



# WISe Dashboard

## Wraparound with Intensive Services

### QUARTERLY UPDATE

SFY 2015 Q1 – SFY 2017 Q3

- Intensive Care Coordination
- Intensive Mental Health Services Provided in Home and Community Settings
- Mobile Crisis Intervention and Stabilization Services

### OCTOBER 2017

Prepared by Washington State Department of Social and Health Services  
Research and Data Analysis Division  
Olympia, Washington

### CONTACTS

Bridget Pavelle, PhD, Senior Research Manager, DSHS/RDA, 360.902.0741, [bridget.pavelle@dshs.wa.gov](mailto:bridget.pavelle@dshs.wa.gov)

Barb Lucenko, PhD, Chief, RDA Program Research and Evaluation Section, 360.902.0890, [barbara.lucenko@dshs.wa.gov](mailto:barbara.lucenko@dshs.wa.gov)

David Mancuso, PhD, Director, DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division (RDA), 360.902.7557, [david.mancuso@dshs.wa.gov](mailto:david.mancuso@dshs.wa.gov)

## Contents

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations, July 2014 through March 2017

- Demographic and Geographic Characteristics

WISe Service Characteristics, July 2014 through March 2017

Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target, by Region and County, Data Available as of October 2017

# WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 2014 to March 2017

## Demographic and Geographic Characteristics

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient/Other		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRS		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL		
<b>Gender</b>												
Female	1,972	42.0%	271	44.8%	118	40.7%	1,583	41.6%	1,412	40.3%	12,102	48.2%
Male	2,727	58.0%	334	55.2%	172	59.3%	2,221	58.4%	2,093	59.7%	12,988	51.8%
<b>Age Group</b>												
0-4	78	1.7%	19	3.1%	10	3.4%	49	1.3%	42	1.2%	807	3.2%
5-11	1,521	32.4%	176	29.1%	94	32.4%	1,251	32.9%	1,251	35.7%	5,269	21.0%
12-17	2,940	62.6%	379	62.6%	178	61.4%	2,383	62.6%	2,109	60.2%	13,275	52.9%
18-20	160	3.4%	31	5.1%	8	2.8%	121	3.2%	103	2.9%	5,739	22.9%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>												
Non-Hispanic White	2,138	45.5%	260	43.0%	105	36.2%	1,773	46.6%	1,586	45.2%	11,603	46.2%
Minority	2,561	54.5%	345	57.0%	185	63.8%	2,031	53.4%	1,919	54.8%	13,487	53.8%
Minority Category (not mutually exclusive)												
Hispanic	1,144	24.3%	150	24.8%	63	21.7%	931	24.5%	837	23.9%	5,195	20.7%
Black	714	15.2%	75	12.4%	68	23.4%	571	15.0%	584	16.7%	3,916	15.6%
American Indian/Alaska Native	647	13.8%	93	15.4%	57	19.7%	497	13.1%	474	13.5%	3,725	14.8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	302	6.4%	39	6.4%	10	3.4%	253	6.7%	237	6.8%	1,620	6.5%
<b>Region</b>												
Great Rivers BHO	200	4.3%	23	3.8%	*	*	175	4.6%	184	5.2%	1,751	7.0%
Greater Columbia BHO	1,155	24.6%	198	32.7%	68	23.4%	889	23.4%	748	21.3%	3,358	13.4%
King County BHO	154	3.3%	*	*	*	*	143	3.8%	354	10.1%	4,525	18.0%
North Central BHO	52	1.1%	*	*	*	*	47	1.2%	43	1.2%	949	3.8%
North Sound BHO	728	15.5%	119	19.7%	31	10.7%	578	15.2%	531	15.1%	3,287	13.1%
OptumHealth Pierce BHO	751	16.0%	26	4.3%	87	30.0%	638	16.8%	582	16.6%	3,157	12.6%
Salish BHO	121	2.6%	32	5.3%	*	*	85	2.2%	47	1.3%	1,401	5.6%
Southwest FIMC	556	11.8%	52	8.6%	50	17.2%	454	11.9%	344	9.8%	1,944	7.7%
Spokane CR BHO	224	4.8%	12	2.0%	*	*	209	5.5%	190	5.4%	3,478	13.9%
Thurston Mason BHO	758	16.1%	135	22.3%	37	12.8%	586	15.4%	482	13.8%	1,240	4.9%
<b>TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available</b>	<b>4,699</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>3,804</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>3,505</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>25,090</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>TOTAL POPULATION</b>	<b>4,711</b>		<b>607</b>		<b>291</b>		<b>3,813</b>		<b>3,521</b>		<b>25,090</b>	

DATA SOURCE: List of youth screened and referral outcomes from BHAS data, list of youth receiving WISe and in WISe proxy from administrative data (based on accepted service encounters). Characteristics of all three populations from administrative data. Number of youth receiving WISe services may be underestimated due to data completeness issues.

NOTES: Table presents characteristics of youth screened for WISe and receiving WISe Services between 7/1/2014 and 3/31/2017 as well as those in the WISe Proxy in SFY 2015. For youth screened more than once for WISe services, type of services screened into reflects the following hierarchy: (1) WISe; (2) CLIP/BRS; (3) Outpatient/Other. For youth screened for WISe, index month is month of screen (or, in the case of multiple screens, first screen in the date range resulting in that type of WISe services). For youth receiving WISe services, index month is the first month in the date range in which youth received services (even if services began in a prior fiscal year). For youth in WISe proxy, index month is first month of Medicaid eligibility in SFY 2015. Region information is displayed using the current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) and Fully Integrated Managed Care (FIMC) boundaries. Region assignment is based on location of residence in index month for proxy, and region of screening or service for screened and served populations. Due to data lag, a small number of youth screened and/or served could not yet be linked with administrative data. \*Cells representing fewer than ten individuals at the regional level have been suppressed to protect confidentiality.

# WISe Service Characteristics

July 2014 to March 2017

	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ▶									
			Great Rivers		Greater Columbia		King County		North Central		North Sound	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
<b>Program Totals</b>												
WISe Clients (unduplicated)	3,421		187		762		345		44		524	
Service Months	23,723		1,054		5,587		2,061		274		4,228	
Service Encounters	311,236		15,010		56,599		20,682		3,284		60,457	
Service Encounters per Month	13.1		14.2		10.1		10.0		12.0		14.3	
<b>Service Location - Average number of encounters per WISe service month</b>												
Outpatient	5.2	39.1%	7.3	51.4%	6.3	63.9%	6.6	65.9%	7.4	61.6%	5.8	40.3%
Home	4.1	30.8%	3.0	20.9%	1.7	17.4%	0.7	6.8%	1.9	15.6%	4.4	30.9%
Other	3.1	22.8%	2.6	18.2%	1.2	12.0%	1.7	16.9%	1.4	11.7%	3.4	24.1%
School	0.8	6.1%	1.1	7.8%	0.5	5.4%	1.0	9.5%	1.1	8.8%	0.6	3.9%
Emergency Room - Hospital	0.1	0.5%	0.1	0.6%	0.0	0.3%	0.0	0.2%	0.0	0.2%	0.1	0.5%
Residential Care Setting	0.1	0.4%	0.0	<0.1%	0.1	0.7%	0.1	0.7%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.2%
Correctional Facility	0.0	0.3%	0.1	1.0%	0.0	0.4%	0.0	<0.1%	0.2	2.0%	0.0	0.2%
<b>Treatment Modality - Average number of encounters per WISe service month</b>												
Individual Treatment Services	5.6	42.5%	7.3	51.6%	5.8	57.6%	6.9	69.0%	4.7	39.3%	3.7	25.7%
Peer Support	1.8	13.6%	2.0	14.3%	0.8	7.7%	1.0	10.1%	4.0	33.1%	1.2	8.7%
Child And Family Team Meeting	1.7	12.9%	1.7	12.1%	1.3	13.0%	0.0	0.3%	1.8	15.3%	2.8	19.9%
Care Coordination Services	1.6	11.9%	0.6	3.9%	0.5	5.1%	0.9	8.8%	0.4	3.1%	4.2	29.6%
Family Treatment	1.2	8.9%	1.3	9.0%	0.5	4.7%	0.6	5.7%	0.4	3.0%	1.1	7.6%
Crisis Services	0.4	2.7%	0.4	3.0%	0.4	3.6%	0.2	1.6%	0.1	0.9%	0.4	2.5%
Medication Management	0.3	2.3%	0.3	2.0%	0.4	3.6%	0.2	2.1%	0.2	1.6%	0.4	3.1%
Other Intensive Services	0.2	1.6%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.4%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Intake Evaluation	0.2	1.3%	0.2	1.7%	0.1	1.2%	0.1	1.0%	0.1	0.5%	0.1	0.7%
Rehabilitation Case Management	0.1	1.1%	0.1	0.7%	0.2	2.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.1	1.2%	0.0	<0.1%
Group Treatment Services	0.1	0.5%	0.1	0.4%	0.0	0.3%	0.1	0.8%	0.1	1.0%	0.1	0.9%
Therapeutic Psychoeducation	0.0	0.3%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.4%	0.0	0.0%	0.1	0.9%
Interpreter Services	0.0	0.2%	0.1	0.5%	0.0	0.4%	0.0	<0.1%	0.1	0.9%	0.0	0.2%
Medication Monitoring	0.0	0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	<0.1%
Involuntary Treatment Investigation	0.0	0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.2%	0.0	0.1%
Psychological Assessment	0.0	<0.1%	0.1	0.5%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Co-Occurring Treatment Services	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Engagement And Outreach	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	<0.1%

Continued on next page

# WISe Service Characteristics

July 2014 to March 2017

Continued from previous page

	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ►									
			Pierce County		Salish		Southwest		Spokane Region		Thurston Mason	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
<b>Program Totals</b>												
WISe Clients (unduplicated)	3,421		578		50		211		191		509	
Service Months	23,723		3,893		314		1,195		1,005		3,953	
Service Encounters	311,236		57,405		5,083		19,804		15,523		54,764	
Service Encounters per Month	13.1		14.7		16.2		16.6		15.4		13.8	
<b>Service Location - Average number of encounters per WISe service month</b>												
Outpatient	5.2	39.1%	3.3	22.6%	4.5	28.0%	3.0	18.0%	7.8	50.3%	4.4	31.8%
Home	4.1	30.8%	7.1	48.1%	5.3	32.5%	5.8	34.7%	3.3	21.7%	4.7	33.6%
Other	3.1	22.8%	3.5	23.4%	4.5	27.8%	6.4	38.8%	1.6	10.1%	3.9	28.3%
School	0.8	6.1%	0.7	4.6%	1.7	10.5%	1.3	7.6%	2.2	14.4%	0.8	5.5%
Emergency Room - Hospital	0.1	0.5%	0.1	0.7%	0.1	0.7%	0.1	0.6%	0.2	1.5%	0.0	0.2%
Residential Care Setting	0.1	0.4%	0.1	0.6%	0.0	0.1%	0.0	0.1%	0.3	1.8%	0.0	0.2%
Correctional Facility	0.0	0.3%	0.0	<0.1%	0.1	0.4%	0.0	0.2%	0.0	0.2%	0.1	0.5%
<b>Treatment Modality - Average number of encounters per WISe service month</b>												
Individual Treatment Services	5.6	42.5%	5.3	36.3%	6.7	41.6%	8.9	53.8%	7.9	50.8%	4.6	33.3%
Peer Support	1.8	13.6%	3.9	26.4%	2.4	14.8%	2.1	12.4%	1.7	11.3%	1.8	12.7%
Child And Family Team Meeting	1.7	12.9%	1.8	12.0%	0.6	3.6%	1.7	10.0%	0.6	3.8%	2.1	15.3%
Care Coordination Services	1.6	11.9%	0.4	2.9%	0.0	<0.1%	0.7	4.3%	1.3	8.7%	2.4	17.4%
Family Treatment	1.2	8.9%	2.3	15.6%	3.5	21.9%	1.3	7.7%	0.6	3.9%	1.4	10.0%
Crisis Services	0.4	2.7%	0.4	3.0%	0.2	1.4%	0.7	4.2%	0.9	5.5%	0.1	0.9%
Medication Management	0.3	2.3%	0.2	1.2%	0.3	1.6%	0.7	4.0%	0.3	2.0%	0.1	0.8%
Other Intensive Services	0.2	1.6%	0.0	0.2%	1.3	8.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.8	5.4%	0.8	5.9%
Intake Evaluation	0.2	1.3%	0.3	2.1%	0.0	0.2%	0.4	2.6%	0.4	2.5%	0.1	0.6%
Rehabilitation Case Management	0.1	1.1%	0.1	0.4%	0.6	4.0%	0.1	0.4%	0.1	0.9%	0.4	2.8%
Group Treatment Services	0.1	0.5%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	<0.1%	0.4	2.4%	0.0	<0.1%
Therapeutic Psychoeducation	0.0	0.3%	0.0	0.0%	0.4	2.4%	0.1	0.4%	0.1	0.3%	0.0	<0.1%
Interpreter Services	0.0	0.2%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.2%
Medication Monitoring	0.0	0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.3	1.6%	0.0	<0.1%
Involuntary Treatment Investigation	0.0	0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.1	0.4%	0.0	<0.1%	0.1	0.7%	0.0	<0.1%
Psychological Assessment	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	0.0	0.0%
Co-Occurring Treatment Services	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Engagement And Outreach	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%

DATA SOURCE: Administrative data (BHSS).

NOTES: WISe services include all WISe mental health outpatient service encounters recorded in BHSS data system, including DBHR-paid managed care mental health outpatient services received in a month with at least one "U8" mental health service. Region information is displayed using the current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) and FIMC boundaries. Youth served in more than one region during the report date range have been allocated to the region in which they received the greatest number of WISe "U8" service encounters in the date range. Service months and service encounters for youth served in more than one region during a month have been allocated to the region in which they received the greatest number of WISe "U8" service encounters during the month. The service location summary excludes encounters from Greater Columbia prior to 4/1/2016, as the data is unavailable for that time period. Both the service location and treatment modality summary exclude data from Southwest after 3/31/2016, as it is unavailable in the BHSS data system. Because a small number of clients participating in the Address Confidentiality Program (ACP; 20 in this time period) are included in statewide totals but not in regional breakdowns, numbers do not sum to statewide totals.

# WISe Outcomes

Initial assessments July 2014 to March 2017, follow-ups 6 months later

## Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up

	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ►									
			Great Rivers BHO		Greater Columbia BHO		King County BHO		North Central BHO		North Sound BHO	
	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.
<b>Number of WISe Clients with Initial Full CANS by SFY 2017 Q3 and 6-Month Follow-Up</b>	<b>1,614</b>		<b>67</b>		<b>293</b>		<b>178</b>		<b>20</b>		<b>346</b>	
<b>Total Counts of Needs and Strengths</b>												
Average # of actionable treatment needs	14	11	14	10	14	9	9	7	18	12	14	12
Average # of identified strengths	7	9	8	10	8	9	9	10	4	5	6	7
<b>Needs: Behavioral/Emotional</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Emotional control problems	78%	55%	75%	51%	84%	47%	41%	33%	85%	65%	80%	60%
Mood disturbance problems	67%	47%	61%	42%	76%	47%	39%	30%	65%	*	66%	47%
Attention/impulse problems	65%	56%	66%	55%	68%	52%	38%	36%	50%	*	73%	68%
Anxiety	61%	49%	61%	39%	61%	39%	44%	42%	55%	*	64%	58%
Oppositional behavior	58%	42%	60%	46%	58%	42%	35%	26%	50%	*	61%	45%
<b>Needs: Risk Factors</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Decision-making problems	59%	44%	67%	52%	65%	38%	24%	19%	75%	*	62%	50%
Danger to others	43%	23%	51%	22%	41%	17%	21%	13%	*	*	45%	24%
Intended misbehavior	32%	25%	27%	24%	36%	23%	19%	16%	60%	*	35%	25%
Suicide risk	26%	11%	30%	*	32%	9%	8%	8%	*	0%	20%	9%
Non-suicidal self-injury	23%	10%	25%	*	25%	9%	8%	*	*	0%	21%	11%
<b>Needs: Life Domain Functioning</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Family problems	81%	55%	75%	64%	83%	47%	48%	34%	75%	60%	84%	64%
Interpersonal problems	65%	47%	63%	43%	59%	38%	44%	35%	90%	80%	75%	57%
Living situation problems	64%	42%	67%	43%	70%	39%	34%	26%	60%	60%	66%	49%
School achievement problems	53%	39%	49%	28%	56%	35%	43%	31%	60%	*	53%	43%
School behavior problems	49%	31%	57%	22%	44%	30%	40%	28%	60%	*	53%	34%
<b>Needs: Other Domains</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Family stress problems	75%	56%	76%	55%	75%	47%	55%	47%	85%	70%	74%	62%
TA youth deficits in educational goals/progress	45%	39%	29%	41%	29%	26%	42%	40%	50%	50%	50%	39%
TA youth deficits in independent living skills	40%	42%	41%	47%	29%	25%	46%	48%	75%	*	40%	42%
Caregiver difficulty supervising youth	32%	21%	30%	28%	27%	17%	16%	11%	80%	70%	39%	30%
Caregiver limited in financial/other resources	30%	24%	25%	21%	17%	17%	26%	21%	75%	50%	38%	36%
<b>Strengths</b>			<i>Top 5 Youth Strengths by Growth Over Time Statewide</i>									
Educational system strengths	63%	78%	61%	91%	65%	78%	79%	83%	*	75%	63%	81%
Optimism	57%	68%	64%	79%	58%	70%	69%	74%	50%	50%	53%	59%
Resourcefulness	52%	63%	70%	81%	63%	71%	59%	74%	*	*	29%	38%
Resilience	47%	60%	63%	76%	50%	67%	64%	75%	*	*	25%	34%
Community connections	43%	54%	54%	52%	43%	59%	54%	68%	*	*	34%	47%

Continued on next page

# WISe Outcomes

## Initial assessments July 2014 to March 2017, follow-ups 6 months later

Continued from previous page



	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ▶									
	Intake	6 Mos.	OptumHealth Pierce BHO		Salish BHO		Southwest FIMC		Spokane CR BHO		Thurston Mason BHO	
Number of WISe Clients with Initial Full CANS by SFY 2017 Q3 and 6-Month Follow-Up	1,614		262		34		184		64		166	
<b>Total Counts of Needs and Strengths</b>												
Average # of actionable treatment needs	14	11	15	12	16	12	16	12	17	12	15	10
Average # of identified strengths	7	9	8	9	7	7	7	8	7	9	6	8
<b>Needs: Behavioral/Emotional</b>	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Emotional control problems	78%	55%	81%	65%	94%	71%	86%	62%	92%	73%	79%	46%
Mood disturbance problems	67%	47%	70%	53%	82%	56%	81%	58%	75%	53%	64%	46%
Attention/impulse problems	65%	56%	66%	60%	91%	68%	66%	58%	78%	69%	59%	46%
Anxiety	61%	49%	58%	48%	79%	62%	68%	60%	67%	50%	64%	51%
Oppositional behavior	58%	42%	60%	47%	56%	53%	61%	41%	78%	53%	61%	40%
<b>Needs: Risk Factors</b>	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Decision making problems	59%	44%	66%	53%	62%	41%	62%	48%	73%	53%	51%	44%
Danger to others	43%	23%	48%	31%	50%	32%	53%	27%	61%	30%	37%	19%
Intended misbehavior	32%	25%	22%	23%	44%	32%	34%	30%	59%	39%	32%	24%
Suicide risk	26%	11%	34%	19%	*	*	38%	16%	20%	*	25%	11%
Non-suicidal self -injury	23%	10%	27%	14%	38%	*	29%	15%	28%	*	20%	7%
<b>Needs: Life Domain Functioning</b>	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Family problems	81%	55%	89%	66%	88%	68%	89%	57%	80%	59%	87%	51%
Interpersonal problems	65%	47%	64%	49%	62%	44%	66%	51%	80%	52%	69%	48%
Living situation problems	64%	42%	72%	52%	47%	44%	64%	39%	67%	44%	70%	34%
School achievement problems	53%	39%	53%	42%	47%	62%	60%	45%	48%	31%	52%	37%
School behavior problems	49%	31%	50%	32%	50%	32%	52%	31%	58%	33%	46%	23%
<b>Needs: Other Domains</b>	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Family stress problems	75%	56%	80%	67%	85%	62%	83%	58%	88%	61%	74%	48%
TA youth deficits in educational goals/progress	45%	39%	50%	46%	60%	30%	46%	48%	53%	40%	50%	36%
TA youth deficits in independent living skills	40%	42%	40%	45%	40%	30%	48%	48%	53%	73%	39%	44%
Caregiver difficulty supervising youth	32%	21%	33%	24%	32%	*	29%	15%	36%	19%	34%	13%
Caregiver limited in financial/other resources	30%	24%	21%	18%	50%	*	37%	27%	39%	25%	33%	24%
<b>Strengths</b>	<i>Top 5 Youth Strengths by Growth Over Time Statewide</i>											
Educational system strengths	63%	78%	63%	75%	47%	68%	60%	74%	55%	77%	51%	74%
Optimism	57%	68%	65%	71%	41%	56%	54%	72%	41%	56%	46%	69%
Resourcefulness	52%	63%	71%	78%	35%	41%	59%	64%	41%	69%	42%	61%
Resiliency	47%	60%	64%	78%	59%	53%	36%	51%	44%	66%	45%	61%
Community connection	43%	54%	51%	59%	53%	41%	42%	51%	39%	53%	36%	47%

DATA SOURCE: Behavioral Health Assessment System (BHAS).

NOTES: These statistics reflect change over time in actionable treatment needs and youth strengths as identified on the CANS Full Assessment, conducted at intake and at 6-month follow up, for youth participating in the WISe program. The sample includes youth who completed a CANS initial assessment between 07/01/2014 and 3/31/2017 and subsequently completed a 6-month reassessment as of 9/31/2017. Youth served in different regions at the intake and 6-month time point have been allocated to the region in which their 6-month CANS was completed. 15 records from CLIP consumers were omitted from this report. The table displays the proportion of children with clinically significant treatment needs at each time point, i.e. the clinician rated the item as a 2 ("need for action") or 3 ("need for immediate or intensive action") instead of a 0 ("no current need") or 1 ("watchful waiting/prevention"). The top five treatment needs within each domain, by proportion at intake/initial assessment, are shown. The top five ranking reflects the statewide ranking and may not reflect item rank order for each region. A decline at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., a decrease in the proportion of children and youth with clinically significant treatment needs in these areas. TA (Transition to Adulthood) items are only administered to youth age 15+. The top five strengths, by growth over time, are shown. An increase at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., an increase in the proportion of children and youth with identified strengths that can be used in treatment planning to facilitate recovery. Strengths are identified when the clinician rated a strengths item as a 0 ("significant strength") or 1 ("some strength") instead of a 2 ("potential strength") or 3 ("no strength identified at this time"). \*Cells representing fewer than ten individuals at the regional level have been suppressed to protect confidentiality.

# WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by County

## Statewide progress as of June 2017



DATA SOURCE: Administrative data.

NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded in administrative data as of October 2017, based on the number of children receiving WISe services in each region living in each county. Due to data lag and other completeness issues, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as March 2016 and as recently as August 2017. Data completeness issues may yield underestimates of WISe caseloads. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015). \*Caseload counts of less than ten have been suppressed to protect confidentiality, and corresponding measures of "Progress to Target" are shown as ranges.

	HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	INITIAL MID-LEVEL MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
<b>WASHINGTON</b>	<b>1,608</b>	<b>2,985</b>	<b>54%</b>
Adams	0	8	0%
Asotin	12	12	100%
Benton	105	102	103%
Chelan	22	32	69%
Clallam	*	54	<10%
Clark	119	195	61%
Columbia	*	2	>90%
Cowlitz	70	83	84%
Douglas	16	18	89%
Ferry	0	5	0%
Franklin	40	43	93%
Garfield	*	1	>90%
Grant	19	40	48%
Grays Harbor	30	50	60%
Island	20	25	80%
Jefferson	13	13	100%
King	190	527	36%
Kitsap	36	122	30%
Kittitas	27	19	142%
Klickitat	*	14	50-74%
Lewis	23	58	40%
Lincoln	0	5	0%
Mason	50	33	152%
Okanogan	0	22	0%
Pacific	*	8	25-49%
Pend Oreille	0	7	0%
Pierce	205	345	59%
San Juan	*	3	25-49%
Skagit	34	69	49%
Skamania	*	6	50-74%
Snohomish	123	264	47%
Spokane	205	335	61%
Stevens	12	28	43%
Thurston	145	109	133%
Wahkiakum	0	4	0%
Walla Walla	26	40	65%
Whatcom	52	99	53%
Whitman	14	10	140%
Yakima	104	175	59%



# WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by Region

## Statewide progress as of June 2017



Regions June 2017		HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	INITIAL MID-LEVEL MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
<b>ALL REGIONS</b>		<b>1,608</b>	<b>2,985</b>	<b>54%</b>
<b>Great Rivers BHO</b>	Cowlitz	117	203	58%
	Grays Harbor			
	Lewis Pacific			
	Wahkiakum			
<b>Greater Columbia BHO</b>	Asotin	308	418	74%
	Benton			
	Columbia			
	Franklin			
	Garfield			
	Kittitas			
	Klickitat			
	Walla Walla			
	Whitman			
	Yakima			
<b>King County BHO</b>	King	190	527	36%
<b>North Central BHO</b>	Chelan	53	90	59%
	Douglas			
	Grant			
<b>North Sound BHO</b>	Island	220	460	48%
	San Juan			
	Skagit			
	Snohomish			
	Whatcom			
<b>OptumHealth Pierce BHO</b>	Pierce	205	345	59%
<b>Salish BHO</b>	Clallam	48	189	25%
	Jefferson			
	Kitsap			
<b>Southwest FIMC</b>	Clark	120	201	60%
<b>Spokane CR BHO</b>	Adams	217	410	53%
	Ferry			
	Lincoln			
	Okanogan			
	Pend Oreille			
	Spokane			
	Stevens			
	Thurston-Mason BHO			
	Thurston			

DATA SOURCE: Administrative data.

NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded in administrative data as of October 2017, based on the number of children receiving WISe services in each region. Region is defined using the current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) and Fully Integrated Managed Care (FIMC) boundaries. Due to data lag and other data completeness issues, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as October 2016 and as recently as August 2017. Data completeness issues may yield underestimates of WISe caseloads. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015).