# **State Civil Penalty Reinvestment Program (SCPRP) Application Review**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project title** |  |
| **Organization** |  |
| **Amount requested** |  |
| **Projected dates or timeline of project** |  |

1. **Project Summary**

Insert a brief, one paragraph summary of the project.

1. **Prohibited Uses**

The following prohibited uses are present in the SCPRP application:

|  |
| --- |
|[ ]  Duplicative funding to a state or federal contract |
|[ ]  A project timeline that extends beyond eighteen months |
|[ ]  Funding for goods or services that are required by state or federal law or regulation, such as food, heat, staff. |
|[ ]  Capital improvements to the home |
|[ ]  Projects for which a conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest exists with the entity(ies) who will benefit from the intended project(s) or use(s) |
|[ ]  Other: Insert a summary of the perceived prohibited use of funds. |

1. **Problematic Budget Items**

The following problematic budget items are present in the SCPRP application:

|  |
| --- |
|[ ]  Large expenditures that are not clearly and directly related to improving the quality of life and care of clients |
|[ ]  The requestor plans on charging clients or other entities for items or services funded by SCPRP monies (e.g., conferences, webinars, or trainings)  |
|[ ]  Incentives (e.g., for attending training or completing a survey) |
|[ ]  Refreshments or food for conferences or similar functions (e.g., trainings, meetings) |
|[ ]  Excessive expenses. (e.g., travel expenses that do not align with generally accepted rates such as those of the State or Federal Government, or excessive salaries or fringe benefits) |
|[ ]  Other: Insert a summary of the perceived problematic budget area |

**4. Analysis**

The following criteria are evaluated on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high). High scores are preferred.:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SCORE** |  |
|  | The project benefits clients. |
|  | The project seems reasonable and is likely to lead to improvements to resident quality of care or quality of life. |
|  | There is a gap or need for the project. |
|  | The budget is reasonable, itemized, and easy to review. |
|  | The applicant has shown a positive relationship with the department and/or CCRSS providers/clients. |
|  | The applicant has demonstrated how they will continue the project after SCPRP funding has ended. |
|  | The project will use effective outcome measures. |
|  | The project has a low cost per client. |
|  | **Total** |

1. **Review Summary**

[ ]  The application contains a prohibited use.

|  |
| --- |
| *Insert a summary of the prohibited use(s)* |

[ ]  The application contains a problematic budget item.

|  |
| --- |
| *Insert a summary of the problematic budget item(s)* |

[ ]  The application does not have an adequate analysis score.

|  |
| --- |
| *Insert a summary of the information that does not meet the criteria for reasonableness.* |

[ ]  The application has no deficiencies.

|  |
| --- |
| *Provide a brief description of the application’s strengths, if any.*  |

[ ]  The review process required additional information/corrections from the applicant.

|  |
| --- |
| *Describe corrective action process with state/candidate. Include dates, POC, information requested, and if/how it was resolved*  |