

Children's Administration

July - December 2014
Braam Semi-Annual Performance Report

March 2015



DSHS Children's Administration, Braam Semi-Annual Performance Report


Table of Contents & Overview of Performance

March 2015

Outcome	Measure Description	Full Compliance Measure	Actual	Compliance Achieved	Page Number
Monthly Visits	Percentage of children who received a visit from an acceptable worker consistent with the previous federal social worker monthly visit measure in each of the prior full calendar months they were in care. This measure requires a visit in each month of the 12 month reporting period.	90%	88% (CY14) 88% (FY14)	No	2
Caseload	Percentage of CA social workers carrying cases of children in the Braam class with caseloads at 18.0 or fewer.	90%	86% (Jul-Dec 14) 81% (Jan-Jun 14)	No	4
Sibling Visits and Contacts	Percentage of cases rated as compliant (out of the total rated compliant or non-compliant) by a targeted case review.	90%	93% (Jul-Dec 14) 100% (Jan-Jun 14)	Yes	6
Caregiver Information	Percentage of licensed caregivers who report adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them as determined by a targeted case review in which the caregiver is provided a Child Information Placement Referral Form in the required timeframe.	90%	85% (Jul-Dec 14) 74% (Jan-Jun 14)	No	8
Frequency of Youth on Runaway Status	Percentage of youth in out-of-home care for at least 30 days who run from out-of-home care placements during the annual reporting period.	2.35%	3.00% (CY14) 3.10% (FY14)	No	10
Median Number of Days Youth are on Runaway Status	Median number of days that youth are on runaway status during the annual reporting reporting period.	25 Days	39.5 Days (CY14) 30.0 Days (FY14)	No	12




Outcome 1: Monthly Health and Safety Visits with Children

Children in out-of-home care will receive a private and individual face-to-face health and safety visit from their assigned caseworker, or acceptable substitute worker, at least once every calendar month for every full month in care during the 12 month reporting period.



SUMMARY

- During CY2014, 88% of children requiring health and safety visits were visited by their social worker every full calendar month they were in care during the reporting period.
- Regions 2 and 3 were the highest performers during CY2014 at 88%. Region 1 performance decreased by 1% since the last reporting period.
- While Children's Administration has yet to meet the full compliance measure, we continue to closely monitor our performance a monthly basis to help ensure we continue to make progress.



Children's Administration

March 2015

Monthly Health and Safety Visits with Children

Children in out-of-home care will receive a private and individual face-to-face health and safety visit from their assigned caseworker, or acceptable substitute worker, at least once every calendar month for every full month in care during the 12 month reporting period.




DATE	Statewide Performance	Full Compliance Measure	Total Applicable Cases	Region 1		Region 2		Region 3	
				Percent	Total Cases	Percent	Total Cases	Percent	Total Cases
CY2014	88%	90%	12193	86%	3856	88%	3086	88%	4572
FY2014	88%	90%	9872	87%	3008	88%	3157	88%	3707
CY2013	85%	90%	10119	83%	3240	86%	3086	84%	3793
FY2013	85%	90%	11495	85%	3449	90%	3600	81%	4446
CY2012	85%	90%	11303	83%	3353	89%	3554	82%	4396
FY2012	84%	90%	11495	81%	3370	88%	3605	83%	4520
CY2011	82%	90%	11631	77%	3340	87%	3697	82%	4594
FY2011	80%	90%	11773	75%	3355	86%	3817	79%	4601
FY2010	54%	95%	10916	Regional Breakout Data Not Available Prior to FY2011					
FY2009	15%	95%	Data Not Readily Available						
FY2008	11%	95%	Data Not Readily Available						

Measure definition	Percentage of children who received a visit from an acceptable worker consistent with the previous federal social worker monthly visit measure in every prior full calendar month they were in care. This measure requires a visit in each month of the 12 month reporting period.
Data source	FamLink
Data supplier	Lee Doran, Lead Analyst, Children's Administration Data Management and Reporting Section
Period	CY2014
	This measure is changed in the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement. See data note #4.
Data notes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Measures the percent of children who were seen by the assigned social worker, or acceptable substitute worker, for a Health and Safety visit each and every month they were in a full month of care (in placement on the 1st day of the month and no discharge during the month). Population includes children in out-of-home placement under the age of 18 and under CA Placement and Care Authority at least a full month during the reporting period. Performance includes "Visits Conducted by Other Agencies" which are included in reports to the Governor's office. A random review indicates the majority are Interstate Compact for Placement of Children (ICPC) cases and visits by CA social workers inaccurately coded. The red line on the statewide performance chart indicates where the full compliance measure changed from 95% to 90%. Compliance with this outcome requires the full compliance measure to be met. In addition, no region's performance may be more than 10 percentage points lower than the full compliance measure.




Outcome 2: Caseloads At or Below 18 Cases

Caseworkers will have caseloads at or below 18.0 cases per caseworker.



SUMMARY

- Performance for July to December 2014 was 86% which is a 5% increase from the previous reporting period.
- Region 2 was the highest performer at 87%. Region 1's performance remained steady and Region 3 increased performance ten percent since the previous reporting period.
- This measure counts social workers, who served at least one child in out-of-home care, with caseloads of 18 children or fewer. The majority of social workers are Child and Family Welfare Services (CFWS) workers. The measure also counts social workers who serve only one or a few children in out-of-home care (i.e. Child Protective Services, Family Reconciliation Services, and Voluntary Family Services).
- This is a point in time measure and not an average over time.



Children's Administration
Caseloads At or Below 18 Cases

March 2015

Caseworkers will have caseloads at or below 18.0 cases per caseworker.




DATE	Statewide Performance	Full Compliance Measure	Total Applicable Workers	Region 1		Region 2		Region 3	
				Percent	Total Workers	Percent	Total Workers	Percent	Total Workers
Jul-Dec 2014	86%	90%	879	85%	253	87%	295	86%	331
Jan-Jun 2014	81%	90%	869	85%	252	82%	297	76%	320
Jul-Dec 2013	86%	90%	757	86%	228	88%	255	85%	274
Jan-Jun 2013	83%	90%	831	86%	250	84%	277	78%	304
Jul-Dec 2012	88%	90%	836	92%	248	89%	287	84%	301
Jan-Jun 2012	88%	90%	884	93%	259	85%	317	86%	308
Jul-Dec 2011	86%	90%	851	89%	242	85%	307	84%	307
FY2011	73%	90%	939	70%	273	78%	335	71%	331
FY2010	72%	90%	963	Regional Breakout Data Not Available Prior to FY2011					
FY2009	65%	80%	980						
FY2008	50%	70%	1026						

Measure definition	Percentage of Children’s Administration social workers carrying cases of children in the Braam class with caseloads at 18.0 or fewer.
Data source	FamLink
Data supplier	Lee Doran, Lead Analyst, Children's Administration Data Management and Reporting Section
Period	Point in Time Data, As of the First Day of the Last Month of the Reporting Period
	This outcome measure is unchanged in the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement.
Data notes	<p>1 The counts are point in time as of the first day of the last month in the reporting period. Consistent reporting months have not been used due to timing of development and availability of measure data. 9/08 was used for FY2008 as it was the first month performance data for this outcome was available. 1/09 was used for FY2009 as it was the last month CA had confidence in the data as it was prior to the conversion to FamLink. For FY2010 and subsequent reporting periods the last month of the reporting period was used.</p> <p>2 Compliance with this outcome requires the full compliance measure to be met. In addition, no region's performance may be more than 10 percentage points lower than the full compliance measure.</p> <p>3 The red line on the statewide performance chart indicates the start of the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement.</p> <p>4 An error was discovered in methodology of development of previous reports. Previous data reports counted as active cases previously closed investigations related to newly assigned cases for CPS social workers whose caseloads are included in the report because they have at least one child on their caseload who is in out-of-home care. This resulted in reports that reflected extremely high caseloads for some CPS workers, and the counts were not exclusive to active investigations assigned in the previous 30 days. The report was refactored and run for July-December 2011, January-June 2012 and July-December 2012 review periods, which are included in this report.</p>




Outcome 8: Sibling Visits / Contacts

Children placed apart from their siblings will have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events), with some or all of their siblings, unless CA determines or there has been a judicial finding that it is contrary to the child's health, safety or welfare or would hinder reunification efforts.



SUMMARY

- For July to December 2014, a targeted case review found that 93% of children placed apart from their siblings had two or more monthly visits or contacts with their siblings.
- Children's Administration has exceeded the full compliance measure of 90% for twelve consecutive months.



Children's Administration

March 2015

Sibling Visits / Contacts

Children placed apart from their siblings will have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events), with some or all of their siblings, unless CA determines or there has been a judicial finding that it is contrary to the child's health, safety or welfare or would hinder reunification efforts.




DATE	Statewide Performance	Full Compliance Measure	Total Applicable Cases	Region 1		Region 2		Region 3	
				Percent	Total Cases	Percent	Total Cases	Percent	Total Cases
Jul-Dec 2014	93%	90%	44	92%	12	100%	12	90%	20
Jan-Jun 2014	100%	90%	40	100%	12	100%	10	100%	18
Jul-Dec 2013	89%	90%	44	87%	15	80%	15	100%	14
Jan-Jun 2013	83%	90%	46	78%	18	75%	16	100%	12
Jul-Dec 2012	75%	90%	48	72%	18	70%	10	80%	20
Jan-Jun 2012	38%	90%	50	33%	15	47%	15	35%	20
Jul-Dec 2011	26%	90%	47	22%	18	17%	12	35%	17
FY2011	50%	90%	898	47%	280	47%	249	54%	369
FY2010	52%	90%	863	Regional Breakout Data Not Available Prior to FY2011					
FY2009	53%	80%	846						
CY2008	52%	75%	584						
CY2007	48%	70%	430						

Measure definition	Percentage of cases rated as compliant (out of the total rated compliant or non-compliant) by a targeted case review.
Data source	Case Review
Data supplier	Mary Pagni-Leavitt, Child and Family Welfare Services Program Manager, Children's Administration
Period	July - December 2014
	This measure is changed in the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement. See date note #6.
Data notes	<p>1 Performance is determined on the percent of cases in which siblings placed apart had two or more monthly visits or contacts out of all those in which siblings were placed apart, excluding from the numerator and denominator of the measure those cases in which sibling visits or contacts did not occur due to circumstances consistent with the agreed upon exceptions.</p> <p>2 "Sibling" is defined as a child's birth brother, birth sister, adoptive brother, adoptive sister, half-brother or half-sister, or as defined by the law or custom of the Indian child's tribe for an Indian child as defined in RCW 13.38.040.</p> <p>3 Population includes children in an open placement excluding trial return home and in out-of-home care for at least 30 days.</p> <p>4 Population excludes children in the custody of: Tribal/Band with or without IV-E Agreement, Private Agency, other State responsible for all legal actions, Federal, and Juvenile Rehabilitation Administration..</p> <p>5 Compliance with the full compliance measure is achieved when the three questions included in the review are rated fully achieved or not applicable. To view the complete Case Review Report visit the CA internet site at the following web address: http://www.dshs.wa.gov/ca/about/imp_settlement.asp.</p> <p>6 Data for FY2005 through FY2011 are not comparable to FY2012. Data after July-December 2011 uses case review of 50 cases of children who have been separated from at least one sibling as a result of the child's placement in out-of-home care, as stated in the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement, effective November 1, 2011. Data for CY2007 through FY2011 was measured through a survey of Foster Parent and Caregivers conducted by Washington State University and calculates interview responses from randomly selected licensed foster parents and unlicensed caregivers. The CY2007 – FY2011 measure changed over time preventing comparison of performance across time. In 2007, the survey outcome was measured by a single question which asked about visits and contacts with siblings. In 2008, the question was separated into two questions, one about visits, and one about contacts. Prior to FY2010-Q2 the survey was significantly changed to ask questions about each sibling relationship.</p> <p>7 The red line on the statewide performance chart indicates the start of the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement.</p> <p>8 Compliance with this outcome does not require consideration of regional variation due to the relatively small number of cases that are reviewed in the reporting period.</p>




Outcome 11: Caregiver Information

Licensed caregivers will report adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them (including, but not limited to, behavioral, medical, developmental and educational needs).



SUMMARY

- For July to December 2014, a targeted case review found in 85% of cases reviewed, the caregiver was provided the Child Information Placement Referral Form within the required timeframes.
- While statewide performance has not met the full compliance measure, Children's Administration continues to make steady improvement in providing the Child Information Placement Referral Form to caregivers in a timely manner.
- Region 1 was the highest performer during the reporting period at 89%, with 41 of their 46 cases rated fully compliant.
- The targeted case review found that 87%, 26 of the 30 non-compliant cases had indicators of progress. In these cases the Child Information Placement Referral form was completed and provided to the caregiver outside the required timeframe or the form was completed but documentation could not be located to verify the form was provided to the caregiver.



Children's Administration

March 2015

Caregiver Information

Licensed caregivers will report adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them (including, but not limited to, behavioral, medical, developmental and educational needs).




DATE	Statewide Performance	Full Compliance Measure	Total Applicable Cases*	Region 1		Region 2		Region 3	
				Percent	Total Cases	Percent	Total Cases	Percent	Total Cases
Jul-Dec 2014	85%	90%	200	89%	46	83%	58	84%	96
Jan-Jun 2014	74%	90%	200	72%	68	83%	64	66%	68
Jul-Dec 2013	60%	90%	200	58%	64	63%	57	58%	79
Jan-Jun 2013	56%	90%	100	58%	33	61%	33	50%	34
Jul-Dec 2012	24%	90%	50	26%	19	20%	15	25%	16
Jan-Jun 2012	14%	90%	50	20%	10	5%	19	19%	21
FY2011	82.0%	90%	1744	82.0%	506	80.2%	486	83.1%	752
FY2010	81.8%	90%	1947	Regional Breakout Data Not Available Prior to FY2011					
FY2009	75.4%	90%	2002						
CY2008	72.4%	90%	2135						
CY2007	72.8%	90%	1532						

* For FY2011 - CY2007 the number identified in the Total Applicable Cases column represents the total applicable caregivers who responded to the survey.

Measure definition	Percentage of licensed caregivers who report adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them as determined by a targeted case review in which the caregiver is provided a complete Child Information Placement Referral Form.
Data source	Case Review
Data supplier	Christine Kerns, Permanency Planning Program Manager, Children's Administration
Period	July-December 2014
Data notes	<p>This measure is changed in the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement. See data note #6.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Performance is determined on the percent of cases in which the caseworker provided the caregiver a completed Child Information / Placement Referral Form (DSHS 15-300). Compliance is achieved when the referral form is signed by the caregiver indicating they received the information and dated showing that they received the information within the required timeframes or verified by Department records showing an email containing the information was sent to the foster parent within the required timeframe. 2 The required timeframes are: within 72 hours of an initial placement; and at or before a planned change in placement or within 24 hours of an urgent placement change. 3 Population includes children in an open placement excluding trial return home and in out-of-home care for at least 30 days. 4 Population excludes children in the custody of: Tribal/Band with or without IV-E Agreement, Private Agency, other State responsible for all legal actions, Federal, and Juvenile Rehabilitation Administration; and children placed in a licensed facility. 5 Compliance with the full compliance measure is achieved when the question included in the review is rated fully achieved or not applicable. To view the complete Case Review Report visit the CA internet site at the following web address: http://www.dshs.wa.gov/ca/about/imp_settlement.asp. 6 Data for CY2007 through FY2011 are not comparable to FY2012. Data for CY2007 through FY2011 was measured through a survey of Foster Parent and Caregivers conducted by Washington State University and calculates interview responses from randomly selected licensed foster parents and unlicensed caregivers. Data after FY2011 uses case review, as stated in the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement effective November 1, 2011, to determine performance. 7 The red line on the statewide performance chart indicates the start of the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement. 8 Compliance with this outcome requires the full compliance measure to be met. In addition, no region's performance may be more than 10 percentage points lower than the full compliance measure.




Outcome 20: Frequency of Youth on Runaway Status

The percentage of youth who run from out-of-home care placements during the reporting period will decrease.



SUMMARY

- During CY2014, 3.00% of youth were on runaway status from out-of-home care placements.
- Region 1 had the lowest frequency of youth on runaway status at 2.50% for the reporting period. Region 3 maintained performance at 3.20%, while Region 2 saw a slight increase since the previous reporting period.
- A review of youth on runaway status for the reporting period indicates:
 - 71% are between the ages of 15-17 years old.
 - 56% were on the run less than 60 days during the review period.
 - 19% are legally free youth.
 - 56% have been in out-of-home care two years or more.



Children's Administration

March 2015

Frequency of Youth on Runaway Status

The percentage of youth who run from out-of-home care placements during the reporting period will decrease.



Date	Statewide Performance	Full Compliance Measure	Total Youth	Total Youth with Runs	Region 1		Region 2		Region 3	
					Percent	Total Youth	Percent	Total Youth	Percent	Total Youth
CY2014	3.00%	2.35%	12984	394	2.50%	4042	3.40%	4113	3.20%	4829
FY2014	3.10%	2.35%	13046	399	2.80%	4012	3.10%	4161	3.20%	4873
CY2013	3.60%	2.35%	12344	445	3.10%	3828	3.90%	3884	3.80%	4632
FY2013	3.50%	2.35%	12182	429	3.00%	3709	4.10%	3840	3.40%	4633
CY2012	3.27%	2.35%	12708	415	2.45%	3837	4.04%	3982	3.27%	4889
FY2012	3.19%	2.35%	13023	415	2.44%	3896	4.02%	4107	3.09%	5020
CY2011	3.15%	2.35%	12989	409	2.63%	3799	4.06%	4139	2.79%	5051
FY2011	3.20%	2.35%	13561	434	2.65%	3845	3.92%	4462	2.99%	5254
FY2010	2.7%	2.0%	15115	411	Regional Breakout Data Not Available Prior to FY2011					
FY2009	3.4%	2.5%	Data Not Readily Available							
FY2008	3.6%	3.0%								
FY2007	4.1%	3.5%								
FY2006	4.1%	4.0%								
FY2005	4.0%									

Measure definition	Percentage of youth in out-of-home care for at least 30 days who run from out-of-home care placements during the annual reporting period.
Data source	FamLink
Data supplier	Lee Doran, Lead Analyst, Children's Administration Data Management and Reporting Section
Period	CY2014
Data notes	<p>This measure is changed in the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement. See date note #3.</p> <p>1 Population includes children and youth ages 11-18 who were in out-of-home care 30 days or more during the annual reporting period and under CA Placement & Care Authority.</p> <p>2 Measure evaluates the percentage of youth on runaway status that started during the previous 12-month calendar or fiscal year.</p> <p>3 Full compliance measure changed from 2.0% to 2.35%</p> <p>4 While validating data for the March 2012 semi-annual performance report, it was discovered that the FY2011 run population included youth that were not in the Braam class. These youth were removed and the performance rate was recalculated.</p> <p>5 The red line on the statewide performance chart indicates the start of the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement.</p> <p>6 Compliance with this outcome requires the full compliance measure to be met. In addition, for full compliance to be met, the data must indicate that less than 2.59% of children in foster care in each region ran from out-of-home placements.</p>





Outcome 21: Median Number of Days Youth are on Runaway Status

The median number of days that youth are on runaway status will decrease.



SUMMARY

- The median number of days youth were on runaway status for CY2014 was 39.5 days which is a increase of 9.5 days from FY2014.
- Region 3 saw a decrease of 4 days from the previous reporting period, while Region 1 and 2 both saw an increase.
- CA recognizes the potential threats to the safety of youth on the run and remains committed to continuing to decrease the number of youth who run and their time on the run. Efforts include actively engaging with youth, family members, and caregivers; collaborating with law enforcement and other professionals; building on successful practices to intervene and avert future runs; and continuing to conduct monthly quality assurance review activities.



Children's Administration

March 2015

Median Number of Days Youth are on Runaway Status

The median number of days that youth are on runaway status will decrease.



Date	Statewide Performance	Full Compliance Measure	Total Youth	Region 1		Region 2		Region 3	
				Days	Total Youth	Days	Total Youth	Days	Total Youth
CY2014	39.5	25.0	303	31.0	81	42.0	105	41.0	117
FY2014	30.0	25.0	311	23.0	89	26.0	105	45.0	117
CY2013	43.0	25.0	345	48.0	96	38.5	118	46.0	131
FY2013	53.5	25.0	338	53.5	90	41.0	123	61.0	125
CY2012	59.0	25.0	333	66.0	81	52.0	129	61.0	123
FY2012	47.0	25.0	335	59.5	76	35.0	134	53.0	125
CY2011	48.0	25.0	323	36.0	75	42.0	131	59.0	117
FY2011	32.0	25.0	347	27.5	80	35.0	138	35.0	129
FY2010	24	25	312	Regional Breakout Data Not Available Prior to FY2011					
FY2009	27	30							
FY2008	33	35	Data Not Readily Available						
FY2007	39	40							
FY2006	42	45							
FY2005	43								

Measure definition	Median number of days that youth are on runaway status during the annual reporting reporting period.
Data source	FamLink
Data supplier	Lee Doran, Lead Analyst, Children's Administration Data Management and Reporting Section
Period	CY2014
	This measure is unchanged in the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement.
Data notes	<p>1 Population includes children and youth age of 11-18 years old who were in out-of-home care 30 days or more on the last day of the annual reporting period and under CA Placement & Care Authority.</p> <p>2 Measure evaluates the median number of day's youth were on runaway status during the annual reporting period; rounded to the nearest whole number.</p> <p>3 The red line on the statewide performance chart indicates the start of the Revised Settlement and Exit Agreement.</p> <p>4 Compliance with this outcome requires the full compliance measure to be met. In addition, the data must indicate that the median number of days that children are on runaway status in each region must be less than 30.0 during the 12-month period measuring full compliance.</p>

Appendix A


Children's Administration

March 2015

PERFORMANCE MEASURE




Outcome 11: Caregiver Information

Licensed caregivers will receive appropriate and timely information about the needs of children placed with them.



SUMMARY

- 884 placements statewide were reviewed in January 2015 to ensure the Child Information Placement Referral form was provided to the caregiver within the required timeframe.
- 88% of caregivers received the Child Information Placement Referral form within the required timeframe.
- Regional QA/CQI staff continue to get no response from staff related to non-compliant cases. **For 18 out of the 103 non-complaint cases (17%), no response was received from field staff or supervisors to determine why the form was not completed timely.**
- The top three reasons identified for non-compliant cases included:
 - Completed but outside the required timeframe (61%)
 - Staff reported they forgot (8%)
 - CIPR was uploaded but not signed by the caregiver (2%)



Appendix A


Children's Administration

Caregiver Information



Performance by Office - Region 1

March 2015

Region 1 Office	Compliant	Non-compliant	No Response
Clarkston	4	0	0
Ellensburg DCFS	4	0	0
Colfax	1	0	0
Region 1 Office	1	0	0
Sunnyside DCFS	4	0	0
Wenatchee	10	1	0
Spokane	76	9	1
Toppenish	8	1	0
Tri-Cities	21	3	0
Yakima	29	5	0
Moses Lake	16	4	0
Colville	6	3	0
Omak	7	4	0
Walla Walla	1	1	0
Region Total	188	31	1



The number identified in the no response column is included in the number of non-compliant cases.




Appendix A


March 2015

Children's Administration Caregiver Information Performance by Office - Region 2

Region 2 Office	Compliant	Non-compliant	No Response
Oak Harbor	1	0	0
Office of Indian Child Welfare	6	0	0
Region 4 Office	1	0	0
White Center DCFS	2	0	0
Everett	40	1	0
King South DCFS	47	1	0
Lynnwood	17	1	0
King East DCFS	18	2	0
Smokey Point	26	3	0
Bellingham	30	4	0
Sky Valley	6	2	0
King West DCFS	10	5	0
Mount Vernon	6	3	0
Martin Luther King Jr. Office	10	6	0
Region 3 Office	3	2	0
Region Total	223	30	0



The number identified in the no response column is included in the number of non-compliant cases.




Appendix A

March 2015

Children's Administration Caregiver Information Performance by Office - Region 3

Region 3 Office	Compliant	Non-compliant	No Response
Forks DCFS	6	0	0
Kelso	12	0	0
Long Beach DCFS	4	0	0
Port Townsend	2	0	0
Region 5 Adoptions	7	0	0
Stevenson	4	0	0
Bremerton	41	1	1
Pierce West Tacoma DCFS	40	1	1
Pierce East Tacoma DCFS	59	2	2
Aberdeen	18	1	0
Vancouver - Columbia	18	1	0
Vancouver - Cascade	31	3	0
Centralia	23	4	3
Pierce South	45	9	9
Shelton	16	4	0
Olympia DCFS (Tumwater)	34	11	1
Port Angeles	10	5	0
Region Total	370	42	17



The number identified in the no response column is included in the number of non-compliant cases.

