

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by County



NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded as of September 2015, based on the number of children residing in each county receiving WISe services. Due to data lag, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as March 2015 and as recent as July 2015. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015). *Caseload counts less than ten but greater than zero have been suppressed to protect confidentiality, and corresponding measures of "Progress to Target" are shown as ranges.

y			
	HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
WASHINGTON	542	2,985	18%
Adams	0	8	0%
Asotin	0	12	0%
Benton	40	102	39%
Chelan	0	32	0%
Clallam	0	54	0%
Clark	76	195	39%
Columbia	0	2	0%
Cowlitz	18	83	22%
Douglas	0	18	0%
Ferry	0	5	0%
Franklin	15	43	35%
Garfield	0	1	0%
Grant	0	40	0%
Grays Harbor	0	50	0%
Island	*	25	<10%
Jefferson	0	13	0%
King	0	527	0%
Kitsap	0	122	0%
Kittitas	*	19	25-49%
Klickitat	*	14	50-74%
Lewis	0	58	0%
Lincoln	0	5	0%
Mason	24	33	73%
Okanogan	0	22	0%
Pacific	0	8	0%
Pend Oreille	0	7	0%
Pierce	114	345	33%
San Juan	0	3	0%
Skagit	26	69	38%
Skamania	*	6	25-49%
Snohomish	39	264	15%
Spokane	11	335	3%
Stevens	0	28	0%
Thurston	116	109	106%
Wahkiakum	0	4	0%
Walla Walla	*	40	10-24%
Whatcom	30	99	30%
Whitman	*	10	25-49%
Yakima	54	175	31%

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by Region



NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded as of September 2015, based on the number of children residing in each region receiving WISe services. Due to data lag, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as March 2015 and as recent as July 2015. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015). *Caseload counts less than ten but greater than zero have been suppressed to protect confidentiality, and corresponding measures of "Progress to Target" are shown as ranges.

Regions September 2015		HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	INITIAL MID-LEVEL MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
ALL REGIONS		542	2,985	18%
Chelan-Douglas	Chelan Douglas	0	50	0%
Grays Harbor- Cowlitz	Cowlitz Grays Harbor	18	133	14%
Greater Columbia	Asotin Benton Columbia Franklin Garfield Kittitas Klickitat Walla Walla Whitman Yakima	132	418	32%
King	King	0	527	0%
North Sound	Island San Juan Skagit Snohomish Whatcom	91	460	20%
Peninsula	Clallam Jefferson Kitsap	0	189	0%
Pierce	Pierce	114	345	33%
Southwest	Clark Skamania	76	201	38%
Spokane	Adams Ferry Grant Lincoln Okanogan Pend Oreille Spokane Stevens	11	450	2%
Thurston-Mason	Mason Thurston	139	142	98%
Timberlands	Lewis Pacific Wahkiakum	0	70	0%