

Facility Name:	
Name of person submitting:	
Topic/Subject of project:	

Continuous Quality Improvement Project, Repo	orting Guidelines	
 In order to meet the requirement for the CQI project in the SDCP contract, the properties of the project of the specific or the residual of the project of th	dent or to improving satisfaction with care (kample, problem-solving an individual client all residents over the course of a month or tw	issue (e.g., a behavior incident, a
ELEMENTS ALTSA staff will review the summary for the elements specified below , using	GUIDANCE AND INFORMATION You will find more information within:	SCORING CRITERIA Possible points for sub-elements
the scoring criteria in the right hand column. To submit, you may either: 1. Use the actual form (template provided) – which ensures nothing is	https://www.dshs.wa.gov/altsa/home-	are noted in parentheses.
missed; or,	and-community-services/continuous-	Total potential points for the
2. Submit in a narrative format – make sure you use, as section headers the specific elements (in caps) below.	<u>quality-improvement-specialized-</u> <u>dementia-care-program</u>	element are in caps at the bottom of each section.
	 WSQA Guide to PDCA for Specialized Dementia Care WSQA/CQI Process Improvement Recorded Webinars & PowerPoint presentations 1-5 	TOTAL POTENTIAL POINTS: 34
<u>"PLAN"</u> PROBLEM STATEMENT, which must include the following:	For more on developing a problem	Summary of problem description and statement,
	statement, refer to:	includes:
1. Problem definition and description: Clear, focused, where possible should include quantifiable numbers. What problem are you trying to solve? WHY did you choose this topic at this point in time?	WSQA Guide to PDCA for Specialized Dementia Care, Pg. 18 *Examples of measurable objective:	Clear description with quantifiable numbers or measure and "why" (3) Objective (measurable) (1)
2. Objective: What you intend to do about the problem; a realistic statement on what you are trying to achieve in measurable terms*.	Decrease number of falls/month by 2-3 falls each month; decrease significant weight loss among non-terminal rts to	3. Benefits (1) POTENTIAL POINTS: 5
This should not include (assume) any part of the solution to the		I O I LIVITAL I OIIVIO. J

3.	problem in the statement. Measures should be <u>client-centered</u> whenever possible. Benefits: What will be the benefit to clients if the objective is met, if the project is successful? Should be a clear statement of expected outcome/benefits for residents.	2% monthly; increase family satisfaction related to meals by 10%; reduce UTI occurrences by 5%, etc.	
The tea	am members & team leader for this project are identified Team members must include administrator, a licensed RN and three other staff Team leader is identified Topic-appropriate staff included for this specific project* A project focused on medications would include an RN; one focused on activities would include activity staff; a project focused on nutrition would include a dietician or nutrition staff.	Team members for QA are outlined in WAC 388-78A-2460 For more on who to include, please go to: WSQA Guide to PDCA for Specialized Dementia Care, Pg. 7 A CQI "project team" is not necessarily the same as an ongoing quality committee, it would include those required but may add other members depending on the project.	The CQI project team described Includes: 1. Required team members per WAC 388-78A-2460 (1) 2. Identified team leader (1) 3. Topic-appropriate staff (1) POTENTIAL POINTS: 3
1.	Identify the CQI process you used to help identify potential, contributing causes to the problem (e.g., how did you engage/involve staff, how many meetings, what CQI tools you used, etc.) Specify the potential causes your team came up with as possibly leading to or contributing to the problem	WSQA Guide to PDCA for Specialized Dementia Care, Pg. 33 – 41 CQI tools are helpful both in identifying contributing causes to the problem and in narrowing down or focusing in on a root cause (next section).	A range of contributing or potential causes were considered and identified, evidenced by: 1. Process/CQI tools (1) 2. Contributing/potential causes specified (1) POTENTIAL POINTS: 2
1.	Or FOCAL CAUSE of Problem Describe the CQI process you used to narrow down the list of potential causes - to narrow down to (or focus in on) a root/focal cause/s. Provide the number of meetings, CQI tools, etc. Identify the root cause or factor itself on which you decided to focus (for this project period) and explain why you chose this	WSQA Guide to PDCA for Specialized Dementia Care, Pg. 22 - 29	A process for narrowing down, a root/focal cause, and its relationship to the problem statement are clearly seen: 1. Process/CQI tool (1) 2. Root cause identified (1) 3. Relationship explained (2)

SDCP CQI Project Reporting Guidelines: Page 2 of 5

			POTENTIAL POINTS: 4
3.	Explain the relationship between the root/focal cause and the problem		
cqi to	Identify the specific <u>CQI tools</u> used during the course of the project This might include, but is not limited to, CQI tools commonly seen in CQI literature, such as: Brainstorming	Different CQI tools may be used at various stages in a project. In this section, please indicate (even if mentioned before) which CQI tools were used at any stage of your project. CQI tools & their use are described in: WSQA Guide to PDCA for Specialized Dementia Care, Pg. 14 – 33	Documented the use of CQI tools. Brainstorming may be used; however points are given for CQI tools beyond brainstorming. If no CQI tools, or only brainstorming identified (0) One additional CQI tool (1) Two+ add'I CQI tools (2)
proble 1. 2.	VENTION – the new or refined actions/steps intended to address m, must include: What you decided to DO to address the root or focal cause(s) of the problem you identified? i.e., specific actions, steps, tasks, etc. Explain how the intervention or actions are relevant to the problem and the root cause. Describe briefly how actions and steps are different from your pre-	This section tells the story of why you chose the specific intervention and describes what you did. It should be clear to the reader if/how this was different from what you were already doing. Your actions should be based, as much as possible, on best practices - proven/known to be effective.	The response includes: 1. Actions/steps (2) 2. Explanation of their relevance to problem & cause (1) 3. Difference from current practice described (1) 4. Guidance/resources (1)
4.	<u>project practices</u> . Which steps or processes were new to your facility during this project?	Materials/references used to guide the actions are to be identified (e.g., Guidelines of Quality Residential Care, corporate activity program, etc.)	POTENTIAL POINTS: 5

"CHECK OR STUDY" This is not the "data collection tool" Measurable relevant data, with itself (that's the next section) - but is a logical timeframe specified for **DATA MEASURES** – used to track or measure progress/change over time the quantifiable measure you will use a before and after look at how 1. Identify the **primary measure** used to track any impact of the project to determine a difference from before the measure changed is scored (e.g., # falls/month, % of families with high satisfaction w/ activities, # to after. as follows: discharges due to behaviors, # resident-to-resident incidents per • A primary "before measure" month, % staff remaining > one year, etc.). There should be measure WSQA Guide to PDCA for Specialized identified (1) collected/determined "before" (i.e., before the changes/intervention Dementia Care, Pg. 22 - 29 • A primary "after measure" put in place during this project) and a measure calculated "after" identified (1) these are the same measures at two (or more) periods of time. This **Examples:** measure the following A timeframe identified (1) primary measure should relate directly to the objective you called out BEFORE the start of project, and AFTER in your problem statement (see Examples in column to the right \rightarrow). (at end) of project # falls/month 2. Identify **timeline** for the project. **A complete timeline includes** • # rt to rt interactions answers to: # UTIs/month • When was the primary "before measure" Score on oral health screening collected/calculated? tool When did the defined "intervention" start? **POTENTIAL POINTS: 3** When was the primary "after measure" calculated? 3. Identify any additional measurements or information you plan to use to help you track/measure progress toward the goal of this project. **DATA COLLECTION PLAN - PROCESS & TOOLS/FORMS** The method or data collection You may use existing tools such as Incident Reports, Family Satisfaction tool(s) that capture above 1. Forms or tracking instruments used to gather data/information, which Surveys, Post-Fall Surveys, Pain measures: might include: Assessments, Discharge Summary, etc. 1. Appropriate data tools Tick/Check sheets (attach): ______ or Checklists/Tick Sheets developed identified (1) A survey (attach): _____A regular report (explain and/or attach): _____ for a specific project 2. Tools described/attached (1) 3. Staff responsible (1) A project-specific report (attach): _____ WSQA Guide to PDCA for Specialized Other (specify and/or attach): _____ Dementia Care, Pg. 21-33

2. Identify staff members responsible for data gathering and compiling.

POTENTIAL POINTS: 3

is fine. These will not be shared without permission but are useful for understanding a project. RESULTS/OUTCOME of the Project: State/summarize the result in terms of the primary BEFORE and primary intervention summarized, including:
RESULTS/OUTCOME of the Project: State/summarize the result in terms of the primary BEFORE and primary Results and outcomes of intervention summarized,
the primary BEFORE and primary intervention summarized,
"after" figures; you may include any additional measures that help tell the story. 2. Explain lessons you learned from the project related to positive and/or negative outcomes 3. Include any unexpected outcomes or un-measurable impacts e.g., for residents, staff, family members, etc. 4. Explain lessons you learned from the project related to the action steps and/or processes used in this project (i.e., if doing this again, we would) Also, state what you learned and put it into context for the reviewer. Also, state what you learned and put it into context for the reviewer. Projects are not scored on the basis of whether you achieve your goal but on the integrity of the process and thoughts/analysis given to lessons learned related to outcomes (1) 3. Unexpected or un-measurable outcomes (1) 4. Lessons learned related to action steps or processes with the team possible reasons and briefly summarize these. Projects are not scored on the basis of whether you achieve your goal but on the integrity of the process and thoughts/analysis given to lessons learned related to outcomes (1) 3. Unexpected or un-measurable outcomes (1) 4. Lessons learned related to action steps or processes with the team possible reasons and briefly summarize these.
<u>"ACT"</u> The intent of process improvement Next steps relevant to the ab
work is to build or refine processes to are identified and seem
NEXT STEPS Given what you learned in this project, describe: promote quality and/or effectiveness. appropriate based on CQI
project. The response would
1. If the project intervention (steps taken, change to your practice) is The tools you create will continue to include:
worth continuing or not. foster learning, while the "measures" • Sharing if the
2. If yes, what will you do to formalize it (e.g., integrate into P & P manual; integrate as formal protocol for nursing, etc.). If not, how will you use what you learned (identified in section above) to move into the next phase of your work in this area, in your next project/s or in your service delivery in the future? you establish can continue to inform your tracking and trending of outcomes (i.e., performance) as time goes on. With that in mind, answer questions 1 and 2 just to the left. POTENTIAL POINTS: 2