way	2.44	102	3.42
13	0.89	43 Anacortes	2.11	73 Highline	3.75	103	3.09
14 Yakima	5.25	44 Burlington-Edison	2.17	74 Bellevue	1.03	104 South Kitsap	2.19
15 West Valley (Yakima)	1.82	45	3.83	75 Northshore	1.88	105 Bremerton	1.81
16	2.87	46	2.77	76 Clover Park	2.86	106 North Kitsap	1.52
17 Ellensburg	2.69	47	3.16	77 Bethel	3.15	107	1.52
18	2.03	48	0.92	78 Issaquah	1.07	108 Vancouver	3.10
19	2.67	49 Edmonds	0.40	79 Auburn	2.37	109 Evergreen (Clark)	3.12
20	3.67	50 Everett	3.16	80 Shoreline	2.03	110 Battle Ground	1.41
21	1.57	51 Ferndale	3.42	81 Franklin Pierce	2.58	111 Longview	2.44
22	2.53	52 Bellingham	2.03	82 Tahoma	1.34	112 Kelso	3.95
23	2.84	53 Lake Stevens	1.80	83 Snoqualmie Valley	0.80	113	1.84
24	3.04	54 Marysville	3.07	84 Enumclaw	1.97	114	1.97
25	2.75	55 Monroe	1.64	85 White River	3.87	115	1.84
26	1.71	56 Mukilteo	3.04	86 Mercer Island	0.23	116	1.40
27	3.24	57 Oak Harbor	2.21	87 Bainbridge Island	0.51	117	2.52
28	3.52	58 Sedro-Woolley	3.39	88 North Thurston	2.37	118	2.06
29 Pasco	2.84	59 Snohomish	1.71	89 Olympia	1.70	**Updated:**	5/8/2015
30 Richland	3.11	60 Stanwood-Camano	2.26	90 Tumwater	2.76		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Information Services, Safe and Drug-free Schools: Report to the Legislature on Weapons in Schools RCW 28A.320.130





Unexcused Absences for Students in Grades 1 to 8

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Unexcused Absences for Students in Grades 1 to 8, Five Year Rates

The unexcused absences for students in grades 1-8 per thousand potential school days. Potential school days are the number of days students were taught from the first day of school through May 31 in each school building multiplied by the net served students in grades 1-8 in that building.

Statewide 4.13

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	3.87	31	2.14	61	0.48	91 Yelm	3.01
2 Central Valley	1.66	32	3.08	62	8.86	92 Centralia	4.14
3 Mead	1.16	33	3.43	63	3.39	93	3.05
4 Pullman	0.21	34	5.33	64	2.24	94	5.61
5 East Valley (Spokane)	3.49	35	4.01	65	4.53	95	3.84
6	5.22	36	0.78	66	3.56	96	1.54
7	1.70	37 Eastmont	0.75	67	3.55	97	2.71
8	1.89	38 Wenatchee	1.97	68 Seattle	6.79	98	3.80
9	3.25	39 Moses Lake	5.78	69 Tacoma	7.87	99	3.91
10	2.87	40	1.88	70 Lake Washington	1.68	100	6.00
11	4.65	41	1.32	71 Kent	3.66	101	2.33
12	2.24	42	2.39	72 Federal Way	1.92	102	3.46
13	1.16	43 Anacortes	2.19	73 Highline	8.47	103	9.62
14 Yakima	18.15	44 Burlington-Edison	3.53	74 Bellevue	2.28	104 South Kitsap	1.68
15 West Valley (Yakima)	3.56	45	4.95	75 Northshore	1.47	105 Bremerton	4.71
16	7.75	46	5.50	76 Clover Park	5.72	106 North Kitsap	1.50
17 Ellensburg	0.93	47	2.64	77 Bethel	2.86	107	5.42
18	1.91	48	5.12	78 Issaquah	1.62	108 Vancouver	2.17
19	3.61	49 Edmonds	5.28	79 Auburn	4.18	109 Evergreen (Clark)	4.67
20	12.57	50 Everett	4.30	80 Shoreline	2.02	110 Battle Ground	3.15
21	4.30	51 Ferndale	6.32	81 Franklin Pierce	4.55	111 Longview	5.70
22	8.20	52 Bellingham	3.74	82 Tahoma	1.78	112 Kelso	4.73
23	2.37	53 Lake Stevens	2.64	83 Snoqualmie Valley	0.79	113	1.58
24	2.99	54 Marysville	10.92	84 Enumclaw	1.54	114	5.30
25	5.98	55 Monroe	2.66	85 White River	5.62	115	2.19
26	2.65	56 Mukilteo	3.34	86 Mercer Island	0.12	116	2.79
27	2.98	57 Oak Harbor	4.85	87 Bainbridge Island	0.26	117	1.59
28	1.35	58 Sedro-Woolley	3.46	88 North Thurston	4.74	118	1.76
29 Pasco	2.96	59 Snohomish	4.90	89 Olympia	2.89	**Updated:**	10/16/2013
30 Richland	3.07	60 Stanwood-Camano	2.99	90 Tumwater	4.89		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Washington State Report Card, Unexcused Absence Files.





Arrests (Age 10-14), Alcohol- or Drug-Related

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Arrests (Age 10-14), Alcohol- or Drug-Related, Five Year Rates

The arrests of younger adolescents (age 10-14) for alcohol and drug law violations, per 1,000 adolescents (age 10-14). Alcohol violations include all crimes involving driving under the influence, liquor law violations, and drunkenness. For adolescents, arrests for liquor law violations are usually arrests for minor in possession. Drug law violations include all crimes involving sale, manufacturing, and possession of drugs.

Statewide 2.22

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	3.64	31	2.33	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	2.02	32	8.19	62	1.19	92 Centralia	7.06
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	1.21	34	2.32	64	1.04	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	2.59	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	2.29
7	UN	37 Eastmont	2.65	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	3.29	68 Seattle	0.22	98	4.03
9	1.55	39 Moses Lake	3.70	69 Tacoma	1.87	99	4.46
10	0.00	40	4.21	70 Lake Washington	1.74	100	UN
11	0.55	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	2.20	42	1.69	72 Federal Way	1.16	102	6.27
13	2.58	43 Anacortes	10.76	73 Highline	NR	103	6.40
14 Yakima	2.71	44 Burlington-Edison	2.76	74 Bellevue	1.04	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	1.44
16	3.00	46	4.93	76 Clover Park	2.51	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	4.23	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	0.97	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	1.40	79 Auburn	2.12	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	1.25	50 Everett	1.37	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	6.26	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	3.45
22	5.07	52 Bellingham	3.51	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	4.97
23	5.92	53 Lake Stevens	2.21	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	0.80
24	4.14	54 Marysville	3.94	84 Enumclaw	1.88	114	2.43
25	4.72	55 Monroe	1.91	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	2.60	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	0.11	116	0.87
27	3.14	57 Oak Harbor	1.64	87 Bainbridge Island	0.24	117	2.00
28	6.48	58 Sedro-Woolley	7.64	88 North Thurston	3.35	118	0.36
29 Pasco	3.18	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	0.65	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	5.86	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	2.48		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Arrests (Age 10-14), Vandalism

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Arrests (Age 10-14), Vandalism, Five Year Rates

The arrests of younger adolescents (age 10-14) for vandalism (including residence, non-residence, vehicles, venerated objects, police cars, or other) per 1,000 adolescents (age 10-14).

Statewide 1.23

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	2.25	31	1.63	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	1.12	32	2.66	62	0.59	92 Centralia	3.11
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	1.21	34	1.55	64	0.85	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	1.24	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	1.19
7	UN	37 Eastmont	0.98	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	1.58	68 Seattle	0.35	98	1.01
9	0.17	39 Moses Lake	1.76	69 Tacoma	1.31	99	0.87
10	0.00	40	0.59	70 Lake Washington	0.67	100	UN
11	1.09	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	1.69	42	0.56	72 Federal Way	0.91	102	2.52
13	2.86	43 Anacortes	1.76	73 Highline	NR	103	1.78
14 Yakima	3.99	44 Burlington-Edison	1.69	74 Bellevue	0.67	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	1.13
16	1.47	46	1.78	76 Clover Park	1.45	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	0.98	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	0.54	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	0.81	79 Auburn	0.84	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	3.01	50 Everett	0.78	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	1.57	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	5.14
22	6.69	52 Bellingham	1.44	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	1.75
23	3.53	53 Lake Stevens	0.57	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	0.00
24	1.85	54 Marysville	1.20	84 Enumclaw	1.68	114	0.93
25	2.56	55 Monroe	0.09	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	0.37	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	0.00	116	1.05
27	1.60	57 Oak Harbor	0.73	87 Bainbridge Island	0.24	117	0.93
28	4.70	58 Sedro-Woolley	2.30	88 North Thurston	1.05	118	0.53
29 Pasco	1.79	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	0.44	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	2.01	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	0.17		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Total Arrests (Age 10-14)

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Total Arrests (Age 10-14), Five Year Rates

The arrests of adolescents (age 10-14) for any crime, per 1,000 adolescents (age 10-14). Washington State has transitioned from Summary UCR to the NIBRS system for reporting. Care must be taken when interpreting the yearly trend of "total arrest" rates for an area. In areas where large amounts of arrests are likely for crimes not previously reported, a substantial increase in total arrests could be expected starting with the 2012 data.

Statewide 13.92

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	27.94	31	10.74	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	12.02	32	23.24	62	22.51	92 Centralia	27.41
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	20.80	34	13.75	64	7.62	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	13.98	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	15.73
7	UN	37 Eastmont	19.73	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	19.02	68 Seattle	7.29	98	12.53
9	5.84	39 Moses Lake	22.28	69 Tacoma	16.66	99	23.78
10	1.24	40	16.43	70 Lake Washington	6.81	100	UN
11	7.66	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	13.55	42	4.21	72 Federal Way	11.42	102	25.57
13	22.05	43 Anacortes	27.20	73 Highline	NR	103	20.46
14 Yakima	21.13	44 Burlington-Edison	21.02	74 Bellevue	7.87	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	11.44
16	15.39	46	18.48	76 Clover Park	14.19	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	22.15	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	5.85	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	16.55	79 Auburn	13.40	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	15.53	50 Everett	12.91	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	19.92	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	36.51
22	36.91	52 Bellingham	21.25	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	28.46
23	30.93	53 Lake Stevens	12.81	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	5.62
24	18.20	54 Marysville	17.04	84 Enumclaw	9.42	114	13.15
25	29.16	55 Monroe	8.17	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	14.69	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	0.91	116	6.58
27	33.89	57 Oak Harbor	10.39	87 Bainbridge Island	2.95	117	9.61
28	29.82	58 Sedro-Woolley	25.82	88 North Thurston	18.04	118	7.30
29 Pasco	19.00	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	13.88	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	23.89	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	9.08		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Injury or Accident Hospitalizations for Children

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Injury or Accident Hospitalizations for Children, Five Year Rates

The child injury or accident hospitalizations as a percent of all hospitalizations for children (age birth-17). Due to contractual agreement data may not be displayed for areas with less than 100 hospitalizations.

Statewide 4.34

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	6.18	31	4.71	61	5.70	91 Yelm	5.47
2 Central Valley	6.25	32	6.18	62	2.99	92 Centralia	4.42
3 Mead	7.37	33	4.73	63	6.24	93	5.86
4 Pullman	2.84	34	5.48	64	5.02	94	4.82
5 East Valley (Spokane)	6.66	35	4.75	65	5.23	95	5.69
6	UN	36	3.78	66	5.49	96	4.58
7	6.18	37 Eastmont	4.14	67	7.84	97	5.00
8	8.31	38 Wenatchee	4.28	68 Seattle	3.16	98	6.55
9	6.42	39 Moses Lake	4.51	69 Tacoma	5.43	99	4.69
10	7.89	40	4.35	70 Lake Washington	3.15	100	4.81
11	7.70	41	3.52	71 Kent	4.38	101	5.33
12	6.80	42	5.35	72 Federal Way	3.92	102	5.77
13	6.98	43 Anacortes	3.34	73 Highline	3.43	103	6.04
14 Yakima	4.33	44 Burlington-Edison	3.37	74 Bellevue	3.13	104 South Kitsap	5.02
15 West Valley (Yakima)	6.35	45	4.73	75 Northshore	3.57	105 Bremerton	4.20
16	3.25	46	3.71	76 Clover Park	4.79	106 North Kitsap	5.28
17 Ellensburg	3.28	47	4.63	77 Bethel	5.74	107	5.08
18	3.73	48	4.65	78 Issaquah	3.91	108 Vancouver	2.31
19	5.46	49 Edmonds	3.35	79 Auburn	4.30	109 Evergreen (Clark)	2.18
20	5.65	50 Everett	3.52	80 Shoreline	3.58	110 Battle Ground	2.84
21	5.01	51 Ferndale	4.03	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	1.52
22	5.13	52 Bellingham	3.48	82 Tahoma	5.83	112 Kelso	1.73
23	4.04	53 Lake Stevens	3.90	83 Snoqualmie Valley	4.67	113	3.85
24	4.65	54 Marysville	4.09	84 Enumclaw	8.06	114	2.45
25	4.41	55 Monroe	3.75	85 White River	7.01	115	2.86
26	4.24	56 Mukilteo	3.02	86 Mercer Island	4.41	116	4.32
27	4.15	57 Oak Harbor	5.06	87 Bainbridge Island	7.02	117	3.49
28	SP	58 Sedro-Woolley	3.72	88 North Thurston	4.81	118	2.10
29 Pasco	3.95	59 Snohomish	4.79	89 Olympia	4.96	**Updated:**	10/9/2015
30 Richland	4.68	60 Stanwood-Camano	5.22	90 Tumwater	4.90		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Health, Office of Hospital and Patient Data Systems, Comprehensive Hospital Abstract Reporting System (CHARS)





Infant Mortality (Under 1 Year)

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Infant Mortality (Under 1 Year), Five Year Rates

The deaths, of infants under one year of age, per 100,000 population of infants under one year of age. Suppression code definitions are explained in Technical Notes. Rates are not reported when fewer than 100 infants reside in an area.

Statewide 438.77

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	583.03	31	472.26	61	174.98	91 Yelm	531.91
2 Central Valley	468.09	32	712.38	62	451.19	92 Centralia	665.05
3 Mead	622.08	33	445.43	63	301.81	93	285.88
4 Pullman	222.22	34	338.82	64	672.00	94	320.51
5 East Valley (Spokane)	558.66	35	308.07	65	482.69	95	0.00
6	UN	36	580.72	66	441.57	96	444.44
7	403.85	37 Eastmont	262.61	67	63.61	97	728.16
8	551.72	38 Wenatchee	256.82	68 Seattle	422.36	98	400.32
9	120.05	39 Moses Lake	470.31	69 Tacoma	529.39	99	567.89
10	632.34	40	585.37	70 Lake Washington	189.81	100	408.72
11	745.16	41	480.14	71 Kent	511.01	101	646.02
12	238.10	42	258.40	72 Federal Way	621.12	102	646.39
13	724.64	43 Anacortes	563.06	73 Highline	559.66	103	596.66
14 Yakima	390.88	44 Burlington-Edison	155.04	74 Bellevue	345.45	104 South Kitsap	566.86
15 West Valley (Yakima)	444.73	45	342.13	75 Northshore	305.20	105 Bremerton	625.43
16	408.08	46	609.76	76 Clover Park	727.95	106 North Kitsap	504.41
17 Ellensburg	325.95	47	459.67	77 Bethel	529.53	107	516.00
18	437.90	48	334.08	78 Issaquah	335.72	108 Vancouver	347.19
19	437.96	49 Edmonds	328.55	79 Auburn	519.19	109 Evergreen (Clark)	235.11
20	677.97	50 Everett	555.36	80 Shoreline	426.31	110 Battle Ground	289.66
21	321.69	51 Ferndale	438.36	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	327.63
22	761.90	52 Bellingham	328.88	82 Tahoma	268.10	112 Kelso	352.53
23	460.83	53 Lake Stevens	244.84	83 Snoqualmie Valley	191.72	113	787.40
24	313.87	54 Marysville	473.63	84 Enumclaw	299.18	114	312.89
25	448.49	55 Monroe	134.11	85 White River	253.59	115	572.52
26	432.28	56 Mukilteo	394.70	86 Mercer Island	431.65	116	49.55
27	604.23	57 Oak Harbor	263.47	87 Bainbridge Island	136.43	117	96.06
28	84.96	58 Sedro-Woolley	598.35	88 North Thurston	419.29	118	287.08
29 Pasco	418.70	59 Snohomish	276.24	89 Olympia	709.70	**Updated:**	10/12/2015
30 Richland	491.13	60 Stanwood-Camano	347.46	90 Tumwater	504.20		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Health, Center for Health Statistics, Death Certificate Data File. Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Child Mortality (Ages 1-17)

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Child Mortality (Ages 1-17), Five Year Rates

The deaths, of children 1 to 17 years of age, per 100,000 population of children 1 to 17 years of age. Suppression code definitions for rates are explained in Technical Notes. Rates are not reported when fewer than 100 children reside in an area.

Statewide 15.34

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	17.09	31	19.76	61	0.00	91 Yelm	13.30
2 Central Valley	7.51	32	21.71	62	10.35	92 Centralia	15.77
3 Mead	15.52	33	21.65	63	14.54	93	31.91
4 Pullman	5.19	34	23.46	64	14.33	94	27.09
5 East Valley (Spokane)	19.67	35	39.27	65	13.54	95	25.99
6	UN	36	16.82	66	12.16	96	22.04
7	14.67	37 Eastmont	13.41	67	3.11	97	24.57
8	29.83	38 Wenatchee	23.20	68 Seattle	13.02	98	24.16
9	49.34	39 Moses Lake	16.14	69 Tacoma	19.38	99	30.18
10	22.86	40	14.89	70 Lake Washington	13.16	100	22.10
11	13.54	41	12.24	71 Kent	17.38	101	23.38
12	15.80	42	17.57	72 Federal Way	13.31	102	18.95
13	33.47	43 Anacortes	11.43	73 Highline	11.87	103	11.30
14 Yakima	16.94	44 Burlington-Edison	12.38	74 Bellevue	6.34	104 South Kitsap	13.75
15 West Valley (Yakima)	17.51	45	10.22	75 Northshore	13.36	105 Bremerton	13.10
16	23.98	46	11.22	76 Clover Park	17.99	106 North Kitsap	8.20
17 Ellensburg	18.35	47	19.52	77 Bethel	19.69	107	25.77
18	22.09	48	20.81	78 Issaquah	7.63	108 Vancouver	9.57
19	24.57	49 Edmonds	4.53	79 Auburn	12.40	109 Evergreen (Clark)	12.04
20	37.80	50 Everett	13.33	80 Shoreline	9.95	110 Battle Ground	14.10
21	15.74	51 Ferndale	20.98	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	12.29
22	52.06	52 Bellingham	15.72	82 Tahoma	19.26	112 Kelso	11.66
23	11.37	53 Lake Stevens	7.18	83 Snoqualmie Valley	14.50	113	8.82
24	22.99	54 Marysville	21.12	84 Enumclaw	42.44	114	17.26
25	15.01	55 Monroe	4.48	85 White River	14.93	115	10.29
26	52.98	56 Mukilteo	10.43	86 Mercer Island	7.43	116	11.49
27	19.00	57 Oak Harbor	23.31	87 Bainbridge Island	7.88	117	4.22
28	9.20	58 Sedro-Woolley	24.07	88 North Thurston	13.38	118	23.49
29 Pasco	15.78	59 Snohomish	9.25	89 Olympia	10.01	**Updated:**	10/12/2015
30 Richland	15.68	60 Stanwood-Camano	27.48	90 Tumwater	17.96		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Health, Center for Health Statistics, Death Certificate Data File. Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Births to School-Aged (10-17) Mothers

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Births to School-Aged (10-17) Mothers

The live births to adolescents (age 10-17) per 1,000 females (age 10-17). Rate changes in data result from on-going updates to birth records. Suppression code definitions for rates are explained in Technical Notes. Due to contractual agreement data may not be displayed for areas with less than 100 females (age 10-17).

Statewide 4.12

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	5.04	31	5.93	61	0.33	91 Yelm	2.79
2 Central Valley	3.13	32	10.19	62	4.04	92 Centralia	8.55
3 Mead	2.15	33	10.39	63	1.10	93	4.53
4 Pullman	1.28	34	10.28	64	1.86	94	6.89
5 East Valley (Spokane)	3.34	35	7.61	65	2.54	95	5.44
6	UN	36	4.24	66	2.34	96	3.90
7	2.80	37 Eastmont	7.26	67	1.30	97	5.91
8	2.49	38 Wenatchee	7.91	68 Seattle	1.98	98	4.73
9	6.79	39 Moses Lake	10.22	69 Tacoma	5.69	99	5.36
10	5.23	40	2.69	70 Lake Washington	0.90	100	7.13
11	6.27	41	4.03	71 Kent	3.29	101	3.20
12	2.43	42	1.92	72 Federal Way	4.63	102	4.16
13	0.70	43 Anacortes	1.67	73 Highline	6.16	103	1.56
14 Yakima	12.10	44 Burlington-Edison	5.41	74 Bellevue	0.89	104 South Kitsap	2.96
15 West Valley (Yakima)	4.66	45	3.61	75 Northshore	0.83	105 Bremerton	5.63
16	16.34	46	8.61	76 Clover Park	7.16	106 North Kitsap	1.52
17 Ellensburg	2.78	47	2.71	77 Bethel	3.60	107	7.70
18	10.48	48	1.00	78 Issaquah	0.49	108 Vancouver	4.82
19	4.96	49 Edmonds	1.88	79 Auburn	5.63	109 Evergreen (Clark)	3.62
20	10.52	50 Everett	3.16	80 Shoreline	1.66	110 Battle Ground	2.36
21	10.46	51 Ferndale	4.73	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	6.96
22	16.07	52 Bellingham	2.60	82 Tahoma	0.63	112 Kelso	4.87
23	13.88	53 Lake Stevens	2.14	83 Snoqualmie Valley	0.97	113	3.89
24	6.64	54 Marysville	4.08	84 Enumclaw	1.35	114	3.52
25	6.77	55 Monroe	4.14	85 White River	2.42	115	0.94
26	2.65	56 Mukilteo	4.45	86 Mercer Island	0.14	116	1.65
27	6.38	57 Oak Harbor	2.73	87 Bainbridge Island	0.15	117	5.25
28	7.85	58 Sedro-Woolley	2.78	88 North Thurston	3.34	118	4.40
29 Pasco	10.59	59 Snohomish	1.49	89 Olympia	1.81	**Updated:**	10/9/2015
30 Richland	2.60	60 Stanwood-Camano	1.66	90 Tumwater	2.00		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Health, Center for Health Statistics, Birth Certificate Data File. Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Suicide and Suicide Attempts (Age 10-17)

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Suicide and Suicide Attempts (Age 10-17), Five Year Rates

The adolescents (age 10-17) who committed suicide or were admitted to the hospital for suicide attempts, per 100,000 adolescents (age 10-17). Suicides are based on death certificate information. Suicide attempts are based on hospital admissions, but do not include admissions to federal hospitals.

Statewide 50.75

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	123.63	31	41.73	61	66.13	91 Yelm	26.65
2 Central Valley	99.36	32	58.93	62	49.92	92 Centralia	70.52
3 Mead	96.67	33	27.88	63	49.18	93	31.57
4 Pullman	44.14	34	61.31	64	33.58	94	55.54
5 East Valley (Spokane)	82.16	35	36.79	65	42.19	95	60.56
6	UN	36	22.47	66	46.58	96	48.67
7	114.52	37 Eastmont	94.87	67	37.77	97	38.04
8	70.22	38 Wenatchee	82.69	68 Seattle	63.43	98	83.26
9	51.84	39 Moses Lake	31.28	69 Tacoma	74.95	99	53.10
10	70.67	40	45.75	70 Lake Washington	63.59	100	70.35
11	52.40	41	29.20	71 Kent	35.44	101	38.01
12	30.21	42	128.95	72 Federal Way	34.78	102	38.08
13	80.67	43 Anacortes	94.32	73 Highline	25.74	103	0.00
14 Yakima	59.62	44 Burlington-Edison	25.75	74 Bellevue	49.70	104 South Kitsap	59.53
15 West Valley (Yakima)	95.79	45	29.34	75 Northshore	69.02	105 Bremerton	31.59
16	24.74	46	45.40	76 Clover Park	46.19	106 North Kitsap	45.63
17 Ellensburg	18.89	47	38.33	77 Bethel	65.50	107	25.70
18	27.19	48	49.51	78 Issaquah	68.08	108 Vancouver	12.34
19	65.86	49 Edmonds	48.91	79 Auburn	25.95	109 Evergreen (Clark)	23.19
20	49.79	50 Everett	48.04	80 Shoreline	58.41	110 Battle Ground	17.44
21	33.60	51 Ferndale	54.75	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	8.86
22	66.22	52 Bellingham	43.16	82 Tahoma	54.13	112 Kelso	23.79
23	4.85	53 Lake Stevens	48.40	83 Snoqualmie Valley	75.43	113	35.51
24	26.63	54 Marysville	42.00	84 Enumclaw	39.15	114	11.27
25	34.17	55 Monroe	36.96	85 White River	29.19	115	6.57
26	43.12	56 Mukilteo	54.43	86 Mercer Island	20.30	116	25.42
27	52.22	57 Oak Harbor	92.80	87 Bainbridge Island	36.38	117	16.84
28	0.00	58 Sedro-Woolley	27.84	88 North Thurston	52.21	118	0.00
29 Pasco	23.13	59 Snohomish	38.59	89 Olympia	56.18	**Updated:**	10/9/2015
30 Richland	62.60	60 Stanwood-Camano	45.70	90 Tumwater	45.14		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Health, Office of Hospital and Patient Data Systems, Comprehensive Hospital Abstract Reporting System (CHARS) and Department of Health, Center for Health Statistics Death Certificate Data. Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Low Birth Weight Babies

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Low Birth Weight Babies, Five Year Rates

The babies born with low birth weight, per 1,000 live births. Low birth weight is less than 2,500 grams. Rate changes in data result from on-going updates to birth records.

Statewide 62.9

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	70.09	31	76.11	61	45.97	91 Yelm	52.95
2 Central Valley	61.32	32	68.64	62	71.01	92 Centralia	67.17
3 Mead	67.22	33	57.12	63	52.67	93	58.40
4 Pullman	53.32	34	54.26	64	59.51	94	49.94
5 East Valley (Spokane)	58.04	35	71.63	65	59.57	95	62.97
6	UN	36	51.46	66	56.12	96	58.48
7	67.71	37 Eastmont	61.67	67	55.24	97	66.51
8	79.89	38 Wenatchee	62.66	68 Seattle	64.37	98	56.59
9	55.06	39 Moses Lake	65.77	69 Tacoma	68.19	99	66.03
10	63.35	40	57.57	70 Lake Washington	62.30	100	65.78
11	59.35	41	50.07	71 Kent	69.99	101	62.44
12	73.26	42	38.20	72 Federal Way	64.23	102	54.76
13	69.28	43 Anacortes	53.91	73 Highline	68.14	103	41.37
14 Yakima	70.63	44 Burlington-Edison	41.60	74 Bellevue	72.34	104 South Kitsap	69.92
15 West Valley (Yakima)	63.84	45	61.30	75 Northshore	58.72	105 Bremerton	65.03
16	69.98	46	60.01	76 Clover Park	70.82	106 North Kitsap	57.62
17 Ellensburg	54.21	47	56.88	77 Bethel	67.66	107	69.27
18	65.69	48	44.08	78 Issaquah	57.92	108 Vancouver	65.97
19	61.74	49 Edmonds	56.40	79 Auburn	65.76	109 Evergreen (Clark)	59.23
20	68.40	50 Everett	62.29	80 Shoreline	62.11	110 Battle Ground	55.39
21	65.04	51 Ferndale	50.67	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	68.89
22	71.21	52 Bellingham	48.74	82 Tahoma	65.77	112 Kelso	72.65
23	71.57	53 Lake Stevens	51.11	83 Snoqualmie Valley	51.27	113	66.33
24	69.77	54 Marysville	61.16	84 Enumclaw	47.62	114	58.08
25	66.71	55 Monroe	55.23	85 White River	43.37	115	60.48
26	60.61	56 Mukilteo	57.37	86 Mercer Island	59.33	116	57.43
27	53.61	57 Oak Harbor	45.77	87 Bainbridge Island	55.93	117	55.10
28	56.11	58 Sedro-Woolley	64.79	88 North Thurston	57.47	118	63.71
29 Pasco	64.79	59 Snohomish	55.82	89 Olympia	55.28	**Updated:**	10/9/2015
30 Richland	66.92	60 Stanwood-Camano	58.09	90 Tumwater	59.93		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Health, Center for Health Statistics, Birth Certificate Data File





Injury or Accident Hospitalizations for Women

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Injury or Accident Hospitalizations for Women, Five Year Rates

The injury or accident hospitalizations for women as a percent of all hospitalizations for women (age 18+).

Statewide 16.47

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	22.13	31	13.03	61	14.73	91 Yelm	18.56
2 Central Valley	21.50	32	12.86	62	13.15	92 Centralia	18.20
3 Mead	23.77	33	16.61	63	18.07	93	18.33
4 Pullman	11.93	34	12.71	64	18.45	94	19.20
5 East Valley (Spokane)	19.86	35	12.53	65	17.96	95	17.12
6	UN	36	13.95	66	17.67	96	17.96
7	20.75	37 Eastmont	14.05	67	18.06	97	18.13
8	22.46	38 Wenatchee	15.20	68 Seattle	14.89	98	17.25
9	19.20	39 Moses Lake	17.15	69 Tacoma	18.02	99	16.73
10	21.62	40	15.72	70 Lake Washington	14.39	100	19.06
11	19.28	41	13.82	71 Kent	12.93	101	14.04
12	19.97	42	18.56	72 Federal Way	13.48	102	21.06
13	20.64	43 Anacortes	17.11	73 Highline	13.46	103	18.12
14 Yakima	16.33	44 Burlington-Edison	12.25	74 Bellevue	14.66	104 South Kitsap	15.22
15 West Valley (Yakima)	18.13	45	14.32	75 Northshore	16.10	105 Bremerton	14.29
16	12.44	46	12.33	76 Clover Park	16.73	106 North Kitsap	13.85
17 Ellensburg	16.95	47	15.90	77 Bethel	16.91	107	14.33
18	13.91	48	17.54	78 Issaquah	13.62	108 Vancouver	17.64
19	16.83	49 Edmonds	15.93	79 Auburn	14.88	109 Evergreen (Clark)	17.30
20	14.36	50 Everett	15.81	80 Shoreline	17.62	110 Battle Ground	15.48
21	15.26	51 Ferndale	15.30	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	17.62
22	14.29	52 Bellingham	16.50	82 Tahoma	13.89	112 Kelso	16.66
23	11.93	53 Lake Stevens	12.43	83 Snoqualmie Valley	14.70	113	20.60
24	18.04	54 Marysville	14.93	84 Enumclaw	17.28	114	17.55
25	17.27	55 Monroe	14.36	85 White River	17.17	115	15.45
26	14.90	56 Mukilteo	14.17	86 Mercer Island	18.11	116	17.70
27	18.72	57 Oak Harbor	15.92	87 Bainbridge Island	17.81	117	18.50
28	20.62	58 Sedro-Woolley	12.70	88 North Thurston	19.06	118	13.38
29 Pasco	12.86	59 Snohomish	15.37	89 Olympia	19.59	**Updated:**	10/9/2015
30 Richland	19.62	60 Stanwood-Camano	17.25	90 Tumwater	18.34		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Health, Office of Hospital and Patient Data Systems, Comprehensive Hospital Abstract Reporting System (CHARS)





Offenses, Domestic Violence

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Offenses, Domestic Violence, Five Year Rates

The domestic violence-related offenses, per 1,000 persons. Domestic violence includes any violence of one family member against another family member. Family can include spouses, former spouses, parents who have children in common regardless of marital status, adults who live in the same household, as well as parents and their children. Offenses differ from arrests. Many offenses occur without arresting perpetrators.

Statewide 5.8

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	10.95	31	5.48	61	UN	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	4.93	32	7.05	62	5.07	92 Centralia	11.13
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	3.84	34	7.36	64	6.10	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	6.78	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	5.65
7	UN	37 Eastmont	4.49	67	UN	97	6.69
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	5.89	68 Seattle	3.55	98	5.37
9	7.78	39 Moses Lake	11.14	69 Tacoma	13.33	99	7.73
10	8.60	40	3.70	70 Lake Washington	2.56	100	UN
11	6.08	41	UN	71 Kent	6.18	101	UN
12	5.47	42	2.43	72 Federal Way	5.36	102	8.79
13	5.19	43 Anacortes	6.52	73 Highline	5.42	103	5.89
14 Yakima	10.39	44 Burlington-Edison	8.30	74 Bellevue	2.40	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	2.83	105 Bremerton	6.76
16	6.26	46	8.16	76 Clover Park	12.78	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	5.02	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	5.17	48	UN	78 Issaquah	UN	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	5.02	79 Auburn	6.86	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	6.56	50 Everett	6.25	80 Shoreline	2.49	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	7.36	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	9.92
22	10.08	52 Bellingham	4.37	82 Tahoma	1.69	112 Kelso	8.17
23	5.83	53 Lake Stevens	6.06	83 Snoqualmie Valley	UN	113	5.49
24	4.38	54 Marysville	6.51	84 Enumclaw	3.15	114	5.83
25	5.01	55 Monroe	4.81	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	4.79	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	1.12	116	5.41
27	5.97	57 Oak Harbor	4.76	87 Bainbridge Island	1.85	117	7.38
28	9.04	58 Sedro-Woolley	7.33	88 North Thurston	4.43	118	5.38
29 Pasco	7.04	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	4.25	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	5.25	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	4.88		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division


0
20
40
60
80
100
120
140
Statewide
22
111 Longview
44 Burlington-Edison
25
43 Anacortes
27
62
112 Kelso
39 Moses Lake
30 Richland
1 Spokane
102
52 Bellingham
92 Centralia
28
17 Ellensburg
49 Edmonds
29 Pasco
14 Yakima
46
23
58 Sedro-Woolley
99
88 North Thurston
37 Eastmont
38 Wenatchee
13
32
24
69 Tacoma
103
51 Ferndale
16
40
96
20
54 Marysville
98
65
12
89 Olympia
4 Pullman
114
76 Clover Park
53 Lake Stevens
26
72 Federal Way
105 Bremerton
2 Central Valley
50 Everett
57 Oak Harbor
79 Auburn
84 Enumclaw
34
70 Lake Washington
117
90 Tumwater
31
55 Monroe
74 Bellevue
64
68 Seattle
116
11
9
113
118
18
42
87 Bainbridge Island
86 Mercer Island
10
3 Mead
5 East Valley (Spokane)
6
7
8
15 West Valley (Yakima)
19
21
33
35
36
41
45
47
48
56 Mukilteo
59 Snohomish
60 Stanwood-Camano
61
63
66
67
71 Kent
73 Highline
75 Northshore
77 Bethel
78 Issaquah
80 Shoreline
81 Franklin Pierce
82 Tahoma
83 Snoqualmie Valley
85 White River
91 Yelm
93
94
95
97
100
101
104 South Kitsap
106 North Kitsap
107
108 Vancouver
109 Evergreen (Clark)
110 Battle Ground
115
Total Arrests
(Age 10-17)
Five-Year Rates
for Locales
DSHS Research and Data
Analysis Division

Total Arrests (Age 10-17), Five Year Rates

The arrests of adolescents (age 10-17) for any crime, per 1,000 adolescents (age 10-17). Washington State has transitioned from Summary UCR to the NIBRS system for reporting. Care must be taken when interpreting the yearly trend of "total arrest" rates for an area. In areas where large amounts of arrests are likely for crimes not previously reported, a substantial increase in total arrests could be expected starting with the 2012 data.

Statewide 31.18

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	51.87	31	23.72	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	27.73	32	39.65	62	54.01	92 Centralia	48.75
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	30.65	34	24.84	64	20.04	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	32.81	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	35.09
7	UN	37 Eastmont	41.58	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	41.58	68 Seattle	19.78	98	32.98
9	13.54	39 Moses Lake	52.40	69 Tacoma	38.47	99	43.00
10	2.88	40	35.33	70 Lake Washington	23.91	100	UN
11	13.75	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	31.64	42	11.94	72 Federal Way	27.87	102	51.76
13	40.99	43 Anacortes	59.55	73 Highline	NR	103	38.30
14 Yakima	44.44	44 Burlington-Edison	62.44	74 Bellevue	20.40	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	27.87
16	36.09	46	43.89	76 Clover Park	29.45	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	46.10	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	12.38	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	44.84	79 Auburn	26.15	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	34.24	50 Everett	27.19	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	37.92	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	64.65
22	66.93	52 Bellingham	49.28	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	53.35
23	43.79	53 Lake Stevens	28.91	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	13.43
24	38.59	54 Marysville	33.17	84 Enumclaw	26.11	114	29.77
25	61.98	55 Monroe	21.47	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	28.73	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	6.34	116	19.10
27	57.42	57 Oak Harbor	27.05	87 Bainbridge Island	9.10	117	23.82
28	46.97	58 Sedro-Woolley	43.03	88 North Thurston	41.83	118	12.59
29 Pasco	44.61	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	31.49	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	52.21	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	23.76		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Arrests (Age 10-14), Property Crime

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Arrests (Age 10-14), Property Crime, Five Year Rates

The arrests of younger adolescents (age 10-14) for property crimes, per 1,000 adolescents (age 10-14). Property crimes include all crimes involving burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson.

Statewide 4.52

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	9.60	31	2.80	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	4.12	32	9.30	62	15.39	92 Centralia	6.08
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	11.12	34	3.10	64	2.80	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	5.27	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	4.78
7	UN	37 Eastmont	9.91	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	7.90	68 Seattle	1.89	98	3.03
9	2.06	39 Moses Lake	8.86	69 Tacoma	6.02	99	8.35
10	0.21	40	4.38	70 Lake Washington	3.05	100	UN
11	1.09	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	3.22	42	1.97	72 Federal Way	6.43	102	8.63
13	6.87	43 Anacortes	7.63	73 Highline	NR	103	6.23
14 Yakima	7.21	44 Burlington-Edison	11.04	74 Bellevue	3.57	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	2.47
16	5.02	46	4.79	76 Clover Park	3.48	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	6.35	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	1.41	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	7.99	79 Auburn	5.16	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	5.26	50 Everett	4.69	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	4.09	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	10.06
22	13.60	52 Bellingham	9.84	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	8.09
23	9.37	53 Lake Stevens	3.98	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	1.21
24	6.86	54 Marysville	5.09	84 Enumclaw	2.30	114	3.36
25	12.12	55 Monroe	3.13	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	2.60	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	0.34	116	1.51
27	10.61	57 Oak Harbor	3.92	87 Bainbridge Island	0.82	117	1.20
28	8.43	58 Sedro-Woolley	5.46	88 North Thurston	3.17	118	2.67
29 Pasco	4.66	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	3.16	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	6.93	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	1.11		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Arrests (Age 10-17), Property Crime

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Arrests (Age 10-17), Property Crime, Five Year Rates

The arrests of adolescents (age 10-17) for property crimes, per 1,000 adolescents (age 10-17). Property crimes include all crimes involving burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson.

Statewide 9.89

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	18.78	31	5.09	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	10.43	32	15.49	62	35.29	92 Centralia	12.14
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	10.22	34	5.46	64	5.56	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	13.24	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	10.94
7	UN	37 Eastmont	18.49	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	16.70	68 Seattle	5.21	98	6.94
9	5.31	39 Moses Lake	19.40	69 Tacoma	13.83	99	16.00
10	0.50	40	7.12	70 Lake Washington	7.23	100	UN
11	2.91	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	7.70	42	3.64	72 Federal Way	14.14	102	15.24
13	9.09	43 Anacortes	11.15	73 Highline	NR	103	9.99
14 Yakima	14.95	44 Burlington-Edison	34.49	74 Bellevue	8.46	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	7.01
16	11.14	46	15.86	76 Clover Park	7.25	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	14.93	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	2.04	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	20.19	79 Auburn	11.01	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	8.79	50 Everett	9.86	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	7.69	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	22.01
22	23.34	52 Bellingham	19.73	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	14.51
23	12.70	53 Lake Stevens	8.91	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	3.24
24	11.81	54 Marysville	9.37	84 Enumclaw	8.62	114	7.72
25	24.77	55 Monroe	6.47	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	4.43	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	1.02	116	4.18
27	16.49	57 Oak Harbor	9.00	87 Bainbridge Island	1.53	117	3.49
28	14.84	58 Sedro-Woolley	8.67	88 North Thurston	8.20	118	3.34
29 Pasco	9.93	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	7.86	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	13.54	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	4.07		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division



Arrests (Age 18+), Property Crime

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Arrests (Age 18+), Property Crime, Five Year Rates

The arrests of adults (age 18+) for property crimes, per 1,000 adults (age 18+). Property crimes include all crimes involving burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson.

Statewide 6.51

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	14.78	31	2.40	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	6.85	32	7.80	62	15.20	92 Centralia	8.57
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	3.26	34	4.65	64	2.59	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	9.54	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	10.54
7	UN	37 Eastmont	5.52	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	8.31	68 Seattle	5.67	98	6.70
9	6.63	39 Moses Lake	15.16	69 Tacoma	7.17	99	16.81
10	3.35	40	2.53	70 Lake Washington	3.87	100	UN
11	2.46	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	3.19	42	0.71	72 Federal Way	12.93	102	7.73
13	3.70	43 Anacortes	4.49	73 Highline	NR	103	3.08
14 Yakima	11.81	44 Burlington-Edison	24.31	74 Bellevue	4.76	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	6.85
16	7.25	46	14.72	76 Clover Park	4.18	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	5.94	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	2.39	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	10.00	79 Auburn	10.33	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	4.32	50 Everett	7.60	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	6.10	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	17.91
22	17.37	52 Bellingham	11.27	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	8.84
23	5.61	53 Lake Stevens	4.95	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	1.75
24	2.66	54 Marysville	10.10	84 Enumclaw	3.53	114	5.55
25	7.61	55 Monroe	3.95	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	1.70	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	0.86	116	3.86
27	7.29	57 Oak Harbor	4.36	87 Bainbridge Island	1.03	117	3.37
28	6.02	58 Sedro-Woolley	5.62	88 North Thurston	5.42	118	2.01
29 Pasco	5.48	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	5.95	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	5.98	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	4.92		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division



Arrests (Age 10-17), Violent Crime

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Arrests (Age 10-17), Violent Crime, Five Year Rates

The arrests of adolescents (age 10-17) for violent crime per 1,000 adolescents (age 10-17). Violent crimes include all crimes involving criminal homicide, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault. Simple assault is not defined as a violent crime.

Statewide 1.73

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	3.34	31	0.29	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	0.87	32	0.71	62	1.60	92 Centralia	2.59
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	0.34	34	1.04	64	1.84	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	1.64	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	1.22
7	UN	37 Eastmont	1.23	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	1.45	68 Seattle	4.07	98	1.80
9	0.00	39 Moses Lake	1.41	69 Tacoma	4.35	99	1.80
10	0.13	40	1.17	70 Lake Washington	0.85	100	UN
11	0.32	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	0.95	42	0.35	72 Federal Way	1.90	102	1.36
13	1.09	43 Anacortes	1.10	73 Highline	NR	103	1.33
14 Yakima	2.52	44 Burlington-Edison	1.22	74 Bellevue	1.18	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	2.91
16	1.66	46	1.24	76 Clover Park	3.00	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	0.85	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	0.86	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	1.58	79 Auburn	1.26	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	0.93	50 Everett	2.39	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	1.61	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	3.06
22	2.27	52 Bellingham	1.75	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	2.20
23	1.73	53 Lake Stevens	1.81	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	1.16
24	1.15	54 Marysville	1.69	84 Enumclaw	1.27	114	1.75
25	2.40	55 Monroe	0.97	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	0.68	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	0.48	116	0.44
27	1.37	57 Oak Harbor	0.99	87 Bainbridge Island	0.36	117	0.26
28	1.53	58 Sedro-Woolley	1.29	88 North Thurston	1.61	118	0.67
29 Pasco	1.85	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	0.96	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	1.25	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	1.36		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division



Arrests (Age 10-17), Alcohol Violation

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Arrests (Age 10-17), Alcohol Violation, Five Year Rates

The arrests of adolescents (age 10-17) for alcohol violations, per 1,000 adolescents (age 10-17). Alcohol violations include all crimes involving driving under the influence, liquor law violations, and drunkenness. For adolescents, arrests for liquor law violations are usually arrests for minor in possession.

Statewide 3.15

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	1.74	31	5.53	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	2.50	32	9.52	62	1.60	92 Centralia	5.36
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	4.77	34	3.37	64	2.38	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	4.65	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	4.83
7	UN	37 Eastmont	3.87	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	2.78	68 Seattle	0.73	98	6.49
9	0.73	39 Moses Lake	6.33	69 Tacoma	1.96	99	4.69
10	0.38	40	8.39	70 Lake Washington	5.66	100	UN
11	0.81	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	5.38	42	3.98	72 Federal Way	1.36	102	10.65
13	2.99	43 Anacortes	21.08	73 Highline	NR	103	7.10
14 Yakima	2.52	44 Burlington-Edison	7.66	74 Bellevue	1.37	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	3.73
16	4.56	46	4.89	76 Clover Park	1.36	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	8.69	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	1.65	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	3.33	79 Auburn	0.86	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	4.78	50 Everett	1.75	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	8.52	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	3.94
22	7.48	52 Bellingham	7.90	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	4.16
23	2.64	53 Lake Stevens	2.78	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	0.46
24	5.53	54 Marysville	2.83	84 Enumclaw	2.28	114	3.57
25	4.60	55 Monroe	2.75	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	3.18	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	1.70	116	2.65
27	4.72	57 Oak Harbor	2.69	87 Bainbridge Island	3.27	117	2.72
28	5.80	58 Sedro-Woolley	3.27	88 North Thurston	4.66	118	0.56
29 Pasco	2.38	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	1.23	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	7.84	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	1.93		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division



Arrests (Age 10-17), Drug Law Violation

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Arrests (Age 10-17), Drug Law Violation, Five Year Rates

The arrests of adolescents (age 10-17) for drug law violations, per 1,000 adolescents (age 10-17). Drug law violations include all crimes involving sale, manufacturing, and possession of drugs.

Statewide 3.84

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	5.16	31	1.16	61	NR	91 Yelm	UN
2 Central Valley	4.00	32	5.54	62	2.42	92 Centralia	9.02
3 Mead	UN	33	UN	63	UN	93	UN
4 Pullman	3.41	34	2.58	64	2.68	94	UN
5 East Valley (Spokane)	UN	35	UN	65	3.45	95	UN
6	UN	36	UN	66	UN	96	3.00
7	UN	37 Eastmont	6.69	67	UN	97	UN
8	UN	38 Wenatchee	5.82	68 Seattle	0.80	98	6.85
9	2.81	39 Moses Lake	6.30	69 Tacoma	3.56	99	4.87
10	0.38	40	6.15	70 Lake Washington	4.65	100	UN
11	1.62	41	UN	71 Kent	UN	101	UN
12	4.11	42	1.90	72 Federal Way	2.70	102	7.06
13	6.51	43 Anacortes	7.96	73 Highline	NR	103	8.21
14 Yakima	5.12	44 Burlington-Edison	6.17	74 Bellevue	3.48	104 South Kitsap	UN
15 West Valley (Yakima)	UN	45	UN	75 Northshore	UN	105 Bremerton	2.59
16	4.10	46	5.27	76 Clover Park	3.90	106 North Kitsap	UN
17 Ellensburg	4.91	47	UN	77 Bethel	UN	107	UN
18	2.57	48	UN	78 Issaquah	NR	108 Vancouver	UN
19	UN	49 Edmonds	4.28	79 Auburn	3.54	109 Evergreen (Clark)	UN
20	2.01	50 Everett	2.63	80 Shoreline	NR	110 Battle Ground	UN
21	UN	51 Ferndale	5.56	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	5.89
22	6.11	52 Bellingham	5.36	82 Tahoma	UN	112 Kelso	5.95
23	8.48	53 Lake Stevens	3.67	83 Snoqualmie Valley	NR	113	0.69
24	7.62	54 Marysville	5.60	84 Enumclaw	5.20	114	4.09
25	8.80	55 Monroe	4.96	85 White River	UN	115	UN
26	4.43	56 Mukilteo	UN	86 Mercer Island	2.18	116	1.78
27	5.36	57 Oak Harbor	3.21	87 Bainbridge Island	1.53	117	1.70
28	5.90	58 Sedro-Woolley	10.34	88 North Thurston	5.90	118	1.00
29 Pasco	7.49	59 Snohomish	UN	89 Olympia	1.81	**Updated:**	11/9/2015
30 Richland	10.68	60 Stanwood-Camano	UN	90 Tumwater	4.39		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC): Uniform Crime Report (UCR), National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division





Clients of State-Funded Alcohol or Drug Services (Age 10-17)

Five-Year Rates for Locales

DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division

Clients of State-Funded Alcohol or Drug Services (Age 10-17), Five Year Rates

The adolescents (age 10-17) receiving state-funded alcohol or drug services, per 1,000 adolescents 10-17. Counts of clients are unduplicated so that those receiving services more than once during the year are only counted once for that year. State-funded services include treatment, assessment, and detox. Persons in Department of Corrections treatment programs are not included.

Statewide 11.22

Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate	Locale	Rate
1 Spokane	16.60	31	4.93	61	7.01	91 Yelm	31.99
2 Central Valley	7.58	32	15.43	62	10.58	92 Centralia	15.60
3 Mead	5.48	33	7.38	63	6.99	93	9.89
4 Pullman	4.15	34	4.57	64	5.58	94	22.38
5 East Valley (Spokane)	10.74	35	6.21	65	5.16	95	13.51
6	UN	36	7.93	66	8.52	96	23.54
7	5.53	37 Eastmont	17.76	67	7.57	97	23.70
8	6.66	38 Wenatchee	15.56	68 Seattle	13.44	98	10.40
9	11.62	39 Moses Lake	7.25	69 Tacoma	14.55	99	41.70
10	11.10	40	10.28	70 Lake Washington	5.61	100	16.04
11	11.69	41	12.78	71 Kent	8.64	101	7.65
12	7.64	42	12.59	72 Federal Way	8.76	102	28.63
13	3.05	43 Anacortes	15.72	73 Highline	15.17	103	13.26
14 Yakima	22.44	44 Burlington-Edison	9.60	74 Bellevue	5.32	104 South Kitsap	9.31
15 West Valley (Yakima)	8.90	45	13.03	75 Northshore	6.47	105 Bremerton	17.83
16	19.07	46	18.60	76 Clover Park	11.21	106 North Kitsap	9.52
17 Ellensburg	9.03	47	11.45	77 Bethel	7.42	107	25.77
18	8.66	48	6.84	78 Issaquah	3.66	108 Vancouver	11.12
19	9.13	49 Edmonds	6.80	79 Auburn	17.90	109 Evergreen (Clark)	10.59
20	26.24	50 Everett	13.03	80 Shoreline	8.07	110 Battle Ground	5.48
21	12.51	51 Ferndale	21.88	81 Franklin Pierce	UN	111 Longview	17.37
22	22.59	52 Bellingham	21.94	82 Tahoma	3.91	112 Kelso	17.45
23	7.49	53 Lake Stevens	7.70	83 Snoqualmie Valley	6.03	113	24.87
24	14.23	54 Marysville	13.09	84 Enumclaw	8.33	114	7.24
25	9.32	55 Monroe	8.05	85 White River	9.12	115	4.29
26	7.36	56 Mukilteo	8.32	86 Mercer Island	1.68	116	6.39
27	13.40	57 Oak Harbor	10.04	87 Bainbridge Island	1.98	117	8.57
28	14.06	58 Sedro-Woolley	16.91	88 North Thurston	11.22	118	12.50
29 Pasco	17.59	59 Snohomish	6.30	89 Olympia	9.55	**Updated:**	11/6/2014
30 Richland	9.92	60 Stanwood-Camano	11.30	90 Tumwater	10.11		

District names are provided for locales representing a single school district. A complete listing of districts in each locale is available following the table of contents in this report. Error codes used here are defined in technical notes.

State Source: Department of Social and Health Services, Division of Behavioral Health and Recovery, Treatment and Assessment Report Generation Tool (TARGET). Population Estimates: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division

Technical Notes

TOPICS:

- Suppression Codes
- Counting Alcohol- or Drug-related Deaths
- Transitioning from Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) to National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)
- Crime Reporting - Non-Reporting Police Jurisdictions
- CORE Conversion Process and Weighted Reliability Index
- Standardization of CORE Indicators
- Graduation and Dropout Data Methodology Changes
- Where are the roadblocks to learning in our communities?

Suppression Codes for Yearly Trend Data

UN = Unreliable conversion of events to report geography, failure of **weighted reliability index** (WRI). The WRI evaluation process is further explained in the section labeled 'CORE Conversion Process and Weighted Reliability Index'.

SP = Suppressed by agreement with data provider when denominator is below agreed level and may compromise a person's rights to confidentiality.

SN = Small Number Sample. Geography has less than 30 events in the denominator. More reliable at 5-year level or for larger area.

NR = Not reliable due to non-reporting of police jurisdictions data; 50 percent or more of the population is not represented by the data due to non-reporting jurisdictions.

BD = Three of the five years data have been suppressed, making a five year rate unreliable.

Counting Alcohol- or Drug-related Deaths

AOD deaths are identified by matching all the contributory causes of death from death certificate records to a list of causes that are considered AOD-related. The deaths identified as AOD-related then may be summed to provide area totals. Dividing the total AOD-related deaths by all deaths in an area gives the percent of all deaths that are alcohol and drug related. Lists of underlying causes of death that are AOD-related have been developed in several studies. Citations for these studies are listed following the AOD attribution tables. AOD-related deaths used in this report are determined using a comprehensive assembly of disease, accident, and injury codes identified in those studies. The codes are based upon the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) from 1990 to 1998 or International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) after 1998.

The identified AOD-related causes of death may be either fully attributable or sometimes attributable to alcohol or drugs. Some contributory causes of death are explicit in their mention of alcohol or drugs. Examples include alcoholic cirrhosis of the liver (ICD-9 code 571.2), alcohol and drug dependence syndromes (ICD-9 codes 303 and 304, respectively), and drug poisonings (ICD-9 codes E850 through E859). All deaths of this sort are fully, or 100 percent, attributable to alcohol or drug abuse and are considered direct AOD-related deaths.

Other contributory causes of death are related only sometimes to alcohol or drugs. For example, epidemiological studies have shown that, among persons over 35 years of age, 60 percent of deaths due to chronic pancreatitis (ICD-9 code 577.1) and 75 percent of malignant neoplasms of the esophagus (ICD-9 code 150) are alcohol-related. For persons of all ages, 42 percent of motor vehicle traffic and non-traffic deaths (ICD-9 codes E810 through E825) are alcohol-related. The appropriate percentage of such indirectly attributable deaths is also counted toward totals for AOD-related deaths.

Counting Alcohol- or Drug-related Deaths

TABLE TOPICS:

- Diseases Directly Attributable to Alcohol
- Diseases Indirectly Attributable to Alcohol
- Diseases Directly Attributable to Drugs
- Diseases Indirectly Attributable to Drug

The tables on the following pages characterize the different diseases, injuries, and accidents by: name, ICD-9 or ICD-10 code, and percent attributable to alcohol or drugs, age of inclusion.

Diseases Directly Attributable to Alcohol

Disease Category	ICD-10 Code	ICD-9 Code	Attrib	Age
Alcoholic psychoses	F10, F10.3-F10.9	291	100%	>=15
Alcohol dependence syndrome	F10.2	303	100%	>=15
Alcoholic polyneuropathy	G62.1	357.5	100%	>=15
Alcoholic cardiomyopathy	I42.6	425.5	100%	>=15
Alcoholic gastritis	K29.2	535.3	100%	>=15
Alcoholic fatty liver	K70.0	571.0	100%	>=15
Acute alcoholic hepatitis	K70.1, K70.4	571.1	100%	>=15
Alcoholic cirrhosis of the liver	K70.3	571.2	100%	>=15
Alcoholic liver damage, other	K70.2, K70.9, K70	571.3	100%	>=15
Excessive blood level of alcohol, toxic effect of alcohol	R78.0, T51	790.3. 980	100%	>=0
Accidental poisoning by alcohol	X45, Y15	E860	100%	>=0
Nondependent abuse of Alcohol	F10.1	305.0	100%	>=0
Alcohol-induced pseudo-Cushing's syndrome	E24.4	Not Available in ICD-9	100%	>=15
Degeneration of nervous system due to alcohol	G31.2	Not Available in ICD-9	100%	>=15
Alcoholic myopathy	G72.1	Not Available in ICD-9	100%	>=15
Maternal care for (suspected) damage to fetus from alcohol	O35.4	Not Available in ICD-9	100%	>=15
Newborn affected by maternal use of alcohol	P04.3	Not Available in ICD-9	100%	>=0
Fetal alcohol syndrome (dysmorphic)	Q86.0	Not Available in ICD-9	100%	>=0
Suicide attributable to alcohol	X65	Not Available in ICD-9	100%	>=0
Alcoholic Pellagra	E52	265.2	100%	>=0

Diseases Indirectly Attributable to Alcohol

Disease Category	ICD-10 Code	ICD-9 Code	Attrib	Age
NEOPLASMS				
Breast	C50, D05	174.0-174.9, 233.0	13% F	>=35
Esophagus	C15, D00.1	150.1-150.9, 230.1	75%	>=35
Larynx	C32 , D02.0	161.0-.161.9, 231.0	50% M, 40% F	>=35
Lip, oral cavity, pharynx	C00-C14, D00.0	140.1-141.9, 143.0-149.9, 230.0	50% M, 40% F	>=35
Liver	C22, D01.5	155.0-155.2, 230.8	29%	>=35
CARDIOVASCULAR				
Cardiomyopathy	I42.0 - I42.2, I42.5, I42.7- I42.9	425.1, 425.4, 425.9	40%M	>=35
Hypertension	I10-113, O10-O14, O16	401.0-404.9, 642.0, 642.2, 642.9	11%	>=35
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
Cirrhosis	K71.7, K74.5-K74.6	571.5	74%	>=35
Duodenal Ulcers	K26	532.0-532.9	10%	>=35
Pancreatitis, acute	K85	577.0	47%	>=35
Pancreatitis, chronic	K86.1- K86.3, K86.9	577.1, 577.2, 577.9	72%	>=35
OTHER DISEASES OR CONDITIONS				
Epilepsy	G40.3,G40.4,G40.6,G40.9	345.1, 345.3, 345.9	30%	>=15
Seizures	R56	780.3	41%	>=15
Tuberculosis	A16-A19	011-013, 017, 018	25%	>=15
Accident or Injury Causes: Motor vehicle traffic and non-traffic accidents	V02–V04, V09.0, V09.2, V12–V14, V19.0–V19.2, V19.4–V19.6, V20–V79, V80.3– V80.5, V81.0–V81.1, V82.0–V82.1, V83–V86, V87.0–V87.8, V88.0–V88.8, V89.0, V89.2	E810-E825	42%	>=0
Pedal cycle and other road vehicle accidents	V01, V05–V06, V09.1, V09.3–V09.9, V10–V11, V15–V18, V19.3, V19.8–V19.9, V80.0–V80.2, V80.6–V80.9, V82.2–V82.9, V87.9, V88.9, V89.1, V89.3, V89.9	E826-E829	20%	>=0
Water transport accidents	V90-V94	E830-E838	20%	>=0
Air and space transport accidents	V95-V97	E840-E845	16%	>=0
Accidental falls	W00-W19	E880-E888	35%	>=15
Accidents caused by fire	X00-X09	E890-E899	45%	>=0
Accidental drowning and submersion	W65-W74	E910	38%	>=0
SUICIDES DUE TO ALCOHOL OR DRUGS are now considered direct AOD-related deaths, other suicides are not apportioned. This brings our definitions into compliance with NCHS definitions.				
Homicide and other purposely inflicted injury	X86–Y09, Y87.1	E960-E962, E962.1-E969	46%	>=15
Other	X31, W79, W50-W52, W20- W34, Y15-Y19	E901, E911, E917-E920, E922	25%	>=15

Other category includes: Excessive cold, Choking on food in airway; Striking against or struck accidentally by objects or persons; Caught accidentally in or between objects; Accidents caused by machinery; Accidents caused by cutting and piercing instruments.

Diseases Directly Attributable to Drugs

Disease Category	ICD-10 Code	ICD-9 Code	Attrib	Age
Drug psychoses	F11-F16, F18-F19	292	100%	>=0
Drug dependence syndrome	F11-F16, F18-F19	304	100%	>=0
Polyneuropathy due to drugs	G62.0	357.6	100%	>=15
Drug dependence during pregnancy	F11-F16, F18-F19	648.3	100%	>=0
Suspected damage to fetus from drugs	O35.5,	655.5	100%	>=0
Noxious influences affecting fetus	P04.4	760.7	100%	>=0
Drug reactions, intox., withdrawal specific to newborn	P96.1	779.4, 779.5	100%	>=0
Selected drug poisonings	R78,R78.1-R78.6, T38 ; excludes Y40-59.9 (therapeutic use)	962, 965, 967-971, 977 excludes E930-949	100%	>=0
Selected accidental drug poisonings	X40-X44	E850-E858	100%	>=0
Accidental Poisonings (magic mushrooms, huffing and other drug use)	X46-X49	E861-E869	100%	>=0
Nondependent abuse of drugs	F11-F16, F18-F19	305.2-305.9	100%	>=0
Assault by poisoning using drugs and medicaments	x85	E962.0	100%	>=0
Drug induced myopathy	G72.0	Not Available in ICD-9	100%	
Poisoning by drugs, accidentally or purposely inflicted	Y10-Y14	E980.0-E980.5	100%	>=0
Suicides attributable to drugs	x60-64	E950.0-E950.5	100%	>=0

Diseases Indirectly Attributable to Drugs

Disease Category	ICD-10 Code	ICD-9 Code	Attrib	Age
AIDS (from IV drug use exposure)	B20-B24	042.0-044.9	5%	>=15
CARDIOVASCULAR				
Endocarditis	I33.0, I33.9	421.0, 421.9	75%	>=15
OTHER				
Hepatitis A	B15.9	70.1	12%	>=15
Hepatitis B	B16-B16.9	70.2, 70.3	36%	>=15
Hepatitis C	B17-B19.9	70.5, 70.9	10%	>=15

Table Information Sources:

1. Schultz J, Rice D, and Parker D. 1990. Alcohol-related mortality and years of potential life lost - United States, 1987. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 39, 173-178.
2. Rice D, et al. 1990. The Economic Costs of Alcohol and Drug Abuse and Mental Illness: 1985. Report submitted to the Office of Financing and Coverage Policy of the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and mental health Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. San Francisco, CA: Institute for Health and Aging, University of California.
3. Fox K, Merrill J, Chang H, and Califano J. 1995. Estimating the Costs of Substance Abuse to the Medicaid Hospital Care Program. American Journal of Public Health, 85(1), 48-54.
4. Seattle-King County HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Unit and Washington State Office of HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Evaluation. 1994. Washington State/Seattle-King County HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Report (2nd Quarter, 1994), p. 4.

Transitioning from Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) to National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)

Over 80 years ago, standards were established for the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program so agencies could report their crime and arrest information in the same format and at the same level of detail and accuracy. Under the traditional UCR system agencies report monthly of the eight (8) "Part One" offenses and values of property stolen, as well as counts of arrests. The FBI Crime Index reports only designated Part One Crimes. These are criminal homicide, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny, motor vehicle theft and arson. This is now referred to as Summary UCR. Most law enforcement agencies report arrest and offense data to the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC), which in turn provides data to the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting Program (UCR).

In 1989, the FBI instituted a new crime-reporting system called the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS) to provide a more detailed and comprehensive view of crime in the United States. While Summary UCR collects only counts on eight (8) offense types, NIBRS collects information on twenty-three (23) different offenses. Some of the additional offenses in NIBRS are forcible and non-forcible sex offenses, fraud, kidnapping, and drug violations. Washington State has transitioned to the NIBRS system for reporting. This was a costly staged process which was particularly difficult for smaller communities. Washington State became certified to begin submitting NIBRS data to the FBI in December 2006. Summary reporting was phased out and all reporting agencies began submitting NIBRS data by January 1, 2012. The rates for Part One offenses we previously reported should show no impact of the system change. However, the rates for *total arrests* by age group include all arrests for offenses reported which now cover the twenty-three offense categories rather than the previous eight categories. Care must be taken when interpreting the yearly trend of "total arrest" rates for an area. In areas where large amounts of arrests are likely for crimes not previously reported, a substantial increase in total arrests could to be expected starting with the 2012 data.

Crime Reporting – Non-Reporting Police Jurisdictions

Reporting to WASPC is voluntary for arrests and offenses. Some jurisdictions do not report all arrests and offenses, some report partial years, and some withhold certain categories of arrests or offenses. Offenses are more likely to be reported since some funding is associated with reporting. All offenses are incidence reporting. When more than one victim is involved an offense is filed for each victim. Multiple property violations performed at the same incident are counted as one offense. However, when both types of events happen, only the victim incidents are reported as offenses. Offenses focus on the nature of the crime, while arrests focus on the apprehended accused perpetrator. Many offenses occur without arresting perpetrators. Sometimes charges are dropped and sometimes no perpetrator is ever found. The age of the perpetrator cannot be assigned to offense data so the entire age range of population is used as the denominator. Each area report shows how and when that area's police jurisdictions reported data to WASPC. If a report area contains jurisdictions having a significant amount of incomplete data, be very careful to adjust any risk assessment to reflect this. In other words, the reported arrest rates may not adequately reflect the entire area. This will be true especially in those cases where the non-reporting police jurisdictions have either very high or very low arrest rates, compared to the reporting area.

To compensate for missing police reports, we have adjusted the denominator in the rate calculation so that it reflects only the proportion of the area for which we do have data. For instance, say area A, with a population of 40,000, has eight police districts. If one of the police districts in the area did not report their arrests, the number of arrests would not be representative of the whole area. Therefore, we would not want to use the population of the whole area in the denominator because that would make the rate lower than it should be. The solution used in this report is to subtract the population of that missing police district from the area population. We follow the same procedure for police districts that report partial years: if they report only six months, we use only half of the population to calculate the rate. Due to the uneven geographic distribution of crime, missing police data can cause spikes or dips in the trend data comparison of multiple consecutive years. We do not run into this problem in the state report because the county rates there (as opposed to the individual county reports) only report 5-year averages. However for individual county reports and reports for smaller areas like districts the trend data can become unstable due to non-reporting. Additionally, the conversion of data from certain police jurisdictions to other areas like districts may not apportion directly causing too much of the data to be apportioned based on population rather than clearly assigned to one area. We use a weighted reliability index (WRI) to determine when the conversion is no longer reliable.

CORE Conversion Process and Weighted Reliability Index (WRI)

CORE obtains data from more than fifty government agency sources. The data are represented as events (e.g. # of teen births, # of crimes, # of clients) occurring within a given geographic unit. This geographic unit is generally the smallest that can be obtained from the agency source. For example, data may be available by school district, by zip code, by census tract or by police jurisdictions. CORE calls these geographic units the “source geography.” CORE data is usually reported at the geographic level of county or community – called in the rest of this report the "destination geography." Therefore, data usually needs to be converted from the “source geographies” to the “destination geography.”

The conversion is based on an overlay process, in which the events occurring in small source geographies that are totally contained within the destination are combined with synthetic estimates of events occurring in source geographies that are partly within and partly outside the destination geography. The synthetic estimation is weighted by the population distribution between the source and destination areas. Therefore, it requires a small-scale count of the population underlying both source and destination geographies. This process is explained below through examples.

Example 1 | **Geography Output Type 1**



Example 1: Data being converted from a smaller geography (source geography) like school district to a larger geography (like a county) is usually fairly reliable because most of the smaller pieces fit neatly and wholly into the new geography.

The rectangles represent two possible data source geographies (one densely populated school district – urban school district – and one thinly populated school district – suburban school district – surrounding it). The large oval represents a report's destination geography such as county or locale.

The following statements refer to the first example:
All of the events occurring in the urban school district can be attributed entirely to the destination geography.

The events occurring in the split source geography (suburban school district, in this example) are distributed to the destination geography in the same proportion as the underlying population is distributed. If 40 percent of the suburban school district population lies within the destination geography, then 40 percent of its events are attributed to the destination geography.

These events are split by age, race and gender subgroups whenever possible, as are the populations. So the synthetic estimation is broken down that way also. If 40 percent of the young White population of the suburban school district lives in the destination geography, then 40 percent of the events occurring to young White people are attributed there. If, on the other hand, only 10 percent of the young American Indian population of the suburban school district lives in the destination geography, then only 10 percent of the events occurring to young American Indian people are attributed there.

Example 2 | **Geography Output Type 2**



Example 2: While we can develop an algorithm to distribute all source geography populations to all destination geography populations that distribution will not always be reliable.
For example, see the situation depicted in Example 2. Here we are trying to estimate the number of events contained in two very small destination geographies (the circles). This is very much the case with county sheriff jurisdictions. City jurisdictions are usually fairly consistent with school districts, but the county sheriff covers all areas that are not cities. In this case all the areas not in the circles.

There is no accurate way to split the county sheriff data to suburban areas of different cities. Could this synthetic estimate be reliable? Perhaps, if the small area within the circles really is representative of the whole area – but more likely not. A statistic is needed to assist researchers in determining when a destination geography's events cannot be reliably estimated using these processes. For CORE, that statistic is the Weighted Reliability Index (WRI).

The amount of overlap between source and destination populations can vary from less than 1 percent to 99 percent – only a little of a source population can live in a destination, or almost all of the source population can live in a destination.

The key underlying assumption behind the CORE Weighted Reliability Index is as follows: When most of the population for the source geography is also in the destination geography, we can be more certain of the reliability of the estimation process.

Therefore, the weighting process lets us calculate, for each source-geography/destination-geography combination, the reliability of each destination geography's estimate.

Example 3 | **Calculation of WRI**



The oval represents the destination geography boundary – the edge of a destination city. The rectangles represent the source geography boundaries for two zipcodes.

The numbers are counts of people living in each place: 900 people live both in Destination City and in the first source (Zipcode 1), and 10 people live both in Destination City and in the second source (Zipcode 2).

For zipcode 1 the source area population is mostly in the destination oval (encased in the dashed line), but the majority population from the other contributing source area is not.

The formula for Weighted Reliability Index for a single destination is the total weighted destination population as a percent of total population.

To understand this formula, see the calculations below.

	Percent of source population attributed to destination	**Multiplied by the population attributed to the destination**	**Destination population attributed directly**
Zipcode 1	900/1000 = 90%	* 900	810.00
Zipcode 2	10/80 = 12.5%	* 10	1.25
	Total for Destination	**910**	**811.25**

In the above example, the Weighted Reliability Index for Destination City is **811.25 / 910 = 89 percent**. Basically, 89 percent of the event locations were directly attributed to the area they occurred. Along with the WRI a cut point for reliable reporting is needed. When half or more of the events have been imputed to the destination geography, rather than directly attributed from the source geography, the data is considered unreliable and rates are suppressed. This means the WRI value must be more than 50 to be reported.

Example 4 |

Adjusting for Non-Reporting



Example 4: WRI for Areas with Non-Reporting of Data.

There is a second way that data may become unreliable. Some police jurisdictions do not report data to the state sources, use a reporting method which cannot be included in our files, fail to report for either adults or juveniles, or report for only part of a year. This is particularly true for court data – arrests or offenses. In order to accurately evaluate the reliability of data conversions for destination geographies containing those jurisdictions, non-reporting jurisdiction populations were excluded from the calculations for WRI and the non-reporting jurisdiction issue is evaluated separately. Partial Reporting, part of a year or part of a population, is also taken into consideration when computing the percentage of non-reporting in a destination geography. Adult and juvenile rates are evaluated separately. Some areas may pass for one, but not for the other due to their reporting habits. For partial year reporting the percentage of the year with data reported is used to evaluate each category.

The second test of reliability is to determine whether the population for the rate is adequately represented. In this example, allow the numbers inside the oval to represent a population of 100 allocated to the destination geography. Two source jurisdictions are entirely located in the destination geography represented by the oval. Their events when reported would be directly attributed. The non-reporting jurisdiction would have its population of 50 excluded from the calculation for WRI, while the reporting jurisdiction would have its population included in the calculation. In this case the completely contained reporting jurisdiction would represent 30 of the remaining 50 population (60 percent) in the destination oval. The imputed portion is 40 percent allowing the destination geography to pass the first test for WRI.

CORE also requires that the excluded non-reporting jurisdiction population (50 of 100) is less than 50 percent of the total population for the destination geography. With an exclusion rate of 50 percent, this destination geography would fail the reliability criteria.

The reliability of arrest rates is calculated each year based on non-reporting. For five year rates, three out of five data years must be considered reliable by both tests and the average of the yearly WRI for all five years must reach the WRI cut point value.

Standardization of CORE Indicators

An individual indicator by itself is interesting because you can compare your county (school district, locale) to all other counties (school districts, locales), and to the state. You can also look at how the indicator changes over time. But it is more difficult to compare several indicators to each other, for example, if you want to see which indicator of risk is extremely high and which is just average. For instance, you cannot directly compare the number (or rate) of alcohol retail licenses to the number (or rate) of Food Stamp recipients---this would be like comparing apples and oranges and would not be meaningful.

The preferred way to compare different indicators is to find out how much each individual indicator varies from some common point; in CORE reports the point we use is the indicator's value for the state. In more technical terms, we transform the original absolute rates to a common scale: the relative deviation from the state rate. This is called a **standardized score**, and is based on the mathematical calculation of the standard deviation. For a particular indicator, the county (school district, locale) with the highest absolute rate will have the highest standardized score. A standardized score of 1.2, for instance, means that the county's rate is 1.2 standard deviations above the state rate, and a –1.2 would be 1.2 standard measures below the state rate. Approximately 95% of all counties (school districts, locales) in the state will fall between +2 and –2 standard deviations from the state rate.

Here is an example. Let's say an indicator for extreme family economic deprivation (Food Stamp recipients per 100 people) has a standardized score of 2.5 and an indicator for availability of drugs (alcohol retail licenses per 1,000 people) has a score of 1.2. We can say that, other things being equal, the county (school district, locale) in question has a higher risk for extreme family economic deprivation than for availability of drugs.

CORE indicators are standardized using a formula similar to the calculation of a z-score. A typical z-score for an observation (a county, a locale, a school district) is calculated as a difference between an observation and the mean (average) of all observations, divided by the standard deviation for all observations. A CORE standardized score for a county (school district, locale) is instead calculated using the state rate in place of the mean for all counties (school districts, locales). A standardized CORE indicator avoids the problem of using an un-weighted mean of all counties (school districts, locales) that would give counties of very different size equal weight, and therefore provides a more meaningful comparison.

CORE standardized indicators for counties are calculated using the following formula. The same formula is used for locales and for districts, by substituting locale or district rates for county rates in the formula.

$$stdiz_score = \frac{county_{rate} - state_{rate}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(county_{rate,i} - state_{rate})^2}{N}}}$$

Graduation and Dropout Data Methodology Changes

Beginning with the 2011-2012 school year major changes were made in how to measure dropouts and graduation for students in Washington State. "Graduation Rate Calculations in Washington State", a March 2012 publication by the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, does an excellent job of explaining these changes. The following chart is an extract from that document (page 4).
How do the methods differ?

Estimated Cohort (old method) Prior to 2011-2012 school year	Adjusted Cohort (new method) 2011-2012 and beyond
Is a composite cohort. Uses dropout rates for all grades within one school year to determine an estimate of the number of students graduating.	Is an actual cohort; individuals are tracked over 4 years with adjustments made for transfers in/out.
Allows for alternate expected graduation year for students in special education or ELL programs.	Imposes concept of four-year timespan. There are no adjustments for Special Ed or Limited English students who are expected to take longer.
May adjust for deficient credits.	All students are expected to graduate four years after first entering 9th grade. Transfers from out of state or other districts who are credit deficient may not be reclassified into a lower grade.

Where are the roadblocks to learning in our communities?

Academic Achievement:

The CORE measures academic achievement using three groups of indicators:

1. Student assessment on statewide tests (risk factor);
2. Students who graduate from high school (protective factor);
3. Students who drop out of high school, failing to complete their education (risk factor).

Student Assessment

Indicators for *Poor Academic Performance* are available for grades 4, 7 and 10. The indicators are calculated as a percentage of students tested in each grade assessment. Earlier years of information are from the Washington Assessment of Student Learning (WASL). In 2009-10 the WASL was replaced by the Measurements of Student Progress (MSP) for grades 3 through 8 and the High School Proficiency Exam (HSPE) for grade 10. Some districts have chosen to test students in both grades 9 and 10 for the 10th grade assessment, giving freshmen a second chance to pass the test. Passing the HSPE is essential for high-school graduation. Ninth graders who were tested are included with the tenth graders in the calculation of the Academic Achievement indicator for grade 10.

Graduating from High School

According to the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), protective factors are characteristics that decrease an individual's risk for a substance abuse disorder. Among the protective factors listed are: aspirations or expectations to go to college, high commitment to schooling, education is valued and encouraged, and academic competence. Children who graduate share many of these protections, therefore, CORE has chosen to categorize On-time and Extended Graduation as protective factors. Two types of high school graduation rates are listed in the CORE reports, *On-time Graduation* and *Extended Graduation*.

To graduate on-time, a student must graduate within four years by completion of the graduation requirements. The **Estimated Cohort (old method)** On-Time Graduation rate formula uses dropout rates discussed below; the formula is: 100*(1-grade 9 dropout rate)*(1-grade 10 dropout rate)*(1-grade 11 dropout rate)*(1-grade 12 dropout rate-grade 12 continuing rate). The on-time graduation rate is the inverse of the cumulative dropout rate with the senior class adjusted to remove those students who stay in school for more than four years from the calculation. The **Adjusted Cohort (new method) rate** divides the number of students graduating in their fourth year by the adjusted freshman cohort for those students.

Extended Graduation requires more resources and dedication from district staff. It includes those students who stay in school after their senior year and complete the graduation requirements. Districts which have high extended graduation rates may also have higher dropout rates since the students attempting extended graduation are also at highest risk of again dropping out. A large difference in the size of the on-time and extended graduation rates may indicate that a district or school is working hard to keep students in school or to have dropouts return to school and attempt to graduate. The **Estimated Cohort (old method)** Extended Graduation rate formula is: (the number of on-time and late graduates)/(the number of on-time graduates divided by the on-time graduation rate). The **Adjusted Cohort (new method) rate** is the number of students graduating within five years divided by the adjusted cohort for the freshman class of the graduates.

Dropping Out of High School

Two types of high school dropout rates are listed in the CORE reports, *Annual (Event) Dropouts* and *High School Cohort (Cumulative) Dropouts*. The *Annual Dropout rate* measures the proportion of students enrolled in grades *9-12 who drop out in a single year* without completing high school as a percentage of all students in grades 9 through 12 that year. When districts try new policies or projects to keep students in school the impact of those actions will be more immediately visible in this rate. This rate is much more difficult to compute with the new cohort designations for students as it draws information from four separate cohorts. This indicator will have a break in data production during the transition to the new method. At least one year of data will probably never be produced.

The *High School Cohort Dropout rate* (may also be referred to as the longitudinal, cumulative, or freshmen cohort dropout rate) measures what happens to a single group (or cohort) of students over a period of time. This rate is most useful for seeing the long-term impact on the community. The **Estimated Cohort (old method)** Cohort (Cumulative) Dropout rate formula is: 100-(100*(1-grade 9 dropout rate)*(1-grade 10 dropout rate)*(1-grade 11 dropout rate)*(1-grade 12 dropout rate)). The cohort rate is significantly higher than the annual rate for the same area as it measures the cumulative effect of the multiyear loss of students from their freshmen cohort. The **Adjusted Cohort (new method) rate** is the number of students dropping out prior to graduation divided by the adjusted cohort for the freshman class of the graduates.

School Climate:

Indicators listed under School Climate give an idea of how safe students may feel in their school or how committed they and their fellow students are to learning. These indicators are *Weapons Incidents in School* (rate per 1,000 students) and *Unexcused Absences for Students in Grades 1 to 8* (as a percentage of total student days possible in the school year, which equals the number of students times teaching days). When weapons incidents are common or it is acceptable for young students to frequently miss school without explanation the school climate is not conducive to learning.

Extreme Family Economic Deprivation:

Hungry students find it difficult to focus their attention long enough to learn. Those with inadequate housing or clothing may find it difficult to interact with their peers. There are three indicators which evaluate levels of poverty.

Child Recipients of TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) gives the rate of children from birth to 17 who receive income assistance. The child must be a citizen or legal alien and their caregiver must not have exceeded the 60 month maximum. There is a requirement for the adults to seek work and an income evaluation. Teen parents must attend school.

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Recipients, formerly called Food Stamps shows a more generalized level of need. While the persons must be citizens or legal aliens who seek work and meet the income guidelines there is no cutoff time limit for benefits.

Students Eligible for Free or Reduced Price Lunch gives a much broader look at poverty in your area. Children of people who are “working poor”, who have exceeded 60 months in benefits, are not legal aliens, or are not seeking work can still receive meals and free milk. The free guidelines are at or below 130 percent of the Federal poverty guidelines and the reduced price guidelines are between 130 and at or below 185 percent of the Federal poverty guidelines. However, there are other ways to qualify.

Many persons earning a gross income up to 200% of the Federal Poverty Level apply for income assistance because their children are automatically eligible for free school lunch if they meet the adjusted income guidelines. These are sometimes called $0 grants. Households receiving assistance under SNAP, TANF for their children, Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR) or, with children who are homeless, fostered, runaway, migrant, or in Head Start Programs are eligible for free benefits. If any child or household member receives benefits under Assistance Programs all children who are members of the household are eligible for free school meals.