

**Braam Outcomes  
For FY11  
Survey of Foster Parents and  
Caregivers In Washington State**

**FY11 Annual Report**  
*(SESRC REPORT 12-013)*

BRM5  
February 27, 2012

Prepared for

**Children's Administration**  
P.O.B. 45710  
1115 Washington Street SE  
Olympia, WA 98504-5710

Submitted by

John Tarnai, Ph.D.  
*Principal Investigator*

Social & Economic Sciences Research Center  
PO Box 644014; Wilson Hall 133  
Washington State University  
Pullman, WA 99164-4014  
509-335-1511  
509-335-0116 (fax)  
SESRC@wsu.edu  
[tarnai@wsu.edu](mailto:tarnai@wsu.edu)

---

---

## Profile

- Title:** **Braam Outcomes for FY11 from the Survey of Foster Parents and Relative Caregivers in Washington State**
- Abstract:** This FY11 annual report presents the survey results and the outcome calculations for the fiscal year 2011 survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State. The SESRC has conducted this telephone survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State annually since 2007. The main purpose of the survey is to obtain information that is helpful to all areas of the Braam Settlement. In developing the original survey design, questionnaire, and procedures, the SESRC consulted with the Braam Oversight Panel, the Foster Parent Association of Washington State (FPAWS), the Children's Administration (CA), foster parent liaisons, and the Braam Survey Advisory Group. The previous surveys were conducted in spring of 2007 (SESRC Data Report #07-048), spring of 2008 (SESRC Data Report #08-044), and the spring of 2009 (SESRC Data Report #09-040). The FY10 survey was conducted quarterly and is based on the two quarterly surveys conducted in the first half of 2010 (which asked questions about the last six months of 2009), and on the two quarterly surveys conducted in the second half of 2010 (which asked questions about the first six months of 2010). The present survey was also conducted quarterly and is based on the four quarterly surveys conducted during 2011.
- Method:** The survey methods included mailing a letter to a random sample of foster parents and relative caregivers prior to the start of the telephone calling. Telephone interviews were then attempted with as many people as could be reached during the survey period. SESRC made use of a computer assisted telephone interview (CATI) system, and a call scheduler to ensure that up to 15 call attempts were made to reach each respondent. The telephone interview averaged 23 minutes in length.
- Results:** For this report, the survey results are based on a total of **1,381** interviews. The cooperation rate for the telephone interview was **88.2%**. The overall response rate was **56.9%**; with a sample error of **± 2.5%**.
- Timeframe:** January through December of 2011  
**Investigator:** John Tarnai, Ph.D.  
**Study Director:** Bruce Austin, M.S.  
**SESRC Report #:** 12-xx  
**SESRC Acronym:** BRM5

**Table of Contents**

	<u>Page</u>
Project Profile .....	i
I. SURVEY IMPLEMENTATION AND OUTCOME MEASUREMENT .....	1
Background and Survey Objectives.....	1
Population and Sample .....	2
Survey Implementation.....	5
Additional Information about the Survey .....	8
Outcome Measurement .....	9
Trends for all Eight Braam Survey Outcomes .....	12
Supplemental Information for Previous Outcome .....	13
FY11 Overall Summary Results .....	14
FY11 Results for Licensed Caregivers and Unlicensed Caregivers .....	15
FY11 Results for all Caregivers by Region .....	16
FY11 Results for Licensed Caregivers by Region .....	17
FY11 Results for Unlicensed Caregivers by Region .....	18
II. CALCULATION OF OUTCOMES	
C.1.1 Adequate training .....	19
C.1.2 Adequate support .....	21
C.1.3 Adequate information .....	25
D.1.5 Medically fragile care.....	29
D.1.3 SAY – Sexually Aggressive Youth .....	34
D.1.4 PAAY – Physically Assaultive / Aggressive Youth .....	38
B.4.1 Behavioral Health Services.....	42
E.2.1 Sibling visits.....	46
Supplemental Information for Previous Outcome - D.1.6 - Monthly Caseworker visits .....	53
III. APPENDICES	
Survey Results for FY11 .....	57
Survey Letter.....	97
Survey Questionnaire .....	98

## I. SURVEY IMPLEMENTATION AND OUTCOME MEASUREMENT

### Background and Survey Objectives

This report describes the implementation and results of the FY11 survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State. This survey is the fifth annual survey conducted to measure selected Braam outcomes. While there have been some changes to the methods and the questionnaire, we have emphasized consistency to ensure comparability of annual results. One fairly major change has been the change from a calendar year basis to a fiscal year basis, and a change in the survey methodology to quarterly implementation. The first two surveys of foster parents and relative caregivers, conducted in 2007 and 2008, asked questions about the previous calendar year and each was conducted over a three-month period. For the FY09 survey it was decided that a quarterly implementation would make it easier for caregivers to respond to questions about the previous six month period, and would also allow the results to be reported for a fiscal year, rather than a calendar year. Thus, beginning with the FY09 survey and continuing with the FY10 survey, questions are based on the previous six month period.

***The present FY11 annual report is thus based on interviews conducted during the 2011 calendar year with questions that asked about caregiver experiences during the 2011 fiscal year which runs from July 2010 to June 2011.***

The main purpose of the survey is to obtain information that is helpful to all areas of the Braam Settlement Agreement related to caregivers' work with foster children and associated outcomes and action steps. \* The foster parent survey addresses all areas of the Braam Settlement Agreement: placement stability, mental health, foster parent training and information, unsafe and inappropriate placements, sibling separation, and services to adolescents. Several types of questions are included in the survey:

- Monitoring- Certain questions in the foster parent survey are being used by the Braam Oversight Panel to monitor Children's Administration's progress toward eight of the outcomes specified in the Braam Implementation Plan. These specific questions are used to calculate outcome data (see section II of this report).

- Informational- Some questions in the foster parent survey are related to the goals of the Braam Settlement Agreement, but will not be used by the Braam Panel to monitor compliance with the agreement. In these areas, these data will be used by Children's Administration, providers, and stakeholders to better understand the experiences of foster parents and inform practice improvement (see section III).

- Demographic and background information- The foster parent survey includes a number of demographic and background questions (see section III).

\* The Braam Settlement Agreement and implementation plan are available online at this website: <http://www.braampanel.org>

In developing the original survey design, questionnaire, and procedures, the SESRC consulted with the Braam Oversight Panel, the Foster Parent Association of Washington State (FPAWS), the Children's Administration (CA), foster parent liaisons, and the Braam Survey Advisory Group. The survey questionnaire was extensively reviewed by foster parents, Braam Panel members, Children's Administration staff, and Braam plaintiffs' attorneys, to ensure that it would provide adequate measures of specific Braam Panel outcomes over time.

### **Population and Sample**

The population for this survey is all foster parents and relative caregivers who cared for a child or children placed in state custody in Washington State during the 2011 fiscal year. The sampling frame for this survey is the list of all foster parents and relative caregivers registered by the State of Washington who provided care to at least one child/youth during the 2011 fiscal year.

The sample plan was designed to represent all six DSHS regions in proportion to their representation in all of Washington State. The sample includes only active caregivers, including those licensed through private agencies and those licensed through the state. The sample also includes those who are unlicensed relative caregivers and registered with the state.

Foster parents who were inactive during the 2011 fiscal year were not included in the survey, even though they had been included in prior surveys. Our previous experience attempting to interview inactive foster parents was not very successful since most inactive foster parents could not be located or did not want to participate in the survey.

Table 1 on the following page displays the caregiver population for each quarterly survey, by region and by type of caregiver.

**Table 1. Survey Population by Type and Region: Caregivers with at least one child in an open placement episode in their care for at least 60 days during the FY11 quarter.**

<b>Quarter 1: Survey Population by Region and Type</b>				
<b>Region</b>	<b>FH (DLR Licensed)</b>	<b>PA (Private Agency)</b>	<b>RP (Unlicensed)</b>	<b>Totals</b>
1	308	76	261	<b>645</b>
2	200	116	207	<b>523</b>
3	230	111	269	<b>610</b>
4	162	135	351	<b>648</b>
5	150	282	418	<b>850</b>
6	374	94	269	<b>737</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1424</b>	<b>814</b>	<b>1775</b>	<b>4013</b>

<b>Quarter 2: Survey Population by Region and Type</b>				
<b>Region</b>	<b>FH (DLR Licensed)</b>	<b>PA (Private Agency)</b>	<b>RP (Unlicensed)</b>	<b>Totals</b>
1	312	78	232	<b>622</b>
2	200	118	205	<b>523</b>
3	232	119	280	<b>631</b>
4	168	139	315	<b>622</b>
5	156	275	420	<b>851</b>
6	379	108	269	<b>756</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1447</b>	<b>837</b>	<b>1721</b>	<b>4005</b>

<b>Quarter 3: Survey Population by Region and Type</b>				
<b>Region</b>	<b>FH (DLR Licensed)</b>	<b>PA (Private Agency)</b>	<b>RP (Unlicensed)</b>	<b>Totals</b>
1	316	73	230	<b>619</b>
2	204	101	192	<b>497</b>
3	239	102	278	<b>619</b>
4	165	147	322	<b>634</b>
5	150	263	391	<b>804</b>
6	387	109	263	<b>759</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1461</b>	<b>795</b>	<b>1676</b>	<b>3932</b>

<b>Quarter 4: Survey Population by Region and Type</b>				
<b>Region</b>	<b>FH (DLR Licensed)</b>	<b>PA (Private Agency)</b>	<b>RP (Unlicensed)</b>	<b>Totals</b>
1	302	74	233	<b>609</b>
2	190	95	196	<b>481</b>
3	209	83	289	<b>581</b>
4	170	141	317	<b>628</b>
5	161	252	359	<b>772</b>
6	380	92	270	<b>742</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1412</b>	<b>737</b>	<b>1664</b>	<b>3813</b>

**Number of Completed Interviews by Quarter, Region and Type.**

<b>Q1 Number of Completed and Partially Completed Telephone Interviews</b>				
<b>Region</b>	<b>FH (DLR Licensed)</b>	<b>PA (Private Agency)</b>	<b>RP (Unlicensed)</b>	<b>Totals</b>
1	26	5	11	<b>42</b>
2	19	11	14	<b>44</b>
3	21	7	28	<b>56</b>
4	11	7	20	<b>38</b>
5	14	24	32	<b>70</b>
6	31	13	20	<b>64</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>314</b>

<b>Q2 Number of Completed and Partially Completed Telephone Interviews</b>				
<b>Region</b>	<b>FH (DLR Licensed)</b>	<b>PA (Private Agency)</b>	<b>RP (Unlicensed)</b>	<b>Totals</b>
1	37	7	16	<b>60</b>
2	19	15	10	<b>44</b>
3	32	12	16	<b>60</b>
4	26	14	23	<b>63</b>
5	21	23	27	<b>71</b>
6	39	14	17	<b>70</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>368</b>

<b>Q3 Number of Completed and Partially Completed Telephone Interviews</b>				
<b>Region</b>	<b>FH (DLR Licensed)</b>	<b>PA (Private Agency)</b>	<b>RP (Unlicensed)</b>	<b>Totals</b>
1	45	6	21	<b>72</b>
2	19	7	8	<b>34</b>
3	29	16	19	<b>64</b>
4	17	18	21	<b>56</b>
5	19	30	23	<b>72</b>
6	47	13	21	<b>81</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>379</b>

<b>Q4 Number of Completed and Partially Completed Telephone Interviews</b>				
<b>Region</b>	<b>FH (DLR Licensed)</b>	<b>PA (Private Agency)</b>	<b>RP (Unlicensed)</b>	<b>Totals</b>
1	42	7	11	<b>60</b>
2	22	8	10	<b>40</b>
3	20	8	16	<b>44</b>
4	17	13	16	<b>46</b>
5	14	27	20	<b>61</b>
6	45	9	15	<b>69</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>320</b>

## Survey Implementation

**Survey Procedures.** Several procedures were implemented that helped to build a rapport with respondents and to ensure that respondents felt comfortable with the survey. Information about the survey was placed on the BRAAM website and the DSHS website just as the survey data collection period was getting underway. The SESRC telephone interviewers were provided with a "Frequently Asked Questions" reference sheet to help answer questions that foster parents may have about the survey. An advance letter was sent to all foster parents and relative caregivers in the sample approximately one week before the beginning of telephone interviews. The purpose of the advance letter was to let foster parents know that a SESRC interviewer would be calling and to inform them about the topic of the survey. A toll-free 800-telephone number that foster parents could call in to ask questions about the survey was maintained during the calling period.

A particular concern for this survey was to put in place safeguards to guarantee confidentiality of survey responses and anonymity in survey results. To ensure that survey respondents cannot be identified from their survey responses the SESRC follows federal guidelines for protection of human subjects and maintains the survey dataset in a secure location at SESRC. The survey dataset will not be released without prior written approval from the Braam Oversight Panel.

**Telephone Interviews.** Interviews for the fiscal year 2011 survey were conducted quarterly in four waves. The first quarterly survey of caregivers who provided care between July and September 2010 was conducted between January and March 2011. The second quarterly survey of caregivers who provided care between October and December 2010 was conducted between April and June 2011. The third quarterly survey of caregivers was conducted between July and September 2011. The fourth quarterly survey of caregivers was conducted between October and December 2011. All call attempts were made at different times of the day and on different days of the week in order to maximize the likelihood of reaching respondents. At least three evening call attempts were made and two daytime call attempts. At least one call attempt was made on the weekend. If an interviewer called at an inconvenient time for the respondent, the interviewer attempted to schedule a specific time to re-contact the household for an interview. If an answering machine was encountered, interviewers were instructed to leave a scripted answering machine message. If a message was left, the case was held for three days and then called on again. Only one AM message was left per case. The average length for a completed interview was 23 minutes. Interviewers made an average of fifteen call attempts per hour during the calling period.

**Response Rates.** For the interviews conducted during the FY11 survey, the final cooperation rate achieved was 88.2% and the response rate achieved was 57%. The cooperation rate indicates the percent of people who are contacted for an interview that agree to participate in the survey. The response rate indicates the percent of people in the total sample, minus the ineligible respondents, that participate in the survey. The calculation of both measures is based on the suggested definitions and procedures defined by the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR).

The table below displays the quarterly sample disposition and response rates achieved, as well as the overall FY11 results.

	<b>Total Sample Disposition</b>	<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q4</b>	<b>FY11 Total</b>
CM	Completed Interviews	303	363	375	311	1352
PC	Partial Interviews	11	5	4	9	29
RF	Refusals	44	57	47	37	185
NC	Non Interview, unknown eligibility	279	258	225	311	1073
OT	Other Non Contact	0	0	2	1	3
IE	Ineligible	121	75	105	89	390
	<b>Total</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>3032</b>

e	Estimated Eligibility Proportion	0.747	0.85	0.8	0.8	0.8
<b>CR</b>	= (CM+PC)/(CM+PC+RF)	<b>87.7%</b>	<b>86.6%</b>	<b>89.0%</b>	<b>89.6%</b>	<b>88.2%</b>
<b>RR4</b>	= (CM+PC)/(CM+PC+RF+OT+e*NC)	<b>55.4%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>62.3%</b>	<b>52.7%</b>	<b>56.9%</b>

The cooperation rate and the response rate achieved in this study are higher than last year's rates and are higher than what is generally achieved in public opinion surveys. These high rates reflect what our telephone interviewers experienced in conducting the telephone interviews – that foster parents and relative caregivers were highly interested in the survey and in offering their views on the issues addressed in the survey. The high cooperation and response rate are also due to the efforts of the SESRC data collection supervisors and interviewers in contacting respondents at various times throughout the day and on various days throughout the week during the survey period to ensure that people who were not at home would still have an opportunity to participate in the survey. This high response rate ensures that the survey results are truly representative of the population of all foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State.

Current phone numbers were not available for some foster parents and caregivers but this is not an indication that DSHS is unable to contact children and youth in out-of-home care. Explanations for the non-working and missing phone numbers include that the caregivers may have discontinued providing care and their phone numbers had changed, phone numbers may have changed between the time the sample was pulled and the caregiver was called, or the numbers could have been misdialed or entered incorrectly into FamLink. In previous surveys SESRC returned nonworking numbers to Children's Administration several times during each survey so that numbers could be checked and corrected. We have not had to do so for the most recent quarterly surveys because of the relatively small number of non-working and missing telephone numbers.

**Sample Error.** Sample error is a measure of the degree to which a randomly selected sample of respondents represents the population from which it is drawn, at a given level of confidence (usually 95%). The survey sample was designed to ensure that the maximum sample error for licensed caregivers and for unlicensed caregivers was no larger than plus or minus 5%, and for regional results was no larger than plus or minus 10% at a 95% confidence level. The survey achieved and exceeded these objectives. The maximum sample error for the entire survey is no larger than plus or minus 2.4%. This means that we can have 95% confidence that sample results do not deviate from the population of all caregivers in the state by more than 2.4% for questions with two response categories and 1,381 respondents. Sample errors vary for each question, with the result dependent on the number of response categories, the population size, and the number of respondents answering the question.

### **Additional Information about the Survey**

- Caregivers who did not care for a child in foster care/kinship care during the quarter previous to the survey were excluded from the survey.
- Foster parents with multiple children in the home were asked to answer survey questions about only one child. The child selected was the one who had spent longer than 60 days in the home during the last half of 2010 or the first half of 2011. If there was more than one such child in the household, then parents were allowed to select which child to answer questions about.
- Caregivers who cared only for children who were adopted or in a guardianship (not children remaining in foster/kinship care) during the last half of 2010 or first half of 2011 were excluded from the survey.
- Only caregivers whose children had spent longer than 60 days in the home during the quarter previous to the survey were eligible to participate in the survey.
- The survey included explicit definitions for certain terms used in the interviews (e.g., “medically fragile,” “physically assaultive or physically aggressive youth” and “sexually aggressive youth”).
- Interviewers were provided with responses to frequently asked questions (FAQs) about the survey to ensure that all respondents would get the same information about the survey.
- Children’s Administration policy as of September 1, 2008 requires monthly visits for all children in out-of-home care. These visits include individual and private time with the child to discuss the child’s situation. For children who are non-verbal, this individual and private part of the monthly visit is not expected.
- A substantial change was made to the caregiver questionnaire between Q1 and Q2 of FY10 in questions about sibling visits and contacts which enter into the calculation for one Braam outcome (E.2.1). Previous surveys asked about the foster child’s visits and contacts with all of their siblings combined who were not placed with their caregiver. The questionnaire used for the last three quarters of FY10 was significantly changed so that these questions were now asked about each of the siblings that a foster child had, with the possibility of asking questions for up to nine siblings.

## Outcome Measurement

**Introduction.** A number of specific questions in the foster parent survey are being used by the Braam Oversight Panel to monitor Children's Administration's progress toward the following eight outcomes specified in the Braam Revised Implementation Plan:

*Outcomes related to Foster Parent Training and Information (note: pursuant to the Braam Revised Implementation Plan, these measures apply to licensed caregivers only):*

- C.1.1 Percentage of caregivers reporting adequate training for their roles and responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).
- C.1.2 Percentage of caregivers reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to crisis support, timely notification about case planning meetings, and cultural competency resources).
- C.1.3 Percentage of caregivers reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to behavioral, medical, developmental and educational needs).

*Outcomes related to Unsafe and Inappropriate Placements (applies to both licensed and unlicensed caregivers):*

- D.1.5 Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.
- D.1.3 Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.
- D.1.4 Children identified as physically assaultive or aggressive (PAAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.

*Outcomes related to Mental Health (applies to both licensed and unlicensed caregivers):*

- B.4.1 Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.

*Outcomes related to Sibling Separation (applies to both licensed and unlicensed caregivers):*

- E.2.1 Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.

This report describes which survey questions are included in each Braam outcome, and how the survey responses enter into the calculation of each outcome. The outcome measurement and calculation is done at the request of the Braam Panel and after discussion with all stakeholders in the Braam Settlement Agreement.

There are four guiding principles in the development of these outcome measures:

- (1) that the measurement is done accurately;
- (2) that it is fair to all stakeholders;
- (3) that it be reliable;
- (4) that the calculation be easily understood and explainable to others.

**Methodology.** The procedure for obtaining an outcome measurement has five basic steps:

- (1) Identify the relevant survey questions for each outcome.
- (2) Code all responses to each question to be included in a outcome, as being:
  - In compliance
  - Out of compliance
  - Not applicable
- (3) Obtain the frequency of each code for every question in the outcome, and calculate averages for those questions to be combined.
- (4) Calculate the outcome for each question according to the following formula:

$$\frac{\# \text{ In compliance}}{\# \text{ In compliance} + \# \text{ Out of compliance}}$$

- (5) Calculate the outcome for subgroups and regions:
  - Active foster parents
  - Relative caregivers
  - Six regions

**Decision Rules.** The following pages summarize the outcomes results, and also describe the calculation of each of the eight Braam outcomes and the questions included in the measurement of each outcome.

### Notes to the Calculations

- Survey questions asked about experiences in either the last half of 2010 (July through December) or the first half of 2011 (January through June), and all outcomes are based on survey results for fiscal year 2011.
- Results are presented separately for licensed and unlicensed caregivers, since some outcomes only apply to licensed caregivers, and others apply to all caregivers.
- While the total number of survey respondents is 1,381 some questions did not apply to some foster parents and relative caregivers. Thus, the total number of responses to any specific question will be less than 1,381 and in some cases much less.
- The data tables for the outcome measures in this report only show the number of survey respondents that are "in" compliance with the outcome and those that are "out" of compliance with the outcome. The number of respondents for whom the outcome did not apply, or that missed the question is not shown because this can be determined by subtracting the total in each data table from the total number of survey respondents (1,381).
- Most outcomes have screening questions to make sure that they are applied only to the relevant caregivers. These screening questions and the survey data are described in the calculation of each outcome measure.
- For outcome C.1.2 there is one question (Q78) that was not factored into the outcome for the year 2006, because the related policy was not yet in place. In subsequent years, including the current survey, this question is included in calculating the outcome.
- Outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. Thus, for **these three outcomes** the % in compliance in the overall summary results (page 10) and the results for all caregivers by region (page 12) is limited to only **licensed** caregivers.
- The calculation of the outcome for C.1.3 is limited to caregivers with placements in fiscal year 2011. The Braam Panel agreed that only cases for which placement with the current caregiver occurred during fiscal year 2011 (or applicable period) will be factored into this outcome.
- The calculation of the outcome for E.2.1 was significantly changed in fiscal year 2010. The Braam Panel agreed to make this outcome measure consistent with the way the compliance measure is stated, i.e., "children placed apart from their siblings will have two or more monthly visits or contacts ... with some or all of their siblings...".

### Trends for all Eight Braam Survey Outcomes

The table below displays the percent of caregivers meeting each of the Braam outcome criteria for surveys conducted in years 2007 through 2009, and in FY09, FY10\*, and FY11

Type	Outcome	2007	2008	2009	FY09	FY10	FY11
		%	%	%	%	%	%
<b>Licensed</b>	<b>C.1.1 Adequate Training</b>	88.6%	86.4%	85.9%	85.9%	85.2%	87.8%
	<b>C.1.2 Adequate Support</b>	76.3%	75.6%	71.5%	71.9%	76.6%	79.2%
	<b>C.1.3 Adequate Information</b>	72.8%	72.4%	72.3%	75.4%	81.8%	82.0%
	<b>D.1.5 Medically Fragile</b>	77.9%	77.9%	88.4%	83.2%	86.7%	90.9%
	<b>D.1.3 SAY</b>	50.8%	57.5%	72.7%	74.6%	75.4%	82.4%
	<b>D.1.4 PAAY</b>	50.8%	51.2%	53.4%	55.8%	59.9%	72.1%
	<b>B.4.1 Behavioral Health Svcs</b>	74.4%	86.6%	94.8%	95.2%	95.5%	94.0%
	<b>E.2.1 Sibling Visits &amp; Contacts</b>	45.4%	51.0%	51.2%	49.8%	50.4%	46.7%
<b>**Unlicensed</b>	<b>C.1.1 Adequate Training</b>	80.4%	71.2%	86.0%	87.0%	86.3%	88.7%
	<b>C.1.2 Adequate Support</b>	72.3%	74.7%	71.9%	68.6%	72.5%	72.8%
	<b>C.1.3 Adequate Information</b>	74.9%	70.4%	74.6%	75.7%	79.7%	84.2%
	<b>D.1.5 Medically Fragile</b>	68.8%	69.6%	81.0%	85.7%	83.3%	91.7%
	<b>D.1.3 SAY</b>	12.3%	38.5%	28.6%	20.0%	0.0%	76.4%
	<b>D.1.4 PAAY</b>	12.3%	14.8%	20.0%	25.0%	30.8%	29.4%
	<b>B.4.1 Behavioral Health Svcs</b>	78.0%	93.5%	95.7%	96.2%	90.0%	93.3%
	<b>E.2.1 Sibling Visits &amp; Contacts</b>	54.8%	55.2%	61.6%	63.9%	56.1%	56.8%
<b>Combined Total</b>	<b>C.1.1 Adequate Training</b>	86.7%	82.3%	85.9%	86.1%	85.3%	88.0%
	<b>C.1.2 Adequate Support</b>	74.9%	75.3%	71.6%	71.1%	75.7%	77.3%
	<b>C.1.3 Adequate Information</b>	73.5%	71.7%	73.0%	75.4%	81.4%	82.7%
	<b>D.1.5 Medically Fragile</b>	74.9%	75.1%	87.6%	83.5%	86.3%	91.0%
	<b>D.1.3 SAY</b>	44.7%	55.0%	68.5%	70.3%	70.5%	79.2%
	<b>D.1.4 PAAY</b>	44.7%	44.7%	50.0%	52.9%	57.5%	66.1%
	<b>B.4.1 Behavioral Health Svcs</b>	75.4%	88.8%	95.0%	95.4%	94.5%	93.8%
	<b>E.2.1 Sibling Visits &amp; Contacts</b>	48.4%	52.4%	53.7%	52.8%	51.6%	49.8%

\*Note that because of the transition from a calendar year report to a fiscal year report, the FY09 column includes half of the interviews reported in the 2009 column.

\*\*Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.

### Supplemental Information for Previous Outcome

**Introduction.** There is one outcome that is informational only and is included in this report because it was originally included as one of the nine Braam Outcomes measured by the survey of foster parents and caregivers.

***D.1.6 = Percentage of caregivers reporting that children receive a private and individual face-to-face visit from the caseworker for each full placement month.***

#### Trend for D.1.6

The table below displays the percent of caregivers meeting this informational outcome for surveys conducted in years 2007 through 2011.

		2007	2008	2009	FY09	FY10	FY11
		%	%	%	%	%	%
<b>Region</b>							
<b>Licensed</b>	<b>1</b>	37.8%	40.9%	68.3%	68.6%	82.5%	87.6%
	<b>2</b>	39.3%	39.3%	68.2%	65.2%	80.0%	80.2%
	<b>3</b>	41.1%	40.3%	64.8%	72.5%	78.2%	83.2%
	<b>4</b>	39.5%	39.3%	68.8%	70.1%	79.4%	86.7%
	<b>5</b>	36.7%	33.1%	61.0%	76.4%	77.8%	82.7%
	<b>6</b>	36.1%	48.3%	67.7%	71.9%	84.1%	81.4%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>40.7%</b>	<b>66.4%</b>	<b>71.0%</b>	<b>80.7%</b>	<b>83.6%</b>
<b>Unlicensed</b>	<b>1</b>	47.8%	51.1%	73.0%	69.8%	84.2%	78.9%
	<b>2</b>	32.7%	49.2%	65.1%	61.3%	82.9%	85.4%
	<b>3</b>	34.6%	47.9%	80.9%	78.6%	81.2%	83.1%
	<b>4</b>	33.3%	43.1%	70.7%	75.0%	70.4%	87.0%
	<b>5</b>	37.3%	45.5%	76.7%	68.9%	92.6%	82.2%
	<b>6</b>	38.7%	48.2%	81.4%	80.4%	85.7%	86.8%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>37.6%</b>	<b>47.6%</b>	<b>75.4%</b>	<b>73.4%</b>	<b>81.8%</b>	<b>83.8%</b>
<b>Combined Total</b>	<b>1</b>	41.2%	45.0%	69.7%	68.9%	82.8%	85.5%
	<b>2</b>	37.0%	42.9%	67.3%	64.5%	80.7%	81.5%
	<b>3</b>	38.3%	43.7%	70.4%	74.5%	79.1%	83.2%
	<b>4</b>	36.9%	40.7%	69.3%	71.4%	76.7%	86.8%
	<b>5</b>	36.9%	37.1%	65.3%	74.8%	79.8%	82.5%
	<b>6</b>	36.9%	48.3%	70.9%	73.4%	84.4%	82.7%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>37.9%</b>	<b>43.2%</b>	<b>68.9%</b>	<b>71.6%</b>	<b>80.9%</b>	<b>83.7%</b>

## FY11 Overall Summary Results

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion
<b>C.1.1</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate training for their role responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).	87.8%
<b>C.1.2</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to crisis support, timely notification about case planning meetings, and cultural competency resources).	79.2%
<b>C.1.3</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to behavioral, medical, developmental and educational needs).	82.0%
<b>D.1.5</b> Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.	91.0%
<b>D.1.3</b> Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	79.2%
<b>D.1.4</b> Children identified as physically assaultive or aggressive (PAAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	66.1%
<b>B.4.1</b> Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.	93.8%
<b>E.2.1</b> Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.	49.8%

**FY11  
Results for Licensed Caregivers  
And Unlicensed Caregivers**

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion	
	Licensed Caregivers	Unlicensed Caregivers
<b>C.1.1</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate training for their role responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).	87.8%	88.7%
<b>C.1.2</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole.	79.2%	72.8%
<b>C.1.3</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole.	82.0%	84.2%
<b>D.1.5</b> Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.	90.9%	91.7%
<b>D.1.3</b> Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	82.4%	76.4%
<b>D.1.4</b> Children identified as physically assaultive or aggressive (PAAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	72.1%	29.4%
<b>B.4.1</b> Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.	94.0%	93.3%
<b>E.2.1</b> Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.	46.7%	56.8%

*\*Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.*

**FY11  
Results for All Caregivers by Region**

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion By Region					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>C.1.1</b> Adequate Training of Licensed Caregivers*	87.9%	92.7%	85.6%	89.3%	89.7%	84.7%
<b>C.1.2</b> Adequate Support of Licensed Caregivers*	79.9%	80.1%	72.5%	74.7%	76.4%	80.0%
<b>C.1.3</b> Adequate Information for Licensed Caregivers*	84.1%	81.9%	77.5%	83.3%	84.2%	84.1%
<b>D.1.5</b> Medically Fragile Care	83.3%	96.2%	91.7%	100.0%	95.7%	87.9%
<b>D.1.3</b> Sexually Aggressive Youth	100.0%	84.6%	75.0%	85.7%	92.3%	53.8%
<b>D.1.4</b> Physically Assaultive Youth	61.9%	91.7%	68.8%	70.0%	65.4%	58.3%
<b>B.4.1</b> Behavioral Health Services	94.2%	91.4%	93.0%	97.7%	95.1%	92.0%
<b>E.2.1</b> Sibling Visits and Contact	45.3%	49.6%	47.7%	46.3%	57.0%	50.5%

*\*Note that this table combines results for licensed as well as unlicensed caregivers.*

*\*\*Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.*

**FY11  
Results for Licensed Caregivers by Region**

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>% Meeting Criterion By Region</b>					
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>C.1.1</b> Adequate Training of Licensed Caregivers	88.2%	93.8%	85.0%	87.5%	90.9%	83.7%
<b>C.1.2</b> Adequate Support of Licensed Caregivers	81.1%	81.0%	74.9%	76.9%	81.3%	79.3%
<b>C.1.3</b> Adequate Information for Licensed Caregivers	81.9%	82.3%	74.1%	86.8%	84.8%	81.5%
<b>D.1.5</b> Medically Fragile Care	82.5%	96.2%	95.0%	100.0%	93.3%	86.2%
<b>D.1.3</b> Sexually Aggressive Youth	100.0%	84.6%	100.0%	85.7%	92.3%	58.3%
<b>D.1.4</b> Physically Assaultive Youth	60.0%	91.7%	90.9%	77.8%	78.9%	60.6%
<b>B.4.1</b> Behavioral Health Services	95.2%	88.9%	94.7%	96.4%	97.4%	91.8%
<b>E.2.1</b> Sibling Visits and Contact	44.4%	48.4%	46.0%	37.3%	51.8%	49.3%

**FY11  
Results for Unlicensed Caregivers by Region**

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion By Region					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>C.1.1</b> Adequate Training of Licensed Caregivers	86.2%	88.0%	87.5%	94.6%	86.4%	90.9%
<b>C.1.2</b> Adequate Support of Licensed Caregivers	76.0%	77.0%	68.1%	70.8%	67.8%	82.3%
<b>C.1.3</b> Adequate Information for Licensed Caregivers	89.5%	80.9%	82.5%	77.9%	83.3%	90.4%
<b>D.1.5</b> Medically Fragile Care	87.5%	-*	75.0%	-*	100.0%	100.0%
<b>D.1.3</b> Sexually Aggressive Youth	100.0%	84.6%	60.0%	85.7%	92.3%	50.0%
<b>D.1.4</b> Physically Assaultive Youth	100.0%	-*	20.0%	0.0%	28.6%	33.3%
<b>B.4.1</b> Behavioral Health Services	90.0%	100.0%	89.5%	100.0%	91.3%	92.9%
<b>E.2.1</b> Sibling Visits and Contact	48.6%	53.3%	51.2%	60.9%	66.2%	53.6%

*\*There were no unlicensed caregivers in these regions who reported caring for a "medically fragile child" or a "physically assaultive or aggressive youth."*

*\*\*Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.*

**II. CALCULATION OF OUTCOMES**

**C.1.1 Percentage of licensed caregivers reporting adequate training for their role responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).**

This outcome is measured by one question (Q27). This question asks foster parents to think about **all** of their training in the last three years and comment on its adequacy. Although Q27 asks foster parents to consider only their training within the past three years, the outcome does not include this time limitation. According to the Implementation Plan, this outcome applies to all licensed caregivers. For the purposes of calculating this outcome, responses of “already prepared” and “have not had training” are counted as not applicable.

**C.1.1 Outcome Results**

**Q27 Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? \* BQ27 Outcome \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator		BQ27 Outcome		Total	
		In	Out		
Licensed	Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home?	Very adequately	383	0	383
		Somewhat adequately	407	0	407
		Somewhat inadequately	0	82	82
		Or very inadequately	0	28	28
		Total	790	110	900
Unlicensed	Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home?	Very adequately	124	0	124
		Somewhat adequately	81	0	81
		Somewhat inadequately	0	13	13
		Or very inadequately	0	13	13
		Total	205	26	231
Total	Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home?	Very adequately	507	0	507
		Somewhat adequately	488	0	488
		Somewhat inadequately	0	95	95
		Or very inadequately	0	41	41
		Total	995	136	1131

C.1.1

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	150	20	170	88.2%
	<b>2</b>	105	7	112	93.8%
	<b>3</b>	119	21	140	85.0%
	<b>4</b>	98	14	112	87.5%
	<b>5</b>	149	15	164	90.9%
	<b>6</b>	169	33	202	83.7%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>790</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>900</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	25	4	29	86.2%
	<b>2</b>	22	3	25	88.0%
	<b>3</b>	42	6	48	87.5%
	<b>4</b>	35	2	37	94.6%
	<b>5</b>	51	8	59	86.4%
	<b>6</b>	30	3	33	90.9%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>205</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>995</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>1131</b>	<b>88.0%</b>
	<b>1</b>	175	24	199	87.9%
	<b>2</b>	127	10	137	92.7%
	<b>3</b>	161	27	188	85.6%
	<b>4</b>	133	16	149	89.3%
	<b>5</b>	200	23	223	89.7%
	<b>6</b>	199	36	235	84.7%
<b>Total</b>		<b>995</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>1131</b>	<b>88.0%</b>

**C.1.2 Percentage of licensed caregivers reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to crisis support, timely notification about case planning meetings, and cultural competency resources).**

This outcome measure combines a total of seven questions: Q89, Q93, Q94, Q96, Q57, Q58, and Q78, but some questions are averaged so that equal weight is given to each of the four subsections of this outcome: support, cultural competency support, quality of help and support from the agency and social worker, and timely notification about case planning meetings. According to the Implementation Plan, this outcome applies to all licensed caregivers.

\*Note: The results for Q78 were not factored into the outcome in the results for the 2007 survey, because the related policy had not been put in place in 2006. Q78, is however included in the outcome calculations for surveys conducted after 2007.

**C.1.2 Outcome Results**

**Q89 The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2011, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? \* BQ89 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ89 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q89	YES, RIGHT AWAY	260	0	260
	YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE	121	0	121
	NO	0	72	72
Total		381	72	453

**Q93 How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child during 2011? \* BQ93 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ93 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q93	VERY SUPPORTIVE	528	0	528
	SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE	320	0	320
	SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE	0	60	60
	VERY UNSUPPORTIVE	0	68	68
Total		848	128	976

**Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2011? \* BQ94 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ94 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q94	VERY SATISFIED	587	0	587
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	410	0	410
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	0	176	176
	VERY DISSATISFIED	0	168	168
Total		997	344	1341

**Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2011? \* BQ96 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ96 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q96	VERY SATISFIED	526	0	526
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	489	0	489
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	0	193	193
	VERY DISSATISFIED	0	136	136
Total		1015	329	1344

**Q57 During the first half of 2011, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings \* BQ57 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ57 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q57	Yes	924	0	924
	No	0	363	363
Total		924	363	1287

**Q58 In the first half of 2011, did the agency tell you that you have a right to be heard at these hearings?  
\* BQ58 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ58 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q58	Yes	878	0	878
	No	0	385	385
Total		878	385	1263

**Q78 During the first half of 2011 how often did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about these shared case planning meetings? \* BQ78 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ78 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q78	ALWAYS	458	0	458
	MOST OF THE TIME	200	0	200
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	0	103	103
	RARELY	0	71	71
	NEVER	0	38	38
Total		658	212	870

C.1.2 Question #	All Regions Licensed			All Regions Unlicensed		
	A In Compliance	B Out of compliance	C Total	A In Compliance	B Out of compliance	C Total
Q89	282	51	333	99	21	120
Q93	620	94	714	228	34	262
Q94	715	207	922	282	137	419
Q96	724	199	923	291	130	421
Q57	638	246	884	286	117	403
Q58	619	251	870	259	134	393
Q78	456	138	594	202	74	276
<b>Avg 57,58,78</b>	571	212	783	249	108	357
<b>Totals</b>	2912	763	3675	1149	430	1579

C.1.2<sup>1</sup>

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	546	127	673	81.1%
	<b>2</b>	378	88	466	81.0%
	<b>3</b>	418	140	558	74.9%
	<b>4</b>	369	111	480	76.9%
	<b>5</b>	555	127	682	81.3%
	<b>6</b>	646	169	815	79.3%
<b>Total</b>		<b>2912</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>3675</b>	<b>79.2%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	164	52	216	76.0%
	<b>2</b>	116	35	151	77.0%
	<b>3</b>	204	96	300	68.1%
	<b>4</b>	190	78	269	70.8%
	<b>5</b>	263	125	388	67.8%
	<b>6</b>	211	45	256	82.3%
<b>Total</b>		<b>1149</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>1579</b>	<b>72.8%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>4061</b>	<b>1193</b>	<b>5254</b>	<b>77.3%</b>

	<b>1</b>	710	179	888	79.9%
	<b>2</b>	494	123	617	80.1%
	<b>3</b>	623	236	858	72.5%
	<b>4</b>	560	189	749	74.7%
	<b>5</b>	818	252	1070	76.4%
	<b>6</b>	857	214	1072	80.0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>4061</b>	<b>1193</b>	<b>5254</b>	<b>77.3%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Rows and columns may not sum to the identified total due to rounding.

**C.1.3 Percentage of licensed caregivers reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to behavioral, medical, developmental, and educational needs).**

This outcome measure combines a total of eight questions: Q59, Q61, Q64, Q60, Q62, Q65, Q63A, and 63B and all questions are given equal weight. Questions refer to information provided to the caregiver at the time of placement. For some foster parents this will have been earlier than the year we are assessing. *Thus, the Panel has agreed that only cases for which placement with the current caregiver occurred during FY11 will be factored into the outcome.* According to the Implementation Plan, this outcome applies only to licensed caregivers.

**This outcome is restricted to only those foster parents whose children were placed with them in FY11.** Questions related to children’s developmental needs (Q63A& 63B) were added for the survey covering 2007 and subsequent years based on revisions to the Implementation Plan.

**C.1.3 Outcome Results**

Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH) \* Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR) Crosstabulation

Count		Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR)		Total
		2010	2011	
		Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH)		
	Don't know	0	2	2
	January	0	47	47
	February	0	58	58
	March	0	39	39
	April	0	28	28
	May	0	23	23
	June	0	19	19
	July	101	0	101
	August	95	1	96
	September	83	0	83
	October	80	2	82
	November	48	0	48
	December	51	0	51
Total		458	219	677

**Q59 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2011? \* BQ59 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ59 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q59	Strongly agree	426	0	426
	Somewhat agree	148	0	148
	Somewhat disagree	0	41	41
	Strongly disagree	0	41	41
Total		574	82	656

**Q61 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2011? \* BQ61 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ61 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q61	Strongly agree	206	0	206
	Somewhat agree	77	0	77
	Somewhat disagree	0	31	31
	Strongly disagree	0	39	39
Total		283	70	353

**Q63A Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2011? \* BQ63A Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ63A Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q63A	Strongly agree	110	0	110
	Somewhat agree	53	0	53
	Somewhat disagree	0	27	27
	Strongly disagree	0	8	8
Total		163	35	198

**Q64 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral issues in 2011? \* BQ64 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ64 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q64	Strongly agree	123	0	123
	Somewhat agree	58	0	58
	Somewhat disagree	0	24	24
	Strongly disagree	0	20	20
Total		181	44	225

**Q60 If the child was placed with you in 2011, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \*  
BQ60 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ60 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q60	Yes	480	0	480
	No	0	88	88
Total		480	88	568

**Q62 If the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \* BQ62 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ62 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q62	Yes	224	0	224
	No	0	76	76
Total		224	76	300

**Q63B If the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \* BQ63B Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ63B Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q63B	Yes	138	0	138
	No	0	26	26
Total		138	26	164

**Q65 If the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's behavioral issues within 30 days after (she/he) was placed in your home? \* BQ65 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ65 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q65	Yes	149	0	149
	No	0	36	36
Total		149	36	185

C1.3.1 Question #	All Regions Licensed			All Regions Unlicensed		
	A In Compliance	B Out of compliance	C Total	A In Compliance	B Out of compliance	C Total
Q59	368	60	428	206	22	228
Q61	179	50	229	104	20	124
Q64	128	33	161	53	11	64
Q60	303	57	360	177	31	208
Q62	143	47	190	81	29	110
Q65	105	25	130	44	11	55
Q63A	112	24	136	51	11	62
Q63B	92	18	110	46	8	54
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1430</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>1744</b>	<b>762</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>905</b>

C.1.3.1

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	271	60	331	81.9%
	<b>2</b>	144	31	175	82.3%
	<b>3</b>	186	65	251	74.1%
	<b>4</b>	204	31	235	86.8%
	<b>5</b>	312	56	368	84.8%
	<b>6</b>	313	71	384	81.5%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1430</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>1744</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	119	14	133	89.5%
	<b>2</b>	55	13	68	80.9%
	<b>3</b>	141	30	171	82.5%
	<b>4</b>	120	34	154	77.9%
	<b>5</b>	185	37	222	83.3%
	<b>6</b>	142	15	157	90.4%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>762</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>905</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>2192</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>2649</b>	<b>82.7%</b>
	<b>1</b>	390	74	464	84.1%
	<b>2</b>	199	44	243	81.9%
	<b>3</b>	327	95	422	77.5%
	<b>4</b>	324	65	389	83.3%
	<b>5</b>	497	93	590	84.2%
	<b>6</b>	455	86	541	84.1%
<b>Total</b>		<b>2192</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>2649</b>	<b>82.7%</b>

**D.1.5 Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.**

This outcome has two screen questions (Q33 and Q38). Only foster parents who answer "yes" that they were caring for a child identified by the agency as "medically fragile" (Q33) are included in the calculation for this outcome measure. Q38 is a second screen question. Foster parents who answer "no" or "not sure" that they needed medical care for this child during the year, are considered "in compliance" if Q35 is "yes." Foster parents who answer "yes" to Q38, must also answer "yes" to Q39 to be considered in compliance.

A definition of "medically fragile children" was added to the survey in 2009, along with a third screen question (Q33A). This ensures that only caregivers who cared for children meeting this definition would be included in the outcome calculation.

**D.1.5 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**Q33 In the first half of 2011 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile' \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

			License Indicator		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q33 In the first half of 2011 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile'	Refused	Count	1	0	1
			0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	7	11	18
			0.8%	2.6%	1.3%
	Yes	Count	157	41	198
			16.9%	9.7%	14.6%
	No	Count	766	372	1138
			82.3%	87.7%	84.0%
Total		Count	931	424	1355
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

***Medically Fragile Children are those who have medical conditions that require the availability 24-hour skilled care from a health care professional or specially trained family or foster family member. These conditions may be present all the time or frequently occurring. If the technology, support and services being received by the medically fragile children are interrupted or denied, the child may, without immediate health care intervention, experience death.***

**Q33A Given this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

			License Indicator		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q33A Given this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	2	1	3
			1.3%	2.4%	1.5%
	Yes	Count	78	13	91
			50.0%	31.7%	46.2%
	No	Count	76	27	103
			48.7%	65.9%	52.3%
Total		Count	156	41	197
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	68	11	79
			87.2%	84.6%	86.8%
	No	Count	10	2	12
			12.8%	15.4%	13.2%
Total		Count	78	13	91
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q39 In 2011 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? \* Q38 In the first half of 2011 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator			Q38 In the first half of 2011 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? Yes		Total
Licensed	Q39 In 2011 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	72	72
				94.7%	94.7%
	No	Count	4	4	4
				5.3%	5.3%
Total		Count	76	76	76
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	Q39 In 2011 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	12	12
				100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	12	12	12
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	Q39 In 2011 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	84	84
				95.5%	95.5%
	No	Count	4	4	4
				4.5%	4.5%
Total		Count	88	88	88
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? \* Q38 In the first half of 2011 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator				Q38 In the first half of 2011 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?			Total
				Don't know	Yes	No	
Licensed	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count		66	2	68
				86.8%	100.0%	87.2%	
	No	Count		10	0	10	
			13.2%	0.0%	12.8%		
Total			Count	76	2	78	
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Unlicensed	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	1	10		11
				100.0%	83.3%		84.6%
	No	Count	0	2		2	
			0.0%	16.7%		15.4%	
Total			Count	1	12	13	
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	1	76	2	79
				100.0%	86.4%	100.0%	86.8%
	No	Count	0	12	0	12	
			0.0%	13.6%	0.0%	13.2%	
Total			Count	1	88	2	91
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? \* BQ35 Outcome \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator				BQ35 Outcome		Total
				In	Out	
Licensed	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	68	0	68
				100.0%	0.0%	87.2%
	No	Count	0	10	10	
			0.0%	100.0%	12.8%	
Total			Count	68	10	78
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	10	0	10
				100.0%	0.0%	83.3%
	No	Count	0	2	2	
			0.0%	100.0%	16.7%	
Total			Count	10	2	12
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	78	0	78
				100.0%	0.0%	86.7%
	No	Count	0	12	12	
			0.0%	100.0%	13.3%	
Total			Count	78	12	90
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q39 In 2011 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? \* BQ39 Outcome \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator			BQ39 Outcome		Total	
			In	Out		
Licensed	Q39 In 2011 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	72	0	72
				100.0%	0.0%	94.7%
	Total	No	Count	0	4	4
				0.0%	100.0%	5.3%
			Count	72	4	76
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	Q39 In 2011 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	12		12
				100.0%		100.0%
	Total			Count	12	
				100.0%		100.0%
Total	Q39 In 2011 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	84	0	84
				100.0%	0.0%	95.5%
	Total	No	Count	0	4	4
				0.0%	100.0%	4.5%
			Count	84	4	88
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

D1.5

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	33	7	40	82.5%
	<b>2</b>	25	1	26	96.2%
	<b>3</b>	19	1	20	95.0%
	<b>4</b>	24	0	24	100.0%
	<b>5</b>	14	1	15	93.3%
	<b>6</b>	25	4	29	86.2%
<b>Total</b>		<b>140</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>90.9%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	7	1	8	87.5%
	<b>2</b>	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
	<b>3</b>	3	1	4	75.0%
	<b>4</b>	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
	<b>5</b>	8	0	8	100.0%
	<b>6</b>	4	0	4	100.0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>91.7%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>162</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>91.0%</b>

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
	<b>1</b>	40	8	48	83.3%
	<b>2</b>	25	1	26	96.2%
	<b>3</b>	22	2	24	91.7%
	<b>4</b>	24	0	24	100.0%
	<b>5</b>	22	1	23	95.7%
	<b>6</b>	29	4	33	87.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>91.0%</b>

**D.1.3 Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.**

This outcome, as well as the related foster parent survey questions and decision rules, have been significantly revised from the 2007 survey. In the 2007 survey, SAY and PAAY were combined into a single outcome. Beginning with the 2008 survey, separate outcomes were measured for SAY and PAAY. A definition of "sexually aggressive youth" was added to the survey in 2009, along with a second screen question (Q115A). This ensures that only caregivers who cared for children meeting this definition would be included in the outcome calculation.

For SAY, Q115 is a screen question. Only foster parents who answer "yes" that a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive was placed into their home in FY11 are included in the calculation of this outcome. Answers to both Q114 and Q118 must be YES to be considered in compliance

**D.1.3 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2011, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship. \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2011, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.	Refused	Count	2	1	3
			0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	Don't know	Count	5	2	7
			0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
	Yes	Count	82	10	92
			8.8%	2.4%	6.8%
	No	Count	841	411	1252
			90.4%	96.9%	92.5%
Total		Count	930	424	1354
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

***Sexually Aggressive Youth means those youth who have committed a sexually aggressive act or other violent act that is sexual in nature, whether or not they have been charged. This does not refer to developmentally normal exploration. The sexually aggressive acts should have involved power over the victim through age difference (normally four or more years) or force/coercion.***

**Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	3	1	4
			3.7%	10.0%	4.3%
	Yes	Count	53	4	57
			64.6%	40.0%	62.0%
	No	Count	26	5	31
			31.7%	50.0%	33.7%
Total		Count	82	10	92
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y? \*  
QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator				QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?			Total
				Don't know	Yes	No	
Licensed	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	Yes	Count	1	42	2	45
				100.0%	91.3%	100.0%	91.8%
	No	Count	0	4	0	4	
			0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	8.2%	
Total			Count	1	46	2	49
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	No	Count		4		4
					100.0%		100.0%
	Total			Count		4	4
					100.0%		100.0%
Total	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	Yes	Count	1	42	2	45
				100.0%	84.0%	100.0%	84.9%
	No	Count	0	8	0	8	
			0.0%	16.0%	0.0%	15.1%	
Total			Count	1	50	2	53
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y? \* BQSAY Outcome \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator				BQSAY Outcome		Total
				In	Out	
Licensed	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	Yes	Count	42	2	44
				100.0%	22.2%	86.3%
	No	Count	0	7	7	
			0.0%	77.8%	13.7%	
Total			Count	42	9	51
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	No	Count		4	4
					100.0%	100.0%
	Total			Count		4
					100.0%	100.0%
Total	QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	Yes	Count	42	2	44
				100.0%	15.4%	80.0%
	No	Count	0	11	11	
			0.0%	84.6%	20.0%	
Total			Count	42	13	55
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? \***  
**BQSAY Outcome \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator				BQSAY Outcome		Total
				In	Out	
Licensed	QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count	42	4	46
				100.0%	66.7%	95.8%
	No	Count	0	2	2	
			0.0%	33.3%	4.2%	
Total			Count	42	6	48
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count		4	4
					100.0%	100.0%
	Total			Count		4
					100.0%	100.0%
Total	QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count	42	8	50
				100.0%	80.0%	96.2%
	No	Count	0	2	2	
			0.0%	20.0%	3.8%	
Total			Count	42	10	52
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**D.1.3 SAY**

**D.1.3**

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	3	0	3	100.0%
	<b>2</b>	11	2	13	84.6%
	<b>3</b>	3	0	3	100.0%
	<b>4</b>	6	1	7	85.7%
	<b>5</b>	12	1	13	92.3%
	<b>6</b>	7	5	12	58.3%
<b>Total</b>		<b>42</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>82.4%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	3	0	3	100.0%
	<b>2</b>	11	2	13	84.6%
	<b>3</b>	3	2	5	60.0%
	<b>4</b>	6	1	7	85.7%
	<b>5</b>	12	1	13	92.3%
	<b>6</b>	7	7	14	50.0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>42</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>76.4%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>84</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>79.2%</b>

**D.1.3**

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Combined</b>	<b>1</b>	6	0	6	100.0%
<b>Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</b>	<b>2</b>	22	4	26	84.6%
	<b>3</b>	6	2	8	75.0%
	<b>4</b>	12	2	14	85.7%
	<b>5</b>	24	2	26	92.3%
	<b>6</b>	14	12	26	53.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>79.2%</b>

**D.1.4 Children identified as physically assaultive/aggressive (PAA) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.**

This outcome, as well as the related foster parent survey questions and decision rules, have been significantly revised for the survey covering 2007. In the 2007 survey, SAY and PAA were combined into a single outcome. Beginning with the 2008 survey, separate outcomes were measured for SAY and PAA. A definition of "physically assaultive youth" was added to the survey in 2009, along with a second screen question (Q110A). This ensures that only caregivers who cared for children meeting this definition would be included in the outcome calculation.

For PAA, Q110 is a screen question. Only foster parents who answer "yes" that a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive was placed into their home in FY11 are included in the calculation of this outcome. Answers to both Q109 and Q113 must be YES to be considered in compliance

**D.1.4 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2011 \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2011	Refused	Count	3	2	5
			0.3%	0.5%	0.4%
	Don't know	Count	4	5	9
			0.4%	1.2%	0.7%
	Yes	Count	166	32	198
			17.8%	7.5%	14.6%
	No	Count	757	385	1142
			81.4%	90.8%	84.3%
Total		Count	930	424	1354
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

***Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive means those youth who have been observed to willfully assault a person with the potential of inflicting bodily harm to that person. This definition also includes youth who have made real threats to use a weapon or attempted to inflict harm to a person. Developmental level should be considered. A toddler who bites or hits is not considered physically assaultive.***

**Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	6	1	7
			3.6%	3.1%	3.5%
	Yes	Count	121	18	139
			72.9%	56.2%	70.2%
	No	Count	39	13	52
			23.5%	40.6%	26.3%
Total		Count	166	32	198
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y? \* QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator				QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?			Total
				Don't know	Yes	No	
Licensed	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	0	75	4	79
				0.0%	91.5%	66.7%	88.8%
	No	Count	1	7	2	10	
			100.0%	8.5%	33.3%	11.2%	
Total			Count	1	82	6	89
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count		5	0	5
					45.5%	0.0%	38.5%
	No	Count		6	2	8	
				54.5%	100.0%	61.5%	
Total			Count		11	2	13
					100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	0	80	4	84
				0.0%	86.0%	50.0%	82.4%
	No	Count	1	13	4	18	
			100.0%	14.0%	50.0%	17.6%	
Total			Count	1	93	8	102
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y? \* BQPAY Outcome \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator				BQPAY Outcome		Total
				In	Out	
Licensed	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	75	4	79
				100.0%	13.8%	76.0%
	No	Count	0	25	25	
			0.0%	86.2%	24.0%	
Total			Count	75	29	104
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	5	0	5
				100.0%	0.0%	29.4%
	No	Count	0	12	12	
			0.0%	100.0%	70.6%	
Total			Count	5	12	17
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	80	4	84
				100.0%	9.8%	69.4%
	No	Count	0	37	37	
			0.0%	90.2%	30.6%	
Total			Count	80	41	121
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? \* BQPAY Outcome \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator				BQPAY Outcome		Total
				In	Out	
Licensed	QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Don't know	Count	0	1	1
				0.0%	7.1%	1.1%
		Yes	Count	75	7	82
				100.0%	50.0%	92.1%
	No	Count	0	6	6	
		0.0%	42.9%	6.7%		
Total			Count	75	14	89
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unlicensed	QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count	5	6	11
				100.0%	75.0%	84.6%
	No	Count	0	2	2	
		0.0%	25.0%	15.4%		
Total			Count	5	8	13
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Don't know	Count	0	1	1
				0.0%	4.5%	1.0%
		Yes	Count	80	13	93
				100.0%	59.1%	91.2%
	No	Count	0	8	8	
		0.0%	36.4%	7.8%		
Total			Count	80	22	102
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**D.1.4 PAAY**

**D.1.4**

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	12	8	20	60.0%
	<b>2</b>	11	1	12	91.7%
	<b>3</b>	10	1	11	90.9%
	<b>4</b>	7	2	9	77.8%
	<b>5</b>	15	4	19	78.9%
	<b>6</b>	20	13	33	60.6%
<b>Total</b>		<b>75</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>72.1%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	1	0	1	100.0%
	<b>2</b>	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
	<b>3</b>	1	4	5	20.0%
	<b>4</b>	0	1	1	0.0%
	<b>5</b>	2	5	7	28.6%
	<b>6</b>	1	2	3	33.3%
<b>Total</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>29.4%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>80</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>66.1%</b>

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Combined</b>	<b>1</b>	13	8	21	61.9%
<b>Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</b>	<b>2</b>	11	1	12	91.7%
	<b>3</b>	11	5	16	68.8%
	<b>4</b>	7	3	10	70.0%
	<b>5</b>	17	9	26	65.4%
	<b>6</b>	21	15	36	58.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>66.1%</b>

**B.4.1 Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.**

This outcome has a screen question (Q68). Only foster parents who answer “yes” that during the reference year, this child received mental health services are included in the calculation of this outcome.

An additional question (Q72) related to whether the foster parent feels that the change in clinician or treatment team was necessary to improve/maintain quality of care was added to the calculation of this outcome in the 2008 and later surveys.

**B.4.1 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
			0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
			0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
	Yes	Count	241	91	332
			80.6%	77.8%	79.8%
	No	Count	56	26	82
			18.7%	22.2%	19.7%
Total		Count	299	117	416
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q70 In 2011, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q70 In 2011, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians?	Refused	Count	2	0	2
			0.8%	0.0%	0.6%
	Don't know	Count	1	2	3
			0.4%	2.2%	0.9%
	By just one clinician	Count	152	69	221
			63.1%	75.8%	66.6%
	By a team of clinicians	Count	86	20	106
			35.7%	22.0%	31.9%
Total		Count	241	91	332
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q71 During 2011, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q71 During 2011, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?	Refused	Count	2	0	2
			0.8%	0.0%	0.6%
	Don't know	Count	2	1	3
			0.8%	1.1%	0.9%
The same clinician/ treatment team	Count	183	74	257	
		75.9%	81.3%	77.4%	
Different clinicians/ treatment teams	Count	54	16	70	
		22.4%	17.6%	21.1%	
Total		Count	241	91	332
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child?	Don't know	Count	3	0	3
			5.6%	0.0%	4.3%
	Yes	Count	37	10	47
			68.5%	62.5%	67.1%
	No	Count	14	6	20
			25.9%	37.5%	28.6%
Total		Count	54	16	70
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q71 During 2011, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams? \* BQ71 Outcome \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

License Indicator			BQ71 Outcome		Total	
			In	Out		
Licensed	Q71 During 2011, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?	The same clinician/ treatment team	Count	183	0	183
				83.2%	0.0%	78.2%
	Different clinicians/ treatment teams	Count	37	14	51	
			16.8%	100.0%	21.8%	
Total		Count	220	14	234	
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Unlicensed	Q71 During 2011, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?	The same clinician/ treatment team	Count	74	0	74
				88.1%	0.0%	82.2%
	Different clinicians/ treatment teams	Count	10	6	16	
			11.9%	100.0%	17.8%	
Total		Count	84	6	90	
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	Q71 During 2011, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?	The same clinician/ treatment team	Count	257	0	257
				84.5%	0.0%	79.3%
	Different clinicians/ treatment teams	Count	47	20	67	
			15.5%	100.0%	20.7%	
Total		Count	304	20	324	
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**B.4.1**

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	40	2	42	95.2%
	<b>2</b>	24	3	27	88.9%
	<b>3</b>	36	2	38	94.7%
	<b>4</b>	27	1	28	96.4%
	<b>5</b>	37	1	38	97.4%
	<b>6</b>	56	5	61	91.8%
<b>Total</b>		<b>220</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>94.0%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	9	1	10	90.0%
	<b>2</b>	8	0	8	100.0%
	<b>3</b>	17	2	19	89.5%
	<b>4</b>	16	0	16	100.0%
	<b>5</b>	21	2	23	91.3%
	<b>6</b>	13	1	14	92.9%
<b>Total</b>		<b>84</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>93.3%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>304</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>93.8%</b>

**B.4.1**

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Combined Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</b>	<b>1</b>	49	3	52	94.2%
	<b>2</b>	32	3	35	91.4%
	<b>3</b>	53	4	57	93.0%
	<b>4</b>	43	1	44	97.7%
	<b>5</b>	58	3	61	95.1%
	<b>6</b>	69	6	75	92.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>93.8%</b>

**E.2.1 Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.**

This outcome has three screen questions (Q125, Q126 and Q127). Only foster parents who indicate that the child has a sister or brother ("yes" to Q125), who was not placed in the foster parent's home in 2008 ("no" to Q126), and for whom contact was not disallowed by DCFS or the court ("no" to Q127) are included in the calculation of this outcome.

In the 2007 survey this outcome was measured by a single question which asked about visits and contacts with siblings. Beginning with the 2008 survey this question was separated into two questions, one about visits, and a second question about contacts. Beginning with the second quarterly survey in 2010, these questions were asked of each sibling not placed in the same foster home as the child.

Compliance is based on a total of two or more monthly visits or contacts. Responses are considered in compliance if there were two or more visits per month (Q128), two or more other forms of contact per month (Q128B), or through a combination of visits and contacts (combination of Q128 and Q128B).

**E.2.1 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have?	Refused	Count	4	0	4
			0.4%	0.0%	0.3%
	Don't know	Count	57	7	64
			6.0%	1.6%	4.6%
	None	Count	108	68	176
			11.5%	15.6%	12.8%
	One	Count	171	105	276
			18.1%	24.1%	20.0%
	Two	Count	208	91	299
			22.1%	20.9%	21.7%
	Three	Count	151	84	235
			16.0%	19.3%	17.1%
	Four	Count	113	28	141
			12.0%	6.4%	10.2%
	Five	Count	59	24	83
			6.3%	5.5%	6.0%
	Six	Count	24	15	39
			2.5%	3.4%	2.8%
Seven	Count	15	4	19	
		1.6%	0.9%	1.4%	
Eight	Count	9	1	10	
		1.0%	0.2%	0.7%	
Nine or more	Count	24	8	32	
		2.5%	1.8%	2.3%	
Total		Count	943	435	1378
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126 In the first half of 2011, how many of this child's siblings were placed in your home? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q126 In the first half of 2011, how many of this child's siblings were placed in your home?	Don't know	Count	0	1	
			0.0%	0.3%	0.1%
	None	Count	463	185	648
			59.8%	51.4%	57.1%
	One	Count	226	116	342
			29.2%	32.2%	30.2%
	Two	Count	66	40	106
			8.5%	11.1%	9.3%
	Three	Count	16	16	32
			2.1%	4.4%	2.8%
	Four	Count	2	2	4
			0.3%	0.6%	0.4%
	Five	Count	1	0	1
			0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Total		Count	774	360	1134
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**SIBS Calculated number of siblings NOT placed in respondent's home \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
SIBS Calculated number of siblings NOT placed in respondent's home	1	Count	188	94	282
			27.9%	33.0%	29.4%
	2	Count	166	82	248
			24.6%	28.8%	25.9%
	3	Count	136	52	188
			20.2%	18.2%	19.6%
	4	Count	81	26	107
			12.0%	9.1%	11.2%
	5	Count	45	12	57
			6.7%	4.2%	5.9%
	6	Count	18	8	26
			2.7%	2.8%	2.7%
	7	Count	13	4	17
			1.9%	1.4%	1.8%
	8	Count	13	1	14
			1.9%	0.4%	1.5%
	9	Count	14	6	20
			2.1%	2.1%	2.1%
Total		Count	674	285	959
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**E2.1 Outcome Results – At Least One Sibling – In Compliance Summary**

Type	Region	R1 In	R2 In	R3 In	R4 In	R5 In	R6 In	R7 In
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	38	11	1	4	0	0	1
	<b>2</b>	32	4	4	3	0	1	0
	<b>3</b>	36	2	1	0	1	0	0
	<b>4</b>	25	1	1	1	0	0	0
	<b>5</b>	51	4	3	0	1	0	0
	<b>6</b>	59	4	1	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>241</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	13	2	0	1	0	0	1
	<b>2</b>	11	2	2	1	0	0	0
	<b>3</b>	18	1	1	0	1	0	0
	<b>4</b>	22	4	0	1	1	0	0
	<b>5</b>	37	4	0	0	1	1	0
	<b>6</b>	24	3	1	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>125</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>366</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>
Type	Region	In						
<b>Combined Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</b>	<b>1</b>	51	13	1	5	0	0	2
	<b>2</b>	43	6	6	4	0	1	0
	<b>3</b>	54	3	2	0	2	0	0
	<b>4</b>	47	5	1	2	1	0	0
	<b>5</b>	88	8	3	0	2	1	0
	<b>6</b>	83	7	2	0	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>

**E2.1 Outcome Results – At Least One Sibling**

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	55	69	124	44.4%
	<b>2</b>	44	47	91	48.4%
	<b>3</b>	40	47	87	46.0%
	<b>4</b>	28	47	75	37.3%
	<b>5</b>	59	55	114	51.8%
	<b>6</b>	66	68	134	49.3%
<b>Total</b>		292	333	625	46.7%
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	17	18	35	48.6%
	<b>2</b>	16	14	30	53.3%
	<b>3</b>	21	20	41	51.2%
	<b>4</b>	28	18	46	60.9%
	<b>5</b>	43	22	65	66.2%
	<b>6</b>	30	26	56	53.6%
<b>Total</b>		155	118	273	56.8%
<b>Overall Combined</b>		447	451	898	49.8%
Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Combined Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</b>	<b>1</b>	72	87	159	45.3%
	<b>2</b>	60	61	121	49.6%
	<b>3</b>	61	67	128	47.7%
	<b>4</b>	56	65	121	46.3%
	<b>5</b>	102	77	179	57.0%
	<b>6</b>	96	94	190	50.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	447	451	898	49.8%

**SIBLING 1: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? \* SIBLING 1: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation**

Count

		SIBLING 1: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?									Total
		-2 Refused	-1 Don't know	0 Not at all	1 Less than once a month	2 Once a month	3 Twice a month	4 Three times a month	5 Four times a month	6 More than 4 times a month	
SIBLING 1: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	-2 Refused	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	-1 Don't know	0	10	2	0	3	0	1	0	0	16
	0 Not at all	1	1	293	18	6	0	1	1	3	324
	1 Less than once a month	0	2	47	45	8	2	0	2	1	107
	2 Once a month	0	0	27	7	25	7	1	1	7	75
	3 Twice a month	1	1	32	6	10	33	3	2	8	96
	4 Three times a month	0	0	12	0	0	3	4	1	5	25
	5 Four times a month	0	0	37	8	6	7	1	14	5	78
6 More than 4 times a month	1	0	28	4	8	5	1	8	60	115	
Total		10	14	478	88	66	57	12	29	89	843

**SIBLING 2: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? \* SIBLING 2: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation**

Count

		SIBLING 2: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?									Total
		-2 Refused	-1 Don't know	0 Not at all	1 Less than once a month	2 Once a month	3 Twice a month	4 Three times a month	5 Four times a month	6 More than 4 times a month	
SIBLING 2: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	-2 Refused	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	-1 Don't know	0	10	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	14
	0 Not at all	0	0	183	12	6	5	0	0	3	209
	1 Less than once a month	0	0	31	34	3	1	0	0	2	71
	2 Once a month	1	1	20	1	14	5	0	3	2	47
	3 Twice a month	0	1	13	2	5	27	1	0	4	53
	4 Three times a month	0	0	8	0	0	1	9	0	3	21
	5 Four times a month	0	0	24	6	5	3	1	8	4	51
6 More than 4 times a month	0	0	14	3	8	4	1	3	44	77	
Total		6	12	294	58	43	47	12	14	62	548

**SIBLING 3: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? \* SIBLING 3: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation**

Count

		SIBLING 3: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?									Total
		-2 Refused	-1 Don't know	0 Not at all	1 Less than once a month	2 Once a month	3 Twice a month	4 Three times a month	5 Four times a month	6 More than 4 times a month	
SIBLING 3: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	-2 Refused	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	-1 Don't know	0	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	0 Not at all	0	0	117	5	2	2	0	0	0	128
	1 Less than once a month	0	0	9	21	1	0	0	0	1	32
	2 Once a month	0	0	10	1	9	0	0	2	0	22
	3 Twice a month	0	1	6	0	2	16	1	0	6	32
	4 Three times a month	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	2	8
	5 Four times a month	0	0	13	6	1	2	0	4	2	28
6 More than 4 times a month	0	0	6	1	3	2	1	1	21	35	
Total		9	10	164	34	18	22	6	7	34	304

**SIBLING 4: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? \* SIBLING 4: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation**

Count

		SIBLING 4: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?									Total
		-2 Refused	-1 Don't know	0 Not at all	1 Less than once a month	2 Once a month	3 Twice a month	4 Three times a month	5 Four times a month	6 More than 4 times a month	
SIBLING 4: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	-2 Refused	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	-1 Don't know	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	0 Not at all	0	0	68	0	1	0	0	0	1	70
	1 Less than once a month	0	0	7	8	1	0	0	0	0	16
	2 Once a month	0	1	3	0	5	0	0	0	1	10
	3 Twice a month	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	2	7
	4 Three times a month	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	4
	5 Four times a month	0	1	5	2	1	2	0	2	2	15
6 More than 4 times a month	0	0	4	2	1	1	0	0	8	16	
Total		4	17	92	12	9	5	2	2	14	157

**SIBLING 5: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? \* SIBLING 5: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation**

Count		SIBLING 5: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?							Total
		-2 Refused	-1 Don't know	0 Not at all	1 Less than once a month	2 Once a month	3 Twice a month	6 More than 4 times a month	
SIBLING 5: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	-2 Refused	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	-1 Don't know	0	10	1	0	0	0	0	11
	0 Not at all	0	1	31	1	1	1	0	35
	1 Less than once a month	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	6
	2 Once a month	0	0	1	0	5	0	1	7
	3 Twice a month	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	3
	4 Three times a month	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	5 Four times a month	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	4
6 More than 4 times a month	0	0	2	0	0	1	4	7	
Total		5	11	41	6	8	2	6	79

**SIBLING 6: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? \* SIBLING 6: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation**

Count		SIBLING 6: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?						Total
		-2 Refused	-1 Don't know	0 Not at all	1 Less than once a month	2 Once a month	6 More than 4 times a month	
SIBLING 6: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	-2 Refused	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	-1 Don't know	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
	0 Not at all	0	1	18	0	0	0	19
	1 Less than once a month	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	2 Once a month	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	3 Twice a month	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	5 Four times a month	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	6 More than 4 times a month	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Total		2	8	22	2	3	3	40

**SIBLING 7: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? \* SIBLING 7: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation**

Count		SIBLING 7: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?					Total
		-2 Refused	-1 Don't know	0 Not at all	1 Less than once a month	6 More than 4 times a month	
SIBLING 7: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	-2 Refused	2	0	0	0	0	2
	-1 Don't know	0	6	0	0	0	6
	0 Not at all	0	1	15	0	0	17
	1 Less than once a month	0	0	0	2	0	2
	3 Twice a month	0	0	2	0	0	2
	6 More than 4 times a month	0	0	0	0	4	4
Total		2	7	17	2	5	33

**SIBLING 8: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? \* SIBLING 8: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation**

Count		SIBLING 8: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?					Total
		-2 Refused	-1 Don't know	0 Not at all	1 Less than once a month	6 More than 4 times a month	
SIBLING 8: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	-2 Refused	2	0	0	0	0	2
	-1 Don't know	0	5	0	0	0	5
	0 Not at all	0	0	10	0	0	10
	1 Less than once a month	0	0	0	2	0	2
		6 More than 4 times a month	0	0	0	0	1
Total		2	5	10	2	1	20

**SIBLING 9: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? \* SIBLING 9: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation**

Count		SIBLING 9: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?			Total
		-1 Don't know	0 Not at all	6 More than 4 times a month	
SIBLING 9: In a typical month in 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	-1 Don't know	3	0	0	3
	0 Not at all	0	6	0	6
	6 More than 4 times a month	0	0	1	1
Total		3	6	1	10

**Supplemental Information for Previous Outcome**

**D.1.6 Percentage of caregivers reporting children receive a private and individual face-to-face visit from the caseworker for each full placement month.**

This informational outcome only has one question (Q53). However, this question was changed between the first and second quarterly surveys in FY10. The combined results are shown below.

**D.1.6 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**Q53N In the first half of 2011, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child \* LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation**

			LICTYPE Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q53N In the first half of 2011, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within LICTYPE Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
	Don't know	Count	7	5	12
		% within LICTYPE Type	.7%	1.7%	.9%
	SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH	Count	160	35	195
		% within LICTYPE Type	15.6%	11.6%	14.7%
	ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	662	208	870
		% within LICTYPE Type	64.4%	68.9%	65.4%
	ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	74	23	97
		% within LICTYPE Type	7.2%	7.6%	7.3%
	ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	47	12	59
		% within LICTYPE Type	4.6%	4.0%	4.4%
	ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS	Count	27	5	32
		% within LICTYPE Type	2.6%	1.7%	2.4%
ONCE IN THE WHOLE YEAR	Count	35	10	45	
	% within LICTYPE Type	3.4%	3.3%	3.4%	
NEVER IN 2011	Count	14	4	18	
	% within LICTYPE Type	1.4%	1.3%	1.4%	
Total	Count	1028	302	1330	
	% within LICTYPE Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q53N In the first half of 2011, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child \* BQ53 Outcome \* LICTYPE Type Crosstabulation**

				BQ53 Outcome		Total
				In	Out	
Licensed	Q53N In the first half of 2011, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child	SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH	Count	160	0	160
			% within BQ53 Outcome	19.5%	.0%	15.7%
		ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	662	0	662
			% within BQ53 Outcome	80.5%	.0%	65.0%
		ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	0	74	74
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	37.6%	7.3%
		ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	0	47	47
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	23.9%	4.6%
		ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS	Count	0	27	27
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	13.7%	2.6%
NOT AT ALL IN FIRST SIX MONTHS	Count	0	35	35		
	% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	17.8%	3.4%		
		NEVER IN 2011	Count	0	14	14

		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	7.1%	1.4%	
	Total	Count	822	197	1019	
		% within BQ53 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Unlicensed	Q53N In the first half of 2011, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child	SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH	Count	35	0	35
			% within BQ53 Outcome	14.4%	.0%	11.8%
		ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	208	0	208
			% within BQ53 Outcome	85.6%	.0%	70.0%
		ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	0	23	23
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	42.6%	7.7%
		ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	0	12	12
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	22.2%	4.0%
	ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS	Count	0	5	5	
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	9.3%	1.7%	
	NOT AT ALL IN FIRST SIX MONTHS	Count	0	10	10	
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	18.5%	3.4%	
	NEVER IN 2011	Count	0	4	4	
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	7.4%	1.3%	
	Total	Count	243	54	297	
		% within BQ53 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	Q53N In the first half of 2011, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child	SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH	Count	195	0	195
			% within BQ53 Outcome	18.3%	.0%	14.8%
		ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	870	0	870
			% within BQ53 Outcome	81.7%	.0%	66.1%
		ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	0	97	97
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	38.6%	7.4%
		ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	0	59	59
			% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	23.5%	4.5%
	ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS	Count	0	32	32	
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	12.7%	2.4%	
	NOT AT ALL IN FIRST SIX MONTHS	Count	0	45	45	
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	17.9%	3.4%	
	NEVER IN 2011	Count	0	18	18	
		% within BQ53 Outcome	.0%	7.2%	1.4%	
	Total	Count	1065	251	1316	
		% within BQ53 Outcome	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	188	40	228	82.5%
	<b>2</b>	104	26	130	80.0%
	<b>3</b>	122	34	156	78.2%
	<b>4</b>	100	26	126	79.4%
	<b>5</b>	133	38	171	77.8%
	<b>6</b>	175	33	208	84.1%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>822</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>1019</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	48	9	57	84.2%
	<b>2</b>	34	7	41	82.9%
	<b>3</b>	56	13	69	81.2%
	<b>4</b>	38	16	54	70.4%
	<b>5</b>	25	2	27	92.6%
	<b>6</b>	42	7	49	85.7%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>243</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>297</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>1065</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>1316</b>	<b>80.9%</b>
Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Combined Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</b>	<b>1</b>	236	49	285	82.8%
	<b>2</b>	138	33	171	80.7%
	<b>3</b>	178	47	225	79.1%
	<b>4</b>	138	42	180	76.7%
	<b>5</b>	158	40	198	79.8%
	<b>6</b>	217	40	257	84.4%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>1065</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>1316</b>

## **APPENDICES**

**Survey Results for FY11**

The survey results are presented in the following pages for all 1,381 foster parents and relative caregivers who participated in the FY11 survey and fully or partially completed the survey. The data are crosstabbed to show results for licensed and unlicensed caregivers, and for the all respondents. Percentages reported in the tables that follow sum to 100% for each column in each table.

Note that the results presented here display the questions as they were asked in the most recent survey (interviews conducted between July and December 2011).

**INTERVIEW RESULT CODE \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
INTERVIEW RESULT CODE	Fully Completed Interview	Count	928	424	1352
		% within Type	98.1%	97.5%	97.9%
	Partial Complete	Count	18	11	29
		% within Type	1.9%	2.5%	2.1%
Total		Count	946	435	1381
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Quarter of FY11 \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Quarter of FY11	FY11 Q1	Count	189	125	314
		% within Type	20.0%	28.7%	22.7%
	FY11 Q2	Count	259	109	368
		% within Type	27.4%	25.1%	26.6%
	FY11 Q3	Count	266	113	379
		% within Type	28.1%	26.0%	27.4%
	FY11 Q4	Count	232	88	320
		% within Type	24.5%	20.2%	23.2%
Total		Count	946	435	1381
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**REGION -- SAMPLE \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
REGION -- SAMPLE	1	Count	175	59	234
		% within Type	18.5%	13.6%	16.9%
	2	Count	120	42	162
		% within Type	12.7%	9.7%	11.7%
	3	Count	145	79	224
		% within Type	15.3%	18.2%	16.2%
	4	Count	123	80	203
		% within Type	13.0%	18.4%	14.7%
	5	Count	173	103	276
		% within Type	18.3%	23.7%	20.0%
	6	Count	210	72	282
		% within Type	22.2%	16.6%	20.4%
Total		Count	946	435	1381
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**PLACEMENT TYPE -- SAMPLE \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
PLACEMENT TYPE -- SAMPLE	DLR	Count	631	0	631
		% within Type	66.7%	0.0%	45.7%
	PA	Count	315	0	315
		% within Type	33.3%	0.0%	22.8%
	Unlicensed	Count	0	435	435
		% within Type	0.0%	100.0%	31.5%
Total		Count	946	435	1381
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q01 In 2011 were you a foster parent or a relative caregiver caring for a child? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q01 In 2011 were you a foster parent or a relative caregiver caring for a child?	Yes	Count	946	435	1381
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	946	435	1381
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for in 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for in 2011?	Don't know	Count	5	0	5
		% within Type	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%
	0	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	1	Count	318	261	579
		% within Type	33.6%	60.0%	41.9%
	2	Count	303	121	424
		% within Type	32.0%	27.8%	30.7%
	3	Count	143	40	183
		% within Type	15.1%	9.2%	13.3%
	4	Count	72	10	82
		% within Type	7.6%	2.3%	5.9%
	5	Count	32	1	33
		% within Type	3.4%	0.2%	2.4%
	6	Count	37	2	39
		% within Type	3.9%	0.5%	2.8%
	7	Count	10	0	10
		% within Type	1.1%	0.0%	0.7%
	8	Count	9	0	9
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.7%
	9	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
	10	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%
	11	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
	12	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
	15	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
	19	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	24	Count	1	0	1
% within Type		0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	
33	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	
Total		Count	946	435	1381
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q03 (Was this child/Were these children) that you cared for in 2011 in a guardianship or adopted by you? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q03 (Was this child/Were these children) that you cared for in 2011 in a guardianship or adopted by you?	Don't know	Count	2	4	6
		% within Type	0.2%	0.9%	0.4%
	Some were and some weren't	Count	94	23	117
		% within Type	9.9%	5.3%	8.5%
	No	Count	850	408	1258
		% within Type	89.9%	93.8%	91.1%
Total		Count	946	435	1381
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q04 Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q04 Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	Yes	Count	945	435	1380
		% within Type	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Total		Count	946	435	1381
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q05 Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed by DCFS, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q05 Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed by DCFS, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during 2011?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	8	0	8
		% within Type	0.8%	0.0%	0.6%
	One	Count	395	275	670
		% within Type	41.8%	63.2%	48.6%
	Two	Count	321	116	437
		% within Type	34.0%	26.7%	31.7%
	Three	Count	133	35	168
		% within Type	14.1%	8.0%	12.2%
	Four	Count	46	7	53
		% within Type	4.9%	1.6%	3.8%
	Five	Count	20	2	22
		% within Type	2.1%	0.5%	1.6%
	Six	Count	13	0	13
		% within Type	1.4%	0.0%	0.9%
	Seven	Count	5	0	5
		% within Type	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%
	Eight	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Nine	Count	2	0	2	
	% within Type	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	
Total		Count	945	435	1380
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q06 Were you licensed through the Division of Licensed Resources, known as DLR, or through a Private Agency, or were you not licensed? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q06 Were you licensed through the Division of Licensed Resources, known as DLR, or through a Private Agency, or were you not licensed?	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	23	5	28
		% within Type	2.4%	1.1%	2.0%
	DLR	Count	620	10	630
		% within Type	65.7%	2.3%	45.7%
	Private Agency	Count	299	3	302
		% within Type	31.7%	0.7%	21.9%
	Not licensed	Count	0	417	417
		% within Type	0.0%	95.9%	30.2%
Total		Count	944	435	1379
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q17 For how many years have you been a Foster Parent or Relative Caregiver? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q17 For how many years have you been a Foster Parent or Relative Caregiver?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	0	Count	14	0	14
		% within Type	1.5%	0.0%	1.5%
	1	Count	165	4	169
		% within Type	18.0%	30.8%	18.2%
	2	Count	111	1	112
		% within Type	12.1%	7.7%	12.0%
	3	Count	93	3	96
		% within Type	10.1%	23.1%	10.3%
	4	Count	80	0	80
		% within Type	8.7%	0.0%	8.6%
	5	Count	51	1	52
		% within Type	5.6%	7.7%	5.6%
	6	Count	67	0	67
		% within Type	7.3%	0.0%	7.2%
	7	Count	40	1	41
		% within Type	4.4%	7.7%	4.4%
	8	Count	39	0	39
		% within Type	4.2%	0.0%	4.2%
	9	Count	27	0	27
		% within Type	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%
	10	Count	28	0	28
		% within Type	3.1%	0.0%	3.0%
	11	Count	24	0	24
		% within Type	2.6%	0.0%	2.6%
	12	Count	17	0	17
		% within Type	1.9%	0.0%	1.8%
	13	Count	9	0	9
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%
14	Count	17	1	18	
	% within Type	1.9%	7.7%	1.9%	
15	Count	16	0	16	
	% within Type	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%	
16	Count	11	0	11	
	% within Type	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%	
17	Count	3	0	3	
	% within Type	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	
18	Count	10	0	10	
	% within Type	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	
19	Count	5	0	5	
	% within Type	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	
20	Count	17	1	18	
	% within Type	1.9%	7.7%	1.9%	
21	Count	7	0	7	
	% within Type	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%	
22	Count	7	0	7	
	% within Type	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%	
23	Count	6	0	6	
	% within Type	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	
24	Count	3	0	3	
	% within Type	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	
25	Count	11	0	11	
	% within Type	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%	
26	Count	7	1	8	
	% within Type	0.8%	7.7%	0.9%	
27	Count	3	0	3	
	% within Type	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	
28	Count	4	0	4	
	% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	
29	Count	2	0	2	
	% within Type	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	
30	Count	4	0	4	
	% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	
31	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	
32	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	

		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
33	Count		1	0	1
	% within Type		0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
34	Count		4	0	4
	% within Type		0.4%	0.0%	0.4%
35	Count		2	0	2
	% within Type		0.2%	0.0%	0.2%
37	Count		1	0	1
	% within Type		0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
38	Count		1	0	1
	% within Type		0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
40	Count		2	0	2
	% within Type		0.2%	0.0%	0.2%
41	Count		1	0	1
	% within Type		0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
43	Count		1	0	1
	% within Type		0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
44	Count		1	0	1
	% within Type		0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
45	Count		1	0	1
	% within Type		0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
46	Count		1	0	1
	% within Type		0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Total	Count		918	13	931
	% within Type		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Refused	Count	1	0	1
	% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
0	Count	10	2	12
	% within Type	1.1%	15.4%	1.3%
1	Count	163	5	168
	% within Type	17.8%	38.5%	18.0%
2	Count	117	1	118
	% within Type	12.7%	7.7%	12.7%
3	Count	102	2	104
	% within Type	11.1%	15.4%	11.2%
4	Count	77	0	77
	% within Type	8.4%	0.0%	8.3%
5	Count	52	0	52
	% within Type	5.7%	0.0%	5.6%
6	Count	67	1	68
	% within Type	7.3%	7.7%	7.3%
7	Count	37	0	37
	% within Type	4.0%	0.0%	4.0%
8	Count	42	0	42
	% within Type	4.6%	0.0%	4.5%
9	Count	28	0	28
	% within Type	3.1%	0.0%	3.0%
10	Count	26	0	26
	% within Type	2.8%	0.0%	2.8%
11	Count	25	0	25
	% within Type	2.7%	0.0%	2.7%
12	Count	15	0	15
	% within Type	1.6%	0.0%	1.6%
13	Count	8	0	8
	% within Type	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%
14	Count	14	1	15
	% within Type	1.5%	7.7%	1.6%
15	Count	16	0	16
	% within Type	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%
16	Count	10	0	10
	% within Type	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%
17	Count	5	0	5
	% within Type	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%
18	Count	11	0	11
	% within Type	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%
19	Count	3	0	3
	% within Type	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%
20	Count	17	0	17
	% within Type			

Q17A How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent?

		% within Type	1.9%	0.0%	1.8%
21	Count		7	0	7
		% within Type	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%
22	Count		8	0	8
		% within Type	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%
23	Count		7	0	7
		% within Type	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%
24	Count		3	0	3
		% within Type	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%
25	Count		9	0	9
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%
26	Count		7	1	8
		% within Type	0.8%	7.7%	0.9%
27	Count		3	0	3
		% within Type	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%
28	Count		4	0	4
		% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%
29	Count		3	0	3
		% within Type	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%
30	Count		4	0	4
		% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%
31	Count		1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
32	Count		1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
33	Count		1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
34	Count		4	0	4
		% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%
35	Count		2	0	2
		% within Type	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%
37	Count		1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
38	Count		1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
41	Count		1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
43	Count		1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
44	Count		1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
45	Count		1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
46	Count		1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
54	Count		1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Total	Count		918	13	931
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q07N In 2011, did you care for any children of a different ethnicity than you? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q07N In 2011, did you care for any children of a different ethnicity than you?	Refused	Count	0	2	2
		% within Type	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	6	1	7
		% within Type	0.6%	0.2%	0.5%
	Yes	Count	564	89	653
		% within Type	59.7%	20.5%	47.4%
	No	Count	374	343	717
		% within Type	39.6%	78.9%	52.0%
	Total	Count	944	435	1379
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q40A I am going to refer to the child you chose as 'this child.' Do you have a child in mind? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q40A I am going to refer to the child you chose as 'this child.' Do you have a child in mind?	Press ENTER to Continue	Count	549	160	709
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	549	160	709
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q40B The next set of questions are about the one child placed by DCFS, who has spent longer than 60 days in your home during 2011. \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q40B The next set of questions are about the one child placed by DCFS, who has spent longer than 60 days in your home during 2011.	Press ENTER to Continue	Count	395	275	670
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	395	275	670
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q40 Can you please tell me whether this child is male or female? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q40 Can you please tell me whether this child is male or female?	Refused	Count	2	0	2	
		% within Type	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	
	Don't know	Count	1	0	1	
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	
	Male	Count	497	205	702	
		% within Type	52.6%	47.1%	50.9%	
	Female	Count	444	230	674	
		% within Type	47.0%	52.9%	48.9%	
	Total		Count	944	435	1379
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q41 How old is this child? (IWR: Please enter age in years) \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q41 How old is this child? (IWR: Please enter age in years)	Refused	Count	6	0	6
		% within Type	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%
	0	Count	35	11	46
		% within Type	3.7%	2.5%	3.3%
	1	Count	127	52	179
		% within Type	13.5%	12.0%	13.0%
	2	Count	89	57	146
		% within Type	9.4%	13.1%	10.6%
	3	Count	69	37	106
		% within Type	7.3%	8.5%	7.7%
	4	Count	59	35	94
		% within Type	6.3%	8.0%	6.8%
	5	Count	55	26	81
		% within Type	5.8%	6.0%	5.9%
	6	Count	42	37	79
		% within Type	4.5%	8.5%	5.7%
	7	Count	36	21	57
		% within Type	3.8%	4.8%	4.1%
	8	Count	36	22	58
		% within Type	3.8%	5.1%	4.2%
	9	Count	33	21	54
		% within Type	3.5%	4.8%	3.9%
	10	Count	35	16	51
		% within Type	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%
	11	Count	38	10	48
		% within Type	4.0%	2.3%	3.5%
	12	Count	31	16	47
		% within Type	3.3%	3.7%	3.4%
	13	Count	37	15	52
		% within Type	3.9%	3.4%	3.8%
	14	Count	41	8	49
		% within Type	4.3%	1.8%	3.6%
	15	Count	51	21	72
		% within Type	5.4%	4.8%	5.2%
	16	Count	40	17	57
		% within Type	4.2%	3.9%	4.1%
	17	Count	52	11	63
		% within Type	5.5%	2.5%	4.6%
	18	Count	31	2	33
		% within Type	3.3%	0.5%	2.4%
Total	Count	943	435	1378	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH) \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH)	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	24	11	35
		% within Type	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
	January	Count	84	33	117
		% within Type	8.9%	7.6%	8.5%
	February	Count	80	46	126
		% within Type	8.5%	10.6%	9.1%
	March	Count	74	35	109
		% within Type	7.8%	8.0%	7.9%
	April	Count	72	29	101
		% within Type	7.6%	6.7%	7.3%
	May	Count	74	33	107
		% within Type	7.8%	7.6%	7.8%
	June	Count	76	35	111
		% within Type	8.1%	8.0%	8.1%
	July	Count	87	40	127
		% within Type	9.2%	9.2%	9.2%
	August	Count	90	44	134
		% within Type	9.5%	10.1%	9.7%
	September	Count	79	37	116
		% within Type	8.4%	8.5%	8.4%
	October	Count	87	39	126
		% within Type	9.2%	9.0%	9.1%
	November	Count	57	18	75
		% within Type	6.0%	4.1%	5.4%
	December	Count	57	35	92
		% within Type	6.0%	8.0%	6.7%
Total		Count	943	435	1378
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR) \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR)	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	8	4	12
		% within Type	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%
	1999	Count	2	1	3
		% within Type	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	2000	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
	2001	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
	2002	Count	3	2	5
		% within Type	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%
	2003	Count	7	0	7
		% within Type	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%
	2004	Count	4	2	6
		% within Type	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%
	2005	Count	15	2	17
		% within Type	1.6%	0.5%	1.2%
	2006	Count	15	4	19
		% within Type	1.6%	0.9%	1.4%
	2007	Count	30	7	37
		% within Type	3.2%	1.6%	2.7%
	2008	Count	63	16	79
		% within Type	6.7%	3.7%	5.7%
	2009	Count	138	57	195
		% within Type	14.6%	13.1%	14.2%
	2010	Count	515	259	774
		% within Type	54.6%	59.5%	56.2%
2011	Count	138	81	219	
	% within Type	14.6%	18.6%	15.9%	
Total		Count	943	435	1378
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Summary of Child Ethnicity \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Summary of Child Ethnicity	Native American	Count	133	58	191
		% within Type	14.1%	13.5%	13.9%
	African American	Count	128	44	172
		% within Type	13.6%	10.2%	12.5%
	Asian/PI	Count	27	11	38
		% within Type	2.9%	2.6%	2.8%
	Hispanic	Count	106	52	158
		% within Type	11.3%	12.1%	11.5%
	White	Count	487	242	729
		% within Type	51.8%	56.1%	53.2%
	Other	Count	59	24	83
		% within Type	6.3%	5.6%	6.1%
Total		Count	940	431	1371
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q44N Are you related to this child? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q44N Are you related to this child?	Don't know	Count	0	2	2
		% within Type	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%
	Yes	Count	31	368	399
		% within Type	3.3%	84.6%	29.0%
	No	Count	912	65	977
		% within Type	96.7%	14.9%	70.9%
Total		Count	943	435	1378
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have?	Refused	Count	4	0	4	
		% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	
	Don't know	Count	57	7	64	
		% within Type	6.0%	1.6%	4.6%	
	None	Count	108	68	176	
		% within Type	11.5%	15.6%	12.8%	
	One	Count	171	105	276	
		% within Type	18.1%	24.1%	20.0%	
	Two	Count	208	91	299	
		% within Type	22.1%	20.9%	21.7%	
	Three	Count	151	84	235	
		% within Type	16.0%	19.3%	17.1%	
	Four	Count	113	28	141	
		% within Type	12.0%	6.4%	10.2%	
	Five	Count	59	24	83	
		% within Type	6.3%	5.5%	6.0%	
	Six	Count	24	15	39	
		% within Type	2.5%	3.4%	2.8%	
	Seven	Count	15	4	19	
		% within Type	1.6%	0.9%	1.4%	
	Eight	Count	9	1	10	
		% within Type	1.0%	0.2%	0.7%	
	Nine or more	Count	24	8	32	
		% within Type	2.5%	1.8%	2.3%	
	Total		Count	943	435	1378
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126 How many of this child's siblings were placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q126 How many of this child's siblings were placed in your home?	Don't know	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%
	None	Count	463	185	648
		% within Type	59.8%	51.4%	57.1%
	One	Count	226	116	342
		% within Type	29.2%	32.2%	30.2%
	Two	Count	66	40	106
		% within Type	8.5%	11.1%	9.3%
	Three	Count	16	16	32
		% within Type	2.1%	4.4%	2.8%
	Four	Count	2	2	4
		% within Type	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%
	Five	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Total		Count	774	360	1134
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**SIBS Calculated number of siblings NOT placed in respondent's home \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
SIBS Calculated number of siblings NOT placed in respondent's home	1	Count	188	94	282
		% within Type	27.9%	33.0%	29.4%
	2	Count	166	82	248
		% within Type	24.6%	28.8%	25.9%
	3	Count	136	52	188
		% within Type	20.2%	18.2%	19.6%
	4	Count	81	26	107
		% within Type	12.0%	9.1%	11.2%
	5	Count	45	12	57
		% within Type	6.7%	4.2%	5.9%
	6	Count	18	8	26
		% within Type	2.7%	2.8%	2.7%
	7	Count	13	4	17
		% within Type	1.9%	1.4%	1.8%
	8	Count	13	1	14
		% within Type	1.9%	0.4%	1.5%
	9	Count	14	6	20
		% within Type	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%
Total		Count	674	285	959
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q125B Is the (youngest/next youngest) sibling a full, half, or step sibling? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q125B Is the (youngest/next youngest) sibling a full, half, or step sibling?	Full	506	135	641
	Half	942	447	1389
	Step	32	28	60
Total		1480	610	2090

**Q125C How old is this sibling? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q125C How old is this sibling?	1	99	51	150	
	2	84	33	117	
	3	89	14	103	
	4	87	32	119	
	5	82	29	111	
	6	80	36	116	
	7	80	27	107	
	8	75	33	108	
	9	69	31	100	
	10	72	31	103	
	11	45	21	66	
	12	61	37	98	
	13	51	24	75	
	14	49	16	65	
	15	46	26	72	
	16	44	17	61	
	17	39	18	57	
	18	47	27	74	
	19	34	11	45	
	20	15	9	24	
	21	11	5	16	
	22	9	1	10	
	23	9	4	13	
	24	2	0	2	
	25	4	2	6	
	26	4	1	5	
	27	1	0	1	
	28	3	1	4	
	30	2	0	2	
	42	1	0	1	
	Total		1294	537	1831

**Q126A Where is the (youngest/next) sibling currently placed? (IWR: If there is only one sibling not place with the respondent you do not have to read the (youngest/next) phrase.) \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q126A Where the (youngest/next) sibling is currently placed? (IWR: If there is only one sibling not place with the respondent you do not have to read the (youngest/next) phrase.)	With their mother	242	137	379
	With their father	163	120	283
	With a relative or unlicensed family friend	247	121	368
	In another foster home	375	84	459
	In a group home	14	0	14
	Some other setting	219	45	264
Total		1260	507	1767

**Q126B What is the MAIN reason that the (youngest/next) sibling is not placed in your home with your foster child? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
	They live too far apart	18	6	24
	They don't get along	18	2	20
	Your home doesn't have room for this sibling	112	26	138
	They can't live together due to safety issues	75	13	88
	They don't know one another	43	21	64
	You are not willing to take this sibling	19	19	38
	The sibling was placed with you, but you asked they be moved due to behavioral issues	21	2	23
	The sibling needs specialized placement due to medical or other treatment needs	26	5	31
	The department didn't ask you to take this sibling	371	144	515
	You are not sure why	45	9	54
	Or some other reason (please specify)	703	303	1006
<b>Total</b>		<b>1451</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>2001</b>

**Q126BCode \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed		
Q126BCode	1 Child has special needs	Count	4	1	5
	% within Type	1.3%	0.7%	1.1%	
	2 Age-appropriate needs can't be met	Count	4	1	5
	% within Type	1.3%	0.7%	1.1%	
	3 Health problems	Count	4	0	4
	% within Type	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%	
	4 Behavioral problems	Count	6	3	9
	% within Type	1.9%	2.0%	1.9%	
	5 Foster HH doesn't take girls/boys	Count	11	1	12
	% within Type	3.5%	0.7%	2.6%	
	6 Too demanding for caregiver	Count	4	5	9
	% within Type	1.3%	3.3%	1.9%	
	7 Living with parents/relative/family	Count	68	54	122
	% within Type	21.5%	35.8%	26.1%	
	8 Children have not previously lived together	Count	18	15	33
	% within Type	5.7%	9.9%	7.1%	
	10 Unwilling to take child	Count	0	1	1
	% within Type	0.0%	0.7%	0.2%	
	11 Child acting out/drugs	Count	2	1	3
	% within Type	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	
	12 Agency or court decision	Count	10	1	11
	% within Type	3.2%	0.7%	2.4%	
	13 Located too far away	Count	9	2	11
	% within Type	2.8%	1.3%	2.4%	
14 No room for children	Count	9	2	11	
% within Type	2.8%	1.3%	2.4%		
15 Children adopted or grown	Count	82	10	92	
% within Type	25.9%	6.6%	19.7%		
16 Children have different families	Count	11	15	26	
% within Type	3.5%	9.9%	5.6%		
17 Children not in foster care	Count	56	27	83	
% within Type	17.7%	17.9%	17.7%		
18 Alleged abuse	Count	1	1	2	
% within Type	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%		
19 Other	Count	5	6	11	
% within Type	1.6%	4.0%	2.4%		
20 NA/DK	Count	2	1	3	
% within Type	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%		
22 Transfer to FP in progress	Count	1	0	1	
% within Type	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%		
23 Child(ren) decision	Count	0	1	1	
% within Type	0.0%	0.7%	0.2%		
24 Living with other foster family	Count	10	3	13	
% within Type	3.2%	2.0%	2.8%		
<b>Total</b>	Count	<b>317</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>468</b>	
	% within Type	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	

**Q127 Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and this sibling? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q127 Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and this sibling?	Yes	91	15	106
	No	1400	535	1935
Total		1491	550	2041

**Q128 In a typical month in the second half of 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128 In a typical month in the second half of 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling?	Not at all	619	199	818
	Less than once a month	181	57	238
	Once a month	106	58	164
	Twice a month	116	79	195
	Three times a month	40	19	59
	Four times a month	145	33	178
	More than 4 times a month	175	84	259
Total		1382	529	1911

**Q128B In a typical month in the second half of 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128B In a typical month in the second half of 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events?	Not at all	864	260	1124
	Less than once a month	154	50	204
	Once a month	98	49	147
	Twice a month	73	60	133
	Three times a month	24	8	32
	Four times a month	33	19	52
	More than 4 times a month	133	82	215
Total		1379	528	1907

**Q128C Reason why no visits with siblings: This child doesn't want visits or contact \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128C Reason why no visits with siblings: This child doesn't want visits or contact	Yes	60	10	70
	No	699	249	948
Total		759	259	1018

**Q128D Reason why no visits with siblings: Visits or contact have not been coordinated by the department \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128D Reason why no visits with siblings: Visits or contact have not been coordinated by the department	Yes	399	111	510
	No	359	146	505
Total		758	257	1015

**Q128E Reason why no visits with siblings: The children are physically far apart \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128E Reason why no visits with siblings: The children are physically far apart	Yes	383	142	525
	No	319	104	423
Total		702	246	948

**Q128F Reason why no visits with siblings: Children's age makes phone or other contact unreasonable \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128F Reason why no visits with siblings: Children's age makes phone or other contact unreasonable	Yes	319	101	420
	No	444	153	597
Total		763	254	1017

**Q128G Reason why no visits with siblings: Haven't got around to doing it \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128G Reason why no visits with siblings: Haven't got around to doing it	Yes	76	47	123
	No	697	209	906
Total		773	256	1029

**Q128H Reason why no visits with siblings: Scheduling conflicts \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128H Reason why no visits with siblings: Scheduling conflicts	Yes	108	54	162
	No	673	209	882
Total		781	263	1044

**Q128I Reason why no visits with siblings: Sibling's parent/caregiver will not allow visits or contact \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128I Reason why no visits with siblings: Sibling's parent/caregiver will not allow visits or contact	Yes	144	57	201
	No	522	162	684
Total		666	219	885

**Q128J Reason why no visits with siblings: Sibling is a runaway \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128J Reason why no visits with siblings: Sibling is a runaway	Yes	8	3	11
	No	769	257	1026
Total		777	260	1037

**Q128K Reason why no visits with siblings: Sibling was adopted \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128K Reason why no visits with siblings:	Yes	208	44	252
Sibling was adopted	No	535	203	738
Total		743	247	990

**Q128L Reason why no visits with siblings: Not sure why? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128L Reason why no visits with siblings:	Yes	373	126	499
Not sure why?	No	435	155	590
Total		808	281	1089

**Q128M Were there any other reasons why this child has not had visits or other forms of contact with their siblings at least twice a month? \* License Indicator Crosstabulation**

Count

		License Indicator		Total
		Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q128M Were there any other reasons why this child has not had visits or other forms of contact with their siblings at least twice a month?	Yes - specify	308	113	421
	No	462	159	621
Total		770	272	1042

**Q128MCode \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed		
Q128MCode	1 Parent or other relative decision	Count	18	11	29
		% within Type	10.8%	19.0%	12.9%
	2 Caregiver decision	Count	4	3	7
		% within Type	2.4%	5.2%	3.1%
	3 Unavailable/Incarcerated	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	1.2%	0.0%	0.9%
	4 Hurtful behavior by parents/siblings	Count	19	2	21
		% within Type	11.4%	3.4%	9.3%
	5 Child doesn't know siblings	Count	32	13	45
		% within Type	19.2%	22.4%	20.0%
	6 Agency/counselor decision	Count	5	0	5
		% within Type	3.0%	0.0%	2.2%
	7 Scheduling problems	Count	4	4	8
		% within Type	2.4%	6.9%	3.6%
	8 Too far away	Count	10	6	16
		% within Type	6.0%	10.3%	7.1%
	9 Little/no effort to arrange visit	Count	6	4	10
		% within Type	3.6%	6.9%	4.4%
	10 No contact info	Count	19	2	21
		% within Type	11.4%	3.4%	9.3%
11 Child decision	Count	6	1	7	
	% within Type	3.6%	1.7%	3.1%	
13 Other child not in foster care/adopted/different parents	Count	12	4	16	
	% within Type	7.2%	6.9%	7.1%	
14 Other	Count	9	2	11	
	% within Type	5.4%	3.4%	4.9%	
15 NA/DK	Count	4	0	4	
	% within Type	2.4%	0.0%	1.8%	
16 Difficulty financing travel	Count	2	1	3	
	% within Type	1.2%	1.7%	1.3%	
17 Court order/parent's rights terminated	Count	5	4	9	
	% within Type	3.0%	6.9%	4.0%	
18 Medical Issues	Count	10	1	11	
	% within Type	6.0%	1.7%	4.9%	
Total	Count	167	58	225	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q59 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total		
		Licensed	Unlicensed			
Q59 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2011?	Refused	Count	5	2	7	
		% within Type	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	
	Don't know	Count	5	11	16	
		% within Type	0.5%	2.6%	1.2%	
	Strongly agree	Count	622	311	933	
		% within Type	66.6%	72.7%	68.5%	
	Somewhat agree	Count	194	65	259	
		% within Type	20.8%	15.2%	19.0%	
	Somewhat disagree	Count	45	20	65	
		% within Type	4.8%	4.7%	4.8%	
	Strongly disagree	Count	63	19	82	
		% within Type	6.7%	4.4%	6.0%	
	Total		Count	934	428	1362
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q60 If the child was placed with you in 2011, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q60 If the child was placed with you in 2011, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?	Refused	Count	0	6	6
		% within Type	0.0%	1.4%	0.4%
	Don't know	Count	11	12	23
		% within Type	1.2%	2.8%	1.7%
	Yes	Count	532	289	821
		% within Type	57.0%	67.5%	60.3%
	No	Count	107	50	157
		% within Type	11.5%	11.7%	11.5%
	Child placed before 2011	Count	284	71	355
		% within Type	30.4%	16.6%	26.1%
	Total		Count	934	428
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q61 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total		
		Licensed	Unlicensed			
Q61 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2011?	Refused	Count	7	4	11	
		% within Type	1.3%	1.7%	1.4%	
	Don't know	Count	5	6	11	
		% within Type	0.9%	2.5%	1.4%	
	Strongly agree	Count	324	145	469	
		% within Type	58.1%	60.7%	58.8%	
	Somewhat agree	Count	128	43	171	
		% within Type	22.9%	18.0%	21.5%	
	Somewhat disagree	Count	45	15	60	
		% within Type	8.1%	6.3%	7.5%	
	Strongly disagree	Count	49	26	75	
		% within Type	8.8%	10.9%	9.4%	
	Total		Count	558	239	797
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q62 If the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q62 If the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?	Refused	Count	1	1	2	
		% within Type	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	
	Don't know	Count	5	6	11	
		% within Type	0.9%	2.5%	1.4%	
	Yes	Count	255	132	387	
		% within Type	45.7%	55.5%	48.6%	
	No	Count	90	48	138	
		% within Type	16.1%	20.2%	17.3%	
	Child placed before 2011	Count	207	51	258	
		% within Type	37.1%	21.4%	32.4%	
	Total		Count	558	238	796
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q63 Was this child identified as having 'developmental delays' at the time of placement? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q63 Was this child identified as having 'developmental delays' at the time of placement?	Refused	Count	1	2	3	
		% within Type	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%	
	Don't know	Count	19	2	21	
		% within Type	2.0%	0.5%	1.5%	
	Yes	Count	314	122	436	
		% within Type	33.7%	28.6%	32.1%	
	No	Count	599	300	899	
		% within Type	64.2%	70.4%	66.2%	
	Total		Count	933	426	1359
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q63A Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q63A Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2011?	Refused	Count	3	0	3	
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.7%	
	Don't know	Count	3	3	6	
		% within Type	1.0%	2.5%	1.4%	
	Strongly agree	Count	184	70	254	
		% within Type	58.6%	57.4%	58.3%	
	Somewhat agree	Count	78	29	107	
		% within Type	24.8%	23.8%	24.5%	
	Somewhat disagree	Count	32	11	43	
		% within Type	10.2%	9.0%	9.9%	
	Strongly disagree	Count	14	9	23	
		% within Type	4.5%	7.4%	5.3%	
	Total		Count	314	122	436
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q63B If the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q63B If the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?	Refused	Count	0	2	2	
		% within Type	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%	
	Don't know	Count	2	1	3	
		% within Type	0.6%	0.8%	0.7%	
	Yes	Count	160	76	236	
		% within Type	51.0%	62.3%	54.1%	
	No	Count	35	16	51	
		% within Type	11.1%	13.1%	11.7%	
	Child placed before 2011	Count	117	27	144	
		% within Type	37.3%	22.1%	33.0%	
	Total		Count	314	122	436
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q64A Was this child identified as having 'behavioral issues' including substance abuse or mental health issues at the time of placement? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q64A Was this child identified as having 'behavioral issues' including substance abuse or mental health issues at the time of placement?	Refused	Count	1	2	3
		% within Type	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%
	Don't know	Count	9	6	15
		% within Type	1.0%	1.4%	1.1%
	Yes	Count	366	120	486
		% within Type	39.2%	28.2%	35.8%
	No	Count	557	298	855
		% within Type	59.7%	70.0%	62.9%
Total		Count	933	426	1359
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q64 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral issues in 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q64 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral issues in 2011?	Refused	Count	5	0	5	
		% within Type	1.4%	0.0%	1.0%	
	Don't know	Count	3	1	4	
		% within Type	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	
	Strongly agree	Count	195	75	270	
		% within Type	53.3%	62.5%	55.6%	
	Somewhat agree	Count	101	26	127	
		% within Type	27.6%	21.7%	26.1%	
	Somewhat disagree	Count	31	9	40	
		% within Type	8.5%	7.5%	8.2%	
	Strongly disagree	Count	31	9	40	
		% within Type	8.5%	7.5%	8.2%	
	Total		Count	366	120	486
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q65 If the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's behavioral issues within 30 days after (she/he) was placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q65 If the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's behavioral issues within 30 days after (she/he) was placed in your home?	Refused	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	0.0%	0.8%	0.2%
	Don't know	Count	2	3	5
		% within Type	0.5%	2.5%	1.0%
	Yes	Count	196	78	274
		% within Type	53.6%	65.0%	56.4%
	No	Count	46	17	63
		% within Type	12.6%	14.2%	13.0%
	Child placed before 2011	Count	122	21	143
		% within Type	33.3%	17.5%	29.4%
Total		Count	366	120	486
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q67A In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q67A In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in 2011?	Refused	Count	8	1	9
		% within Type	0.9%	0.2%	0.7%
	Don't know	Count	16	5	21
		% within Type	1.7%	1.2%	1.5%
	Yes	Count	265	86	351
		% within Type	28.4%	20.2%	25.8%
	No	Count	644	334	978
		% within Type	69.0%	78.4%	72.0%
Total		Count	933	426	1359
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q67B Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q67B Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2011?	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%
	Yes	Count	246	74	320
		% within Type	92.8%	86.0%	91.2%
	No	Count	18	12	30
		% within Type	6.8%	14.0%	8.5%
Total		Count	265	86	351
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q67C Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q67C Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis?	Refused	Count	0	1	1	
		% within Type	0.0%	1.2%	0.3%	
	Don't know	Count	1	0	1	
		% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	
	Yes	Count	222	68	290	
		% within Type	83.8%	79.1%	82.6%	
	No	Count	42	17	59	
		% within Type	15.8%	19.8%	16.8%	
	Total		Count	265	86	351
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q67D Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q67D Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner?	Don't know	Count	2	2	4
		% within Type	0.9%	2.9%	1.4%
	Yes	Count	183	55	238
		% within Type	82.4%	80.9%	82.1%
	No	Count	37	11	48
		% within Type	16.7%	16.2%	16.6%
Total		Count	222	68	290
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q67 Where there any other times in 2011, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q67 Where there any other times in 2011, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	4	4	8
		% within Type	0.4%	0.9%	0.6%
	Yes	Count	299	117	416
		% within Type	32.0%	27.5%	30.6%
	No	Count	629	305	934
		% within Type	67.4%	71.6%	68.7%
Total		Count	933	426	1359
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
	Yes	Count	241	91	332
		% within Type	80.6%	77.8%	79.8%
	No	Count	56	26	82
		% within Type	18.7%	22.2%	19.7%
Total		Count	299	117	416
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q70 In 2011, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q70 In 2011, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians?	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	0.8%	0.0%	0.6%
	Don't know	Count	1	2	3
		% within Type	0.4%	2.2%	0.9%
	By just one clinician	Count	152	69	221
		% within Type	63.1%	75.8%	66.6%
	By a team of clinicians	Count	86	20	106
		% within Type	35.7%	22.0%	31.9%
Total		Count	241	91	332
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q71 During 2011, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q71 During 2011, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?	Refused	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	0.8%	0.0%	0.6%
	Don't know	Count	2	1	3
		% within Type	0.8%	1.1%	0.9%
	The same clinician/ treatment team	Count	183	74	257
		% within Type	75.9%	81.3%	77.4%
	Different clinicians/ treatment teams	Count	54	16	70
		% within Type	22.4%	17.6%	21.1%
Total	Count	241	91	332	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child?	Don't know	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	5.6%	0.0%	4.3%
	Yes	Count	37	10	47
		% within Type	68.5%	62.5%	67.1%
	No	Count	14	6	20
		% within Type	25.9%	37.5%	28.6%
Total	Count	54	16	70	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q77N During 2011 were you aware of any shared case planning meetings that were scheduled about this child? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q77N During 2011 were you aware of any shared case planning meetings that were scheduled about this child?	Refused	Count	1	3	4
		% within Type	0.1%	0.7%	0.3%
	Don't know	Count	16	13	29
		% within Type	1.7%	3.1%	2.1%
	Yes	Count	597	278	875
		% within Type	64.0%	65.3%	64.4%
	No	Count	319	132	451
		% within Type	34.2%	31.0%	33.2%
	Total	Count	933	426	1359
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q78 During 2011 how often did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about these shared case planning meetings? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q78 During 2011 how often did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about these shared case planning meetings?	Don't know	Count	3	2	5
		% within Type	0.5%	0.7%	0.6%
	ALWAYS	Count	316	142	458
		% within Type	52.9%	51.1%	52.3%
	MOST OF THE TIME	Count	140	60	200
		% within Type	23.5%	21.6%	22.9%
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	Count	73	30	103
		% within Type	12.2%	10.8%	11.8%
	RARELY	Count	45	26	71
		% within Type	7.5%	9.4%	8.1%
	NEVER	Count	20	18	38
		% within Type	3.4%	6.5%	4.3%
	Total	Count	597	278	875
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q57 During 2011, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q57 During 2011, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings	Refused	Count	2	1	3
		% within Type	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	Don't know	Count	8	10	18
		% within Type	0.9%	2.4%	1.3%
	Yes	Count	638	286	924
		% within Type	68.5%	67.3%	68.1%
	No	Count	246	117	363
		% within Type	26.4%	27.5%	26.8%
	NO COURT HEARINGS IN 2011	Count	38	11	49
		% within Type	4.1%	2.6%	3.6%
Total		Count	932	425	1357
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q58 In 2011, did the agency tell you that you have a right to be heard at these hearings? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q58 In 2011, did the agency tell you that you have a right to be heard at these hearings?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	13	10	23
		% within Type	1.5%	2.5%	1.8%
	Yes	Count	619	259	878
		% within Type	70.0%	64.3%	68.2%
	No	Count	251	134	385
		% within Type	28.4%	33.3%	29.9%
Total		Count	884	403	1287
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q53 In 2011, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q53 In 2011, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	10	3	13
		% within Type	1.1%	0.7%	1.0%
	MORE THAN ONCE A MONTH	Count	128	65	193
		% within Type	13.7%	15.3%	14.2%
	ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	642	288	930
		% within Type	68.9%	67.9%	68.6%
	ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	52	23	75
		% within Type	5.6%	5.4%	5.5%
	ABOUT ONCE EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	45	17	62
		% within Type	4.8%	4.0%	4.6%
	ABOUT ONCE IN SIX MONTHS	Count	25	8	33
		% within Type	2.7%	1.9%	2.4%
	NOT AT ALL IN THE FIRST SIX MONTHS OF 2011	Count	29	20	49
		% within Type	3.1%	4.7%	3.6%
Total		Count	932	424	1356
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q33 In 2011 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile' \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q33 In 2011 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile'	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	7	11	18
		% within Type	0.8%	2.6%	1.3%
	Yes	Count	157	41	198
		% within Type	16.9%	9.7%	14.6%
	No	Count	766	372	1138
		% within Type	82.3%	87.7%	84.0%
Total		Count	931	424	1355
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q33AGiven this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q33AGiven this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	2	1	3
		% within Type	1.3%	2.4%	1.5%
	Yes	Count	78	13	91
		% within Type	50.0%	31.7%	46.2%
	No	Count	76	27	103
		% within Type	48.7%	65.9%	52.3%
Total		Count	156	41	197
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q34 How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the first half of 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q34 How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the first half of 2011?	1	Count	53	13	66	
		% within Type	67.9%	100.0%	72.5%	
	2	Count	20	0	20	
		% within Type	25.6%	0.0%	22.0%	
	3	Count	4	0	4	
		% within Type	5.1%	0.0%	4.4%	
	5	Count	1	0	1	
		% within Type	1.3%	0.0%	1.1%	
	Total		Count	78	13	91
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	68	11	79
		% within Type	87.2%	84.6%	86.8%
	No	Count	10	2	12
		% within Type	12.8%	15.4%	13.2%
Total		Count	78	13	91
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q38 In 2011 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q38 In 2011 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Don't know	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	0.0%	7.7%	1.1%
	Yes	Count	76	12	88
		% within Type	97.4%	92.3%	96.7%
	No	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	2.6%	0.0%	2.2%
Total		Count	78	13	91
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q39 In 2011 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? \*  
Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q39 In 2011 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	72	12	84
		% within Type	94.7%	100.0%	95.5%
	No	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	5.3%	0.0%	4.5%
Total		Count	76	12	88
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during 2011 \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during 2011	Refused	Count	3	2	5
		% within Type	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%
	Don't know	Count	4	5	9
		% within Type	0.4%	1.2%	0.7%
	Yes	Count	166	32	198
		% within Type	17.8%	7.5%	14.6%
	No	Count	757	385	1142
		% within Type	81.4%	90.8%	84.3%
Total		Count	930	424	1354
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	6	1	7
		% within Type	3.6%	3.1%	3.5%
	Yes	Count	121	18	139
		% within Type	72.9%	56.2%	70.2%
	No	Count	39	13	52
		% within Type	23.5%	40.6%	26.3%
Total		Count	166	32	198
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	96	6	102
		% within Type	79.3%	33.3%	73.4%
	No	Count	25	12	37
		% within Type	20.7%	66.7%	26.6%
Total		Count	121	18	139
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR111 Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR111 Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?	Don't know	Count	3	1	4
		% within Type	2.5%	5.6%	2.9%
	Yes	Count	89	13	102
		% within Type	73.6%	72.2%	73.4%
	No	Count	29	4	33
		% within Type	24.0%	22.2%	23.7%
Total		Count	121	18	139
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.1%	0.0%	1.0%
	Yes	Count	82	11	93
		% within Type	92.1%	84.6%	91.2%
	No	Count	6	2	8
		% within Type	6.7%	15.4%	7.8%
Total		Count	89	13	102
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during 2011, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship. \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during 2011, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.	Refused	Count	2	1	3
		% within Type	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	Don't know	Count	5	2	7
		% within Type	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
	Yes	Count	82	10	92
		% within Type	8.8%	2.4%	6.8%
	No	Count	841	411	1252
		% within Type	90.4%	96.9%	92.5%
Total		Count	930	424	1354
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?	Don't know	Count	3	1	4
		% within Type	3.7%	10.0%	4.3%
	Yes	Count	53	4	57
		% within Type	64.6%	40.0%	62.0%
	No	Count	26	5	31
		% within Type	31.7%	50.0%	33.7%
Total		Count	82	10	92
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	Yes	Count	46	0	46
		% within Type	86.8%	0.0%	80.7%
	No	Count	7	4	11
		% within Type	13.2%	100.0%	19.3%
Total		Count	53	4	57
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR116 Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
QR116 Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?	Yes	Count	49	4	53
		% within Type	92.5%	100.0%	93.0%
	No	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	7.5%	0.0%	7.0%
Total		Count	53	4	57
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**R116A Was the plan in written form? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
R116A Was the plan in written form?	Yes	Count	47	4	51
		% within Type	95.9%	100.0%	96.2%
	No	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	4.1%	0.0%	3.8%
Total		Count	49	4	53
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**R116B Did the agency involve you in developing the youth supervision and safety plan? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
R116B Did the agency involve you in developing the youth supervision and safety plan?	Yes	Count	42	3	45
		% within Type	85.7%	75.0%	84.9%
	No	Count	7	1	8
		% within Type	14.3%	25.0%	15.1%
Total		Count	49	4	53
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Don't know	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	2.0%	0.0%	1.9%
	Yes	Count	46	4	50
		% within Type	93.9%	100.0%	94.3%
	No	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	4.1%	0.0%	3.8%
Total		Count	49	4	53
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total		
		Licensed	Unlicensed			
Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in 2011?	Refused	Count	2	1	3	
		% within Type	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	
	Don't know	Count	3	4	7	
		% within Type	0.3%	0.9%	0.5%	
	VERY SATISFIED	Count	420	167	587	
		% within Type	45.3%	39.4%	43.4%	
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	Count	295	115	410	
		% within Type	31.8%	27.1%	30.3%	
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	Count	110	66	176	
		% within Type	11.9%	15.6%	13.0%	
	VERY DISSATISFIED	Count	97	71	168	
		% within Type	10.5%	16.7%	12.4%	
	Total		Count	927	424	1351
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q94A What could the social worker do to improve their support to you as a foster parent? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q94A What could the social worker do to improve its support to you as a foster parent?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%
	Don't know	Count	2	4	6
		% within Type	1.0%	2.9%	1.7%
	Open Text Box	Count	204	133	337
		% within Type	98.6%	97.1%	98.0%
Total		Count	207	137	344
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q94ACode \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed	
Q94ACode	1 Become acquainted with/get to know child(ren)	Count	8	4	12
		% within Type	3.9%	3.0%	3.6%
	2 Return phone calls/respond in timely manner	Count	42	21	63
		% within Type	20.6%	15.8%	18.7%
	4 Be more available to FP	Count	6	1	7
		% within Type	2.9%	0.8%	2.1%
	5 Provide more help to identify needed resources	Count	15	16	31
		% within Type	7.4%	12.0%	9.2%
	6 Support FP decisions about child	Count	2	2	4
		% within Type	1.0%	1.5%	1.2%
	7 Provide more info about permanency plans/court actions	Count	6	7	13
		% within Type	2.9%	5.3%	3.9%
	8 Nothing needed/support is adequate	Count	1	5	6
		% within Type	0.5%	3.8%	1.8%
	9 More honesty/professionalism/keep confidences	Count	18	13	31
		% within Type	8.8%	9.8%	9.2%
	10 Provide info about child history/needs	Count	10	5	15
		% within Type	4.9%	3.8%	4.5%
	11 Be more respectful, polite, supportive	Count	8	4	12
		% within Type	3.9%	3.0%	3.6%
	12 Listen to children/FP	Count	12	5	17
		% within Type	5.9%	3.8%	5.0%
	13 Better communication	Count	23	15	38
		% within Type	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%
	14 Resign position/caseworker unqualified	Count	1	5	6
		% within Type	0.5%	3.8%	1.8%
15 Work to help child in their best interest	Count	2	6	8	
	% within Type	1.0%	4.5%	2.4%	
16 Provide better training for caseworkers	Count	4	0	4	
	% within Type	2.0%	0.0%	1.2%	
17 Be more clear about plans for the child's future	Count	3	1	4	
	% within Type	1.5%	0.8%	1.2%	
18 Check in with child & FP weekly, follow up/keep FP informed	Count	22	7	29	
	% within Type	10.8%	5.3%	8.6%	
19 Smaller case loads	Count	1	2	3	
	% within Type	0.5%	1.5%	0.9%	
20 Help FP understand how system works	Count	0	4	4	
	% within Type	0.0%	3.0%	1.2%	
21 Process vouchers & payments more quickly/take action more quickly	Count	5	1	6	
	% within Type	2.5%	0.8%	1.8%	
23 Reduce caseworker turnover	Count	6	1	7	
	% within Type	2.9%	0.8%	2.1%	
24 Caseworkers are at mercy of an abusive system	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Type	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%	
25 Other comments	Count	8	7	15	
	% within Type	3.9%	5.3%	4.5%	
26 More training	Count	0	1	1	
	% within Type	0.0%	0.8%	0.3%	
Total		Count	204	133	337
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in 2011?	Refused	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	Don't know	Count	3	3	6
		% within Type	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%
	VERY SATISFIED	Count	377	149	526
		% within Type	40.7%	35.1%	38.9%
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	Count	347	142	489
		% within Type	37.4%	33.5%	36.2%
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	Count	121	72	193
		% within Type	13.1%	17.0%	14.3%
	VERY DISSATISFIED	Count	78	58	136
		% within Type	8.4%	13.7%	10.1%
Total	Count	927	424	1351	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q96A What could the agency do to improve its support to you as a foster parent? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q96A What could the agency do to improve its support to you as a foster parent?	Refused	Count	1	2	3
		% within Type	0.5%	1.5%	0.9%
	Don't know	Count	2	6	8
		% within Type	1.0%	4.6%	2.4%
	Open Text Box	Count	196	122	318
		% within Type	98.5%	93.8%	96.7%
Total	Count	199	130	329	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q96ACode \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed	
Q96ACode	1 Follow thru with agreements	Count	9	7	16
		% within Type	4.6%	5.7%	5.0%
	3 Communicate better/more honestly	Count	14	4	18
		% within Type	7.1%	3.3%	5.7%
	4 More time off for FP	Count	8	2	10
		% within Type	4.1%	1.6%	3.1%
	5 Respond to requests/needs more promptly	Count	25	4	29
		% within Type	12.8%	3.3%	9.1%
	6 Provide more info on child to FP	Count	8	4	12
		% within Type	4.1%	3.3%	3.8%
	7 Listen to needs of FP	Count	12	11	23
		% within Type	6.1%	9.0%	7.2%
	8 More resources, including financial to meet child needs	Count	22	21	43
		% within Type	11.2%	17.2%	13.5%
	9 Fewer controls/more autonomy in decisions	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%
	10 Nothing/don't know/agency is doing a good job	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%
	11 Work with other agencies more effectively	Count	3	1	4
		% within Type	1.5%	0.8%	1.3%
12 Be less suspicious	Count	1	5	6	
	% within Type	0.5%	4.1%	1.9%	
13 Make payments on time/perform rate assessment more quickly	Count	0	1	1	
	% within Type	0.0%	0.8%	0.3%	
14 Be more available	Count	3	3	6	
	% within Type	1.5%	2.5%	1.9%	
15 Train caseworker better	Count	3	2	5	
	% within Type	1.5%	1.6%	1.6%	
16 Provide info about svcs available/help	Count	8	4	12	
	% within Type				

	FP with other resources/agencies	% within Type	4.1%	3.3%	3.8%
	17 Resolve custody issues/place children more quickly/speedy adoption	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	2.0%	0.0%	1.3%
	18 Better mental health care for children & FP	Count	3	2	5
		% within Type	1.5%	1.6%	1.6%
	19 Offer courses at more convenient times or locations	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%
	20 More info about system, including courts/streamline procedures	Count	4	5	9
		% within Type	2.0%	4.1%	2.8%
	21 Smaller caseloads/more time to work with children/more home visits	Count	4	1	5
		% within Type	2.0%	0.8%	1.6%
	22 Hire caseworkers who care	Count	2	5	7
		% within Type	1.0%	4.1%	2.2%
	23 Change the system	Count	2	3	5
		% within Type	1.0%	2.5%	1.6%
	24 More caseworker backup/more caseworkers	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%
	26 Make sure caseworkers maintain confidentiality	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%
	27 Reduce high rate of turnover among caseworkers	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%
	28 Offer more courses, including parenting skills, of high quality	Count	3	2	5
		% within Type	1.5%	1.6%	1.6%
	29 Respect FP, be more inclusive & supportive	Count	9	5	14
		% within Type	4.6%	4.1%	4.4%
	30 Interaction with bio parents should be agency resp, not FP	Count	0	2	2
		% within Type	0.0%	1.6%	0.6%
	31 Other comments	Count	6	6	12
		% within Type	3.1%	4.9%	3.8%
	32 Make sure rules/practices are applied equitably	Count	4	2	6
		% within Type	2.0%	1.6%	1.9%
	33 Enforce rules with bio parents	Count	1	2	3
		% within Type	0.5%	1.6%	0.9%
	34 Contact FP/children more frequently	Count	8	6	14
		% within Type	4.1%	4.9%	4.4%
	35 Communicate better	Count	11	7	18
		% within Type	5.6%	5.7%	5.7%
	36 Treat FP with respect	Count	5	2	7
		% within Type	2.6%	1.6%	2.2%
	37 State doesn't act in child's best interest	Count	5	3	8
		% within Type	2.6%	2.5%	2.5%
	38 Don't move children so frequently	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Total		Count	196	122	318
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q93 How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child during 2011? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q93 How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child during 2011?	Refused	Count	28	24	52
		% within Type	3.4%	6.6%	4.4%
	Don't know	Count	84	78	162
		% within Type	10.2%	21.4%	13.6%
	VERY SUPPORTIVE	Count	382	146	528
		% within Type	46.2%	40.1%	44.4%
	SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE	Count	238	82	320
		% within Type	28.8%	22.5%	26.9%
	SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE	Count	48	12	60
		% within Type	5.8%	3.3%	5.0%
	VERY UNSUPPORTIVE	Count	46	22	68
		% within Type	5.6%	6.0%	5.7%
Total	Count	826	364	1190	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q93C What could the agency do better to help you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q93C What could the agency do better to help you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child?	Refused	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	0.0%	2.9%	0.8%
	Don't know	Count	9	7	16
		% within Type	9.6%	20.6%	12.5%
	Open Text Box	Count	85	26	111
		% within Type	90.4%	76.5%	86.7%
Total		Count	94	34	128
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q93CCode \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed	
Q93CCode	2 Educate child about ethnicity/race culture	Count	3	1	4
		% within Type	3.5%	3.8%	3.6%
	3 Identify courses and other resources for teaching child	Count	21	6	27
		% within Type	24.7%	23.1%	24.3%
	4 Tell FP what should be done to help child	Count	7	0	7
		% within Type	8.2%	0.0%	6.3%
	5 Develop a better relationship with other agencies/groups	Count	1	1	2
		% within Type	1.2%	3.8%	1.8%
	6 Listen to problems and provide solutions	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	2.4%	0.0%	1.8%
	7 Identify child family/medical history and inform FP	Count	16	2	18
		% within Type	18.8%	7.7%	16.2%
	8 Advisory or mentor group	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	2.4%	0.0%	1.8%
	10 Hire a more ethnically/culturally diverse staff	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.2%	0.0%	0.9%
	11 Some agency personnel show racial/ethnic bias	Count	1	1	2
		% within Type	1.2%	3.8%	1.8%
	14 Return phone calls/be more responsive	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	0.0%	3.8%	0.9%
	15 Provide more financial resources	Count	1	1	2
		% within Type	1.2%	3.8%	1.8%
16 Other comments	Count	11	4	15	
	% within Type	12.9%	15.4%	13.5%	
17 Don't Know	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Type	1.2%	0.0%	0.9%	
18 Take responsibility for education FP	Count	8	2	10	
	% within Type	9.4%	7.7%	9.0%	
19 Satisfied with services	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Type	1.2%	0.0%	0.9%	
20 More contact with FP/child	Count	1	1	2	
	% within Type	1.2%	3.8%	1.8%	
21 Anything-offer something	Count	8	2	10	
	% within Type	9.4%	7.7%	9.0%	
22 Better trained caseworkers	Count	0	4	4	
	% within Type	0.0%	15.4%	3.6%	
Total		Count	85	26	111
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q87 In 2011, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q87 In 2011, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help?	Don't know	Count	1	3	4
		% within Type	0.1%	0.7%	0.3%
	Yes	Count	335	120	455
		% within Type	36.1%	28.3%	33.7%
	No	Count	591	301	892
		% within Type	63.8%	71.0%	66.0%
Total		Count	927	424	1351
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q89 The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2011, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total		
		Licensed	Unlicensed			
Q89 The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2011, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response?	Don't know	Count	2	0	2	
		% within Type	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	
	YES, RIGHT AWAY	Count	196	64	260	
		% within Type	58.5%	53.3%	57.1%	
	YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE	Count	86	35	121	
		% within Type	25.7%	29.2%	26.6%	
	NO	Count	51	21	72	
		% within Type	15.2%	17.5%	15.8%	
	Total		Count	335	120	455
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q27 Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total		
		Licensed	Unlicensed			
Q27 Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home?	Refused	Count	0	2	2	
		% within Type	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	
	Don't know	Count	4	1	5	
		% within Type	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%	
	Very adequately	Count	383	124	507	
		% within Type	41.3%	29.2%	37.5%	
	Somewhat adequately	Count	407	81	488	
		% within Type	43.9%	19.1%	36.1%	
	Somewhat inadequately	Count	82	13	95	
		% within Type	8.8%	3.1%	7.0%	
	Or very inadequately	Count	28	13	41	
		% within Type	3.0%	3.1%	3.0%	
	Already prepared - did not need the training	Count	21	50	71	
		% within Type	2.3%	11.8%	5.2%	
	Have not had any training	Count	3	141	144	
		% within Type	0.3%	33.2%	10.6%	
	Total		Count	928	425	1353
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q27A What training could the agency provide that would help prepare you to be adequately prepared to care for the needs of foster children? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total
		1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed	
Q27A What training could the agency provide that would help prepare you to be adequately prepared to care for the needs of foster children?	-2 Refused	0	1	1
	-1 Don't know	13	1	14
	1 Open Text Box	101	27	128
Total		114	29	143

Q27ACode \* Type Crosstabulation

		Type		Total	
		1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed		
Q27ACode	2 Dealing with emotional/violent outbursts	Count	9	1	10
		% within Type	8.9%	3.7%	7.8%
	3 Schedule training at convenient times/locations	Count	6	0	6
		% within Type	5.9%	0.0%	4.7%
	4 Attachment/bonding issues-transitions	Count	6	0	6
		% within Type	5.9%	0.0%	4.7%
	5 Dealing with bio parents/visitation	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
	6 Self-harming behavior	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
	7 Making and enforcing rules	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	0.0%	3.7%	0.8%
	8 Basic training for FP on how the system works	Count	7	5	12
		% within Type	6.9%	18.5%	9.4%
	10 Trauma training	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
	13 Provide daycare during training	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
	15 Prenatal alcohol/drug syndrome care	Count	5	0	5
		% within Type	5.0%	0.0%	3.9%
	16 Have experienced FP lead training sessions	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	3.0%	0.0%	2.3%
	17 Dealing with teenagers	Count	6	1	7
		% within Type	5.9%	3.7%	5.5%
	18 Reunification	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
	19 Trainers need to be better trained	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
	20 Illegal substance abuse	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	3.0%	0.0%	2.3%
	21 Culturally relevant training	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
	22 Sexual deviance/risky behaviors	Count	1	1	2
		% within Type	1.0%	3.7%	1.6%
	24 Developmental milestones	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
	25 More info about the child's family/medical history	Count	11	0	11
		% within Type	10.9%	0.0%	8.6%
	27 Support services for FP	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	0.0%	3.7%	0.8%
28 Other	Count	13	6	19	
	% within Type	12.9%	22.2%	14.8%	
30 Any training (FP has none)	Count	1	5	6	
	% within Type	1.0%	18.5%	4.7%	
31 Followup after training	Count	4	0	4	
	% within Type	4.0%	0.0%	3.1%	
33 Legal issues	Count	2	0	2	
	% within Type	2.0%	0.0%	1.6%	
36 Inform FP about available trainings	Count	2	5	7	
	% within Type	2.0%	18.5%	5.5%	
37 More practical training	Count	6	1	7	
	% within Type	5.9%	3.7%	5.5%	
38 Dealing with abused children	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Type	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%	
39 medically fragile/disabled/child	Count	5	0	5	
	% within Type	5.0%	0.0%	3.9%	
40 Poor quality training	Count	2	0	2	
	% within Type	2.0%	0.0%	1.6%	
Total	Count	101	27	128	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q144 Respondent Gender \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q144 Respondent Gender	Male	Count	72	43	115
		% within Type	7.8%	10.1%	8.5%
	Female	Count	856	381	1237
		% within Type	92.2%	89.9%	91.5%
Total		Count	928	424	1352
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Caregiver Age \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Caregiver Age	20s	Count	27	32	59	
		% within Type	2.9%	7.5%	4.4%	
	30s	Count	223	81	304	
		% within Type	24.0%	19.1%	22.5%	
	40s	Count	300	119	419	
		% within Type	32.3%	28.1%	31.0%	
	50s	Count	225	126	351	
		% within Type	24.2%	29.7%	26.0%	
	60s	Count	119	49	168	
		% within Type	12.8%	11.6%	12.4%	
	70+	Count	34	17	51	
		% within Type	3.7%	4.0%	3.8%	
	Total		Count	928	424	1352
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q146 How would you describe the geographic area in which you live? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q146 How would you describe the geographic area in which you live?	Refused	Count	1	0	1	
		% within Type	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	
	Don't know	Count	2	3	5	
		% within Type	0.2%	0.7%	0.4%	
	A RURAL AREA	Count	283	111	394	
		% within Type	30.5%	26.2%	29.1%	
	A SMALL TOWN	Count	249	103	352	
		% within Type	26.8%	24.3%	26.0%	
	A SUBURB	Count	170	86	256	
		% within Type	18.3%	20.3%	18.9%	
	A CITY OR URBAN AREA	Count	223	121	344	
		% within Type	24.0%	28.5%	25.4%	
	Total		Count	928	424	1352
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Summary of Respondent Ethnicity \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total		
		Licensed	Unlicensed			
Summary of Respondent Ethnicity	Native American	Count	34	38	72	
		% within Type	3.7%	9.0%	5.4%	
	African American	Count	39	27	66	
		% within Type	4.2%	6.4%	4.9%	
	Asian/PI	Count	12	3	15	
		% within Type	1.3%	0.7%	1.1%	
	Hispanic	Count	49	29	78	
		% within Type	5.3%	6.9%	5.8%	
	White	Count	763	316	1079	
		% within Type	82.8%	75.1%	80.4%	
	Other	Count	24	8	32	
		% within Type	2.6%	1.9%	2.4%	
	Total		Count	921	421	1342
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q148 What is the highest grade that you completed in school? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type		Total	
		Licensed	Unlicensed		
Q148 What is the highest grade that you completed in school?	Refused	Count	3	1	4
		% within Type	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
	None, or grades 1 through 8	Count	10	7	17
		% within Type	1.1%	1.7%	1.3%
	High school incomplete, grades 9 through 11	Count	21	39	60
		% within Type	2.3%	9.2%	4.4%
	High school graduate, grade 12 or GED	Count	195	113	308
		% within Type	21.0%	26.7%	22.8%
	Business, technical, or vocational school AFTER high school	Count	29	22	51
		% within Type	3.1%	5.2%	3.8%
	Some college, but no degree	Count	219	111	330
		% within Type	23.6%	26.2%	24.4%
	Associate (2-year) college degree	Count	127	58	185
		% within Type	13.7%	13.7%	13.7%
	College graduate (4-year), BS, BA, or other	Count	204	49	253
		% within Type	22.0%	11.6%	18.7%
	Post graduate training or professional school	Count	32	8	40
		% within Type	3.4%	1.9%	3.0%
	Masters degree	Count	80	15	95
		% within Type	8.6%	3.5%	7.0%
Doctorate degree	Count	8	1	9	
	% within Type	0.9%	0.2%	0.7%	
Total		Count	928	424	1352
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q149N Household income in 2011 \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Q149N Household income in 2011	Refused	Count	32	17	49
		% within Type	3.4%	4.0%	3.6%
	Don't know	Count	9	2	11
		% within Type	1.0%	0.5%	0.8%
	LESS THAN \$25,000	Count	79	84	163
		% within Type	8.5%	19.8%	12.1%
	BETWEEN \$25,000 AND \$50,000	Count	262	136	398
		% within Type	28.2%	32.1%	29.4%
	BETWEEN \$50,000 AND \$75,000	Count	263	88	351
		% within Type	28.3%	20.8%	26.0%
	BETWEEN \$75,000 AND \$100,000	Count	156	47	203
		% within Type	16.8%	11.1%	15.0%
	BETWEEN \$100,000 AND \$125,000	Count	68	31	99
		% within Type	7.3%	7.3%	7.3%
	BETWEEN \$125,000 AND \$150,000	Count	31	12	43
		% within Type	3.3%	2.8%	3.2%
	OR OVER \$150,000	Count	28	7	35
		% within Type	3.0%	1.7%	2.6%
Total		Count	928	424	1352
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007 about your foster care experiences? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007 about your foster care experiences?	Yes	Count	47	4	51	
		% within Type	5.0%	0.9%	3.7%	
	No	Count	899	431	1330	
		% within Type	95.0%	99.1%	96.3%	
	Total		Count	946	435	1381
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2008 about your foster care experiences? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2008 about your foster care experiences?	Yes	Count	78	4	82	
		% within Type	8.2%	0.9%	5.9%	
	No	Count	868	431	1299	
		% within Type	91.8%	99.1%	94.1%	
	Total		Count	946	435	1381
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2009 about your foster care experiences? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total	
			Licensed	Unlicensed		
Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2009 about your foster care experiences?	Yes	Count	133	13	146	
		% within Type	14.1%	3.0%	10.6%	
	No	Count	813	422	1235	
		% within Type	85.9%	97.0%	89.4%	
	Total		Count	946	435	1381
			% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2010 about your foster care experiences? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			Licensed	Unlicensed	
Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2010 about your foster care experiences?	Yes	Count	161	24	185
		% within Type	17.0%	5.5%	13.4%
	No	Count	785	411	1196
		% within Type	83.0%	94.5%	86.6%
Total		Count	946	435	1381
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q155Code Final Comments and Concerns \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		Total
			1 Licensed	2 Unlicensed	
Q155Code	1 Financial compensation/reimbursement	Count	16	9	25
		% within Type	6.8%	8.3%	7.2%
	2 Foster parents need better information from agency	Count	6	7	13
		% within Type	2.5%	6.5%	3.8%
	3 System and social workers should work for best interests of youth	Count	10	1	11
		% within Type	4.2%	0.9%	3.2%
	4 Private agencies do a better job	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	0.8%	0.0%	0.6%
	5 Foster parents should be respected/supported	Count	6	2	8
		% within Type	2.5%	1.9%	2.3%
	6 Case workers are overloaded/need more case workers/less turnover	Count	10	7	17
		% within Type	4.2%	6.5%	4.9%
	7 Insufficient/inaccessible respite care	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%
	8 Children are moved too often/need stable environment	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%
	9 Inadequate training/want more training	Count	10	6	16
		% within Type	4.2%	5.6%	4.6%
	10 Unpleasant experience working with agency/social workers	Count	3	2	5
		% within Type	1.3%	1.9%	1.4%
	11 Good experience working with social workers	Count	19	4	23
		% within Type	8.0%	3.7%	6.7%
	12 Social workers are unprofessional	Count	2	5	7
		% within Type	0.8%	4.6%	2.0%
	13 Satisfied with agency/foster home	Count	21	11	32
		% within Type	8.9%	10.2%	9.3%
	14 Wants adoption procedures speeded up	Count	6	5	11
		% within Type	2.5%	4.6%	3.2%
	16 Some social workers are good/others are not	Count	8	2	10
		% within Type	3.4%	1.9%	2.9%
	17 More attention to autistic/medically fragile youth	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	0.8%	0.0%	0.6%
	18 State's financial problems are making the system worse	Count	20	1	21
% within Type		8.4%	0.9%	6.1%	
19 System/agency is not good	Count	17	10	27	
	% within Type	7.2%	9.3%	7.8%	
20 Other	Count	30	15	45	
	% within Type	12.7%	13.9%	13.0%	
21 NA/DK	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	
24 System favors bio parents	Count	10	3	13	
	% within Type	4.2%	2.8%	3.8%	
25 Social workers need to spend more time with child	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	
26 Questionnaire is inadequate	Count	16	4	20	
	% within Type	6.8%	3.7%	5.8%	
27 Too much pressure to adopt child	Count	0	1	1	
	% within Type	0.0%	0.9%	0.3%	
29 Grandparents/other relatives need support	Count	1	4	5	
	% within Type	0.4%	3.7%	1.4%	
30 Appreciate receiving survey	Count	2	4	6	
	% within Type	0.8%	3.7%	1.7%	
31 Take action regarding problems and suggestions	Count	5	1	6	
	% within Type	2.1%	0.9%	1.7%	
33 Need better coordination	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	

		% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%
	35 Provide counseling	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%
	36 Inexperienced SW get manipulated by children	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	0.0%	0.9%	0.3%
	39 FP should be paid as professionals	Count	3	2	5
		% within Type	1.3%	1.9%	1.4%
	40 Coordination between county systems difficult	Count	4	1	5
		% within Type	1.7%	0.9%	1.4%
Total		Count	237	108	345
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## SURVEY DOCUMENTATION

### Advance Letter

December 1, 2011

«FNAME» «LNAME»  
«ADDR1» «UNIT»  
«CITY», «STATE» «ZIP»«dash»«ZIP4»

Dear Foster Parent or Caregiver:

We need your help! I am writing to let you know that you will be contacted sometime in the next few weeks for a telephone survey of foster parents. The survey is being conducted as part of the Braam Settlement Implementation Plan, and the purpose is to gather information about your experiences to ensure that you are getting the training and support you need.

Your participation is voluntary, but is crucial to the survey's ability to accurately represent the opinions of all foster parents in Washington State. This is an opportunity for you to tell the Children's Administration and the Braam Oversight Panel how things are going.

In order to ensure your confidentiality, Washington State University's Social and Economic Sciences Research Center (SESRC) is conducting the survey. All information about you will remain in a confidential form here at SESRC and no personally identifying information will be shared with anyone else, including the Braam panel, and Children's Administration. Your answers will be combined with those of other survey participants so that individual answers cannot be identified in the results. Overall survey results will be used by the Braam Panel and by DCFS (Children's Administration) to identify ways of improving the training and support provided to foster parents.


This is the fifth year that a survey of foster parents has been undertaken. The results from the previous annual surveys of over 1200 foster parents and relative caregivers are available on the Braam Panel website at [www.braampanel.org](http://www.braampanel.org).

The interview will take around 20 minutes or so. If the interviewer calls at an inconvenient time, they will gladly make an appointment to call again at a more convenient time.

If you have any questions about the survey or about your participation please call SESRC at 1-800-833-0867 and ask to speak with the Braam survey coordinator, or you may email us at [sesrc@wsu.edu](mailto:sesrc@wsu.edu). You may also call us if you want to schedule a specific appointment time for the interview. Information about the survey is also available at the Braam Panel website at [www.braampanel.org](http://www.braampanel.org).

Thank you so much for your time and for the care you provide every day!

Sincerely,



John Tarnai  
Director

## Survey Questionnaire

### Table of Contents

Question	Section	Outcome
Q1-Q7N	Background Questions	
Q40-Q44N	Child Demographics	
Q125A-Q128C	Sibling Visits (9 Roster Positions)	E.2.1
Q59-Q65	Adequate Information	C.1.3
Q67A-Q72	Same Behavioral Health Provider	B.4.1
Q77N-Q58	Adequate Support - A	C.1.2
Q53	Adequate Support - B	D.1.6
Q33-Q39	Medically Fragile Placements	C.1.5
QR110-QR113	PAY Questions	D.1.4
Q115-QR118	SAY Questions	D.1.3
Q94-Q89	Adequate Support - C	C.1.2
Q27, Q27A	Adequate Training for Role Responsibilities	C.1.1
Q144-Q149N	Demographics	

### Outcome Measurements

Braam Outcomes

Survey Questions

C.1.1 Adequate training of caregivers	Q27
C.1.2 Adequate support of caregivers	Q89, Q93, Q94, Q96, Q57, Q58, Q78
C.1.3 Adequate information for caregivers	Q59, Q61, Q64, Q60, Q62, Q65, Q63A, 63B
D.1.5 Medically fragile care	Q33, Q38, Q35, Q39 (includes screening Q)
D.1.3 SAY - Sexually Aggressive Youth	Q114, Q115, Q118 (includes screening Q)
D.1.4 PAY - Physically Assaultive Youth	Q109 Q110, Q113 (includes screening Q)
D.1.6 Monthly caseworker visits	Q53
B.4.1 Behavioral health services	Q68, Q71, Q72 (includes screening Q)
E.2.1 Sibling visits	Q125, Q126, Q127, Q128, Q128B (includes screening Q)

## Foster Parent/ Caregiver Telephone Questionnaire

### **Introduction**

**BEGIN**

Hello, is this the <Respondent Name> household? This is <interviewer name> from Washington State University.

- 1. Yes – Continue .....1
- 2. Not available – Callback.....2 → Get best time to call back
- 3. Nonworking number .....3
- 4. Noncontact .....4
- 5. Communication barrier .....5
- 6. Other.....6
- 7. Ineligible .....7

**BEGN2**

We mailed a letter recently about a survey we are conducting of foster parents and caregivers in Washington State. Do you remember receiving the letter? (IWR Prompt if R says NO: "It was a brief letter to let you know we would be calling.") The survey is being conducted to improve our understanding of the foster care system in Washington from the foster parent and caregiver perspective. May I speak with the main foster parent or child caregiver in your household?

- 1. Yes - Continue.....1
- 2. Not available - Callback..... 2 → Get best time to call back
- 3. Refusal..... 3 → Thank them for their time

**CELL**

First, for safety reasons I need to ask if this a cellular phone?

- 1. Yes - Continue.....1
- 2. No ..... 2

**CLSAF**

Are you in a place where you can safely talk on the phone and answer my questions (that is you are not currently driving)? (IWR: If R cannot safely talk which included driving in a car then say "Sorry to have bothered you, we can call you back at another time." Set a GB General Call-Back.)

- 1. Yes - Continue.....1
- 2. No ..... 2 → Schedule callback

**CONFD**

Before we begin I want you to know that this interview is completely voluntary and has been approved by Washington State University. While parts of this interview may be monitored by my supervisor, your answers will be kept strictly confidential. If I come to any question you would prefer not to answer, just let me know and I'll skip over it. The questions will take about 20 minutes to complete.

- 1. Yes - Continue.....1
- 2. Not a good time - Callback..... 2 → Get best time to call back
- 3. Refusal..... 3 → Thank them for their time

### Background Questions

- Q1. First, I have just a few questions about your role as a foster parent or caregiver. Between January and June of 2011 were you a foster parent or a relative caregiver caring for a child?**
1. YES
  2. NO → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
  - D → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
  - R → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
- Q2. How many children placed in your home were you caring for between January and June of 2011?**
- \_\_\_\_\_ # CHILDREN (0 to 99)
- Q3. Was/were (the child/children) that you cared for between January and June of 2011 in a guardianship or adopted by you?**
1. YES → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
  2. Some were and some weren't
  3. NO
  - D
  - R
- Q4. Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home?**
1. YES
  2. NO → Skip to Q27 and then Code as Complete-Ineligible
  - D
  - R
- Q5. Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed with you, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during the period of January 1, 2011 and June 30, 2011?**
1. One
  2. Two
  3. Three
  4. Four
  5. Five
  6. Six
  7. Seven
  8. Eight
  9. Nine
- Q6. Were you licensed through the Division of Licensed Resources, known as DLR, or through a Private Agency, or were you not licensed?**
1. DLR
  2. Private Agency
  3. Not licensed → SKIP to Q7N
  4. Not sure → SKIP to Q7N

**Q17. For how many years have you been a Foster Parent or Relative Caregiver?**

\_\_\_\_\_ # YEARS

**Q17A. How many years have you been LICENSED as a Foster Parent?**

\_\_\_\_\_ # YEARS

**Q7N. In the first half of 2011, did you care for any children of a different race or ethnicity than you?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

Throughout the survey when I say "first half of 2011" I mean the time period between January and June of 2011.

#### Child Demographics

IF Q5 >1 → For the remaining questions in this survey, we need to select one child, of the <Q05> children placed in your home in the first half of 2011. When I say "first half of 2011" I mean the time period between January and June of 2011. I am going to refer to the child you choose as "this child." Do you have a child in mind? (IWR: Press ENTER to Continue when R has Child in mind.)

IF Q5 = 1 → The next set of questions are about the one child placed with you, who has spent longer than 60 days in your home during the first half of 2011. Throughout the survey when I say "first half of 2011" I mean the time period between January 1, 2011 and June 30, 2011.

**Q40. Can you please tell me whether this child is male or female?**

1. Male
2. Female

**Q41. How old is this child?**

\_\_\_\_\_ Age in Years

**Q42. In what month and year was this child placed in your home?**

\_\_\_\_\_ Month \_\_\_\_\_ Year

**Q43. Please tell me the racial or ethnic background that best describes this child. (IWR: Read categories if R gives you a race or ethnicity that does not fit the list.)**

- 1 American Indian/Native American
- 2 Black or African American
- 3 Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander
- 4 Hispanic or Latin American
- 5 Caucasian or white
- 6 Eskimo or Aleut
- 7 Multiple ethnicities
- 8 Other ethnicity
- 9 Not Sure

**Q44N. Are you related to this child?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

### Sibling Visits

**Q125A. How many sisters and brothers does this child have?**

0. None → SKIP to Q59
1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight
9. Nine or more
- D. Not sure → SKIP to Q59

**Q126. In the first half of 2011, how many of this child's siblings were placed in your home?**

\_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER OF SIBLINGS PLACED IN HOME

IF Q125 = Q126 → SKIP to Q59

IF Q125 > Q126 THEN CONTINUE TO Q126A

IF Not sure → SKIP to Q59

**CALCULATE NUMBER OF SIBLINGS (Q125 – Q126) TO ASK NEXT QUESTIONS ABOUT**

**You said that \_\_\_\_\_ of this child's \_\_\_\_\_ siblings is/are not placed in your home. I'd like to ask a few questions about each of these siblings not placed in your home, starting with the youngest.**

**CYCLE THROUGH THE NEXT SEQUENCE OF QUESTIONS (Q125b THRU Q128C) FOR EACH SIBLING****Q125B. Is the youngest/next sibling a full, half, or step sibling?**

1. Full
2. Half
3. Step
- D Don't Know

**Q125C. How old is this sibling?**

\_\_\_\_\_ Age in years (range 1 to 99) → IF => 18 SKIP TO Q59

**Q126A. Where is the youngest/next sibling currently placed?**

1. With their mother → SKIP to NEXT SIBLING OR TO Q127
2. With their father → SKIP TO NEXT SIBLING OR TO Q127
3. With a relative or unlicensed family friend
4. In another foster home
5. In a group home
6. Some other setting
7. Don't Know

**Q126B. What is the MAIN reason that the youngest/next sibling is not placed in your home with your foster child? Is it because . . .**

1. *They live too far apart*
2. *They don't get along*
3. *Your home doesn't have room for this sibling*
4. *They can't live together due to safety issues*
5. *They don't know one another*
6. *You are not willing to take this sibling*
7. *The sibling was placed with you, but you asked they be moved due to behavioral issues*
8. *The sibling needs specialized placement due to medical or other treatment needs*
9. *The department didn't ask you to take this sibling*
10. *You are not sure why*
11. *Some other reason \_\_\_\_\_*

**Q127. Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and this sibling?**

- 1 Yes → SKIP to next sibling or to Q59 if no more
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

**Q128. In a typical month in the first half of 2011, how often did this child have visits with this sibling? Would you say . . .**

- 0 Not at all
- 1 Less than once a month
- 2 Once a month
- 3 Twice a month
- 4 Three times a month
- 5 Four times a month
- 6 More than 4 times a month
- 7 Not sure

**Q128B. In a typical month in the first half of 2011, how often did this child have other forms of contact with this sibling, not including staffing meetings or court events? Would you say . . .**

- 0 Not at all
- 1 Less than once a month
- 2 Once a month
- 3 Twice a month
- 4 Three times a month
- 5 Four times a month
- 6 More than 4 times a month
- 7 Not sure

**(ASK NEXT QUESTION ONLY IF RESPONSES TO Q128 AND Q128B TOGETHER TOTAL LESS THAN TWICE A MONTH; I.E., SUM OF Q128 AND Q128B IS < 2)**

**Q128C What are the main reasons that this child has not had visits or other forms of contact with this sibling at least twice a month? (CODE RESPONSE)**

- |  |     |    |    |
|--|-----|----|----|
| A. This child doesn't want visits or contact                     | yes | no | DK |
| B. Visits or contact have not been coordinated by the department | yes | no | DK |
| C. The children are physically far apart                         | yes | no | DK |
| D. Children's age makes phone or other contact unreasonable      | yes | no | DK |
| E. Haven't got around to doing it                                | yes | no | DK |
| F. Scheduling conflicts  | yes | no | DK |
| G. Sibling's parent/caregiver will not allow visits or contact   | yes | no | DK |
| H. Sibling is a runaway  | yes | no | DK |
| I. Sibling was adopted   | yes | no | DK |
| J. Not sure why  | yes | no | DK |
| K. Some other reason   | yes | no | DK |
-

### **Adequate Information about Children's Needs**

Next, I have a few more questions about this child in the first half of 2011, from July through December.

**Q59. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2011?**

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

**Q60. If the child was placed with you in 2011, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2011
4. Not sure

**[IF AGE OF CHILD IN Q41 IS <=4 YEARS → SKIP Q61 & Q62 (since they don't apply)]**

**Q61. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2011?**

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

**Q62. If the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2011
4. Not sure

**Q63. Was this child been identified as having "developmental delays" at the time of placement?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q64A
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q64A

**Q63A. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2011?**

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

**Q63B. If the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2011
4. Not sure

**Q64A. Was this child identified as having "behavioral issues" including substance abuse or mental health issues at the time of placement?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q67A
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q67A

**Q64. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral issues in 2011?**

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

**Q65. IF the child was placed in 2011, did you receive information about this child's behavioral issues within 30 days after she/he was placed in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2011
4. Not sure

Here are some more questions about this child in the first half of 2011, from July through December.

**Same Behavioral Health Provider**

**Q67A. In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in the first half of 2011?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q67
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q67

**Q67B. Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2011?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

**Q67C. Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q67
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q67

**Q67D. Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

**Q67. Where there any other times in 2011, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q77N
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q77N

**Q68. Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q77N
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q77N

**Q70. In 2011, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians?**

- 1 By just one clinician
- 2 By a team of clinicians
- 3 Not sure

**Q71. During 2011, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child/your foster child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?**

- 1 The same clinician/ treatment team → SKIP to Q77N
- 2 Different clinicians/ treatment teams
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q77N

**Q72. In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child/your foster child**

1. YES
2. NO
3. OR YOU ARE NOT SURE

#### Adequate Support - A

**Q77N. During the first half of 2011 were you aware of any shared case planning meetings that were scheduled about this child/your foster child? These are meetings to plan for the placement, treatment needs, or future of the child and include persons beside the caseworker such as service providers, therapists or the child's parents or relatives. They may include ISSP meetings, multiple placement staffing, FTDM meetings, CHET staffings or case conferences, but NOT meetings that were called on an emergency basis.)**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q57
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q57

**Q78. During the first half of 2011 how often did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about these shared case planning meetings? Would you say . . .**

- 1 ALWAYS
- 2 MOST OF THE TIME
- 3 ABOUT HALF THE TIME
- 4 RARELY
- 5 NEVER

**Q57. During the first half of 2011, did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings, excluding hearings called on an emergency basis?**

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 3 OR WERE THERE NO COURT HEARINGS → SKIP to Q53

**Q58. In the first half of 2011, did the agency notify that you have a right to be heard at these hearings?**

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 3 Don't Know

**Adequate Support - B**

**Q53. In the first half of 2011, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child, either at home or outside of the home, while the child was in your care? Would you say . . .**

1. MORE THAN ONCE A MONTH
2. ABOUT ONCE A MONTH
3. ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH
4. ABOUT ONCE EVERY THREE MONTHS
5. ABOUT ONCE IN SIX MONTHS → Read Statement Below
6. NOT AT ALL IN THE FIRST SIX MONTHS OF 2011 → Read Statement Below
7. Not sure

**If respondent answers #5 or #6: You indicated that this child's social worker has not had a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child more often than once in the last six months. This is a serious violation of DSHS policy, and I'd like to encourage you to contact the social worker's supervisor to report this violation. If you do not feel comfortable doing so, here is the phone number for DSHS Constituent Relations so that you can report the violation: 1-800-737-0617.**

**Medically Fragile Placements**

**Q33. In the first half of 2011 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as "medically fragile" (include only those children who remain in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship)**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

**I'd like to read the definition of a "medically fragile" child to you:**

**Medically Fragile Children are those who have medical conditions that require the availability 24-hour skilled care from a health care professional or specially trained family or foster family member. These conditions may be present all the time or frequently occurring. If the technology, support and services being received by the medically fragile children are interrupted or denied, the child may, without immediate health care intervention, experience death.**

**Q33A. Given this definition of "medically fragile" children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

**Q34. How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the first half of 2011?**

\_\_\_\_\_ # MEDICALLY FRAGILE CHILDREN

**Q35. Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for this/these medically fragile child(ren)?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**Q38. In the first half of 2011 did you need medical care for this/these child(ren)?**

1. YES
2. NO → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

**Q39. In 2011 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for this/these child(ren)?**

1. YES
2. NO
3. Not sure

#### **P-A-A-Y Questions**

**QR110. Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2011, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

**I'd like to read the definition of a "Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive" child to you:**

**Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive means those youth who have been observed to willfully assault a person with the potential of inflicting bodily harm to that person. This definition also includes youth who have made real threats to use a weapon or attempted to inflict harm to a person. Developmental level should be considered. A toddler who bites or hits is not considered physically assaultive.**

**Q110A. Given this definition of "Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive" children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

**QR109. Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-A-Y?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**QR111. Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

**QR111A. Was the plan in written form?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**QR111B. Did the agency involve you in developing the youth supervision and safety plan?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**QR113. Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**S-A-Y Questions**

**QR115. Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2011, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q94
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q94

***I'd like to read the definition of a "Sexually Aggressive" child to you:***

***Sexually Aggressive Youth means those youth who have committed a sexually aggressive act or other violent act that is sexual in nature, whether or not they have been charged. This does not refer to developmentally normal exploration. The sexually aggressive acts should have involved power over the victim through age difference (normally four or more years) or force/coercion.***

**Q115A. Given this definition of "Sexually Aggressive" children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q94
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q94

**QR114. Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

**QR116. Was a youth supervision and safety plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q94
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q94

**QR116A. Was the plan in written form?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**QR116B. Did the agency involve you in developing the youth supervision and safety plan?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**QR118. Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

### Adequate Support - C

The next few questions are about your interactions in the first half of 2011.

**Q94. Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2011? Would you say . . .**

- 1 VERY SATISFIED → SKIP TO Q96
- 2 SOMEWHAT SATISFIED → SKIP TO Q96
- 3 SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4 VERY DISSATISFIED
5. Not Sure → SKIP TO Q96

**Q94A. What could the social worker do to improve its support to you as a foster parent?**

\_\_\_\_\_ SOCIAL WORKER

**Q96. Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2011? Would you say . . .**

- 1 VERY SATISFIED → SKIP TO Q93
- 2 SOMEWHAT SATISFIED → SKIP TO Q93
- 3 SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4 VERY DISSATISFIED
5. Not Sure → SKIP TO Q93

**Q96A. What could the agency do to improve its support to you as a foster parent?**

\_\_\_\_\_ **THE AGENCY**

**Q93. How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child during 2011? Would you say they are . . .**

1. VERY SUPPORTIVE → SKIP TO Q87
2. SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE → SKIP TO Q87
3. SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE
4. VERY UNSUPPORTIVE
5. Not sure --SKIP TO Q87

**Q93C. What could the agency do better to help you deal with cultural or ethnic issues related to the care of this child?**

\_\_\_\_\_ **OPEN END**

**Q87. In the first half of 2011, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q27
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q27

**Q89. The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2011, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? Would you say. . .**

- 1 YES, RIGHT AWAY
- 2 YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE
- 3 NO
- 4 Not sure

### Adequate Training for Role Responsibilities

**Q27. Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? Would you say . . .**

- 1 VERY ADEQUATELY → SKIP to Q144
- 2 SOMEWHAT ADEQUATELY → SKIP to Q144
- 3 SOMEWHAT INADEQUATELY
- 4 VERY INADEQUATELY
- 5 Already prepared – did not need the training → SKIP to Q144
- 6 Have not had any training → SKIP to Q144
- 7 Not Sure

**Q27A. What training could the agency provide that would help prepare you to be adequately prepared to care for the needs of foster children?**

\_\_\_\_\_ **OPEN END**

### Demographics

Now, I have just a few questions about you for statistical purposes.

**Q144. (ASK ONLY IF NECESSARY) For survey purposes, I need to ask, are you . . .**

1. MALE
2. FEMALE

**Q145. In what year were you born?**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q146. How would you describe the geographic area in which you live? Would you describe it as . . .**

1. A RURAL AREA
2. A SMALL TOWN
3. A SUBURB
4. A CITY OR URBAN AREA
5. Not sure

**Q147. Please tell me the racial or ethnic background that best describes you. [IWR: Read categories if R gives you a race or ethnicity that does not fit the list.]**

- 1 American Indian
- 2 Black or African American
- 3 Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander
- 4 Hispanic or Latin American
- 5 Caucasian or White
- 6 Eskimo or Aleut
- 7 Multiple ethnicities
- 8 Some other ethnicity
- 9 Not Sure

**Q148. What is the highest grade that you completed in school?**

- 1 None, or grades 1 through 8
- 2 High school incomplete, grades 9 through 11
- 3 High school graduate, grade 12 or GED
- 4 Business, technical, or vocational school AFTER high school
- 5 Some college, but no degree
- 6 Associate (2-year) college degree
- 7 College graduate (4-year), BS, BA, or other
- 8 Post graduate training or professional school
- 9 Masters degree
- 10 Doctorate degree
- 11 Not Sure

**Q149N. Last, I have a question about your household income in 2010, which is being asked to help in the recruitment and retention of foster parents. I am going to read several income categories. Please tell me which income category best describes your household income for 2010, before taxes and other deductions. Please stop me when I reach the correct income category.**

1. A. Less than \$25,000
2. B. Between \$25,000 and \$50,000
3. C. Between \$50,000 and \$75,000
4. D. Between \$75,000 and \$100,000
5. E. Between \$100,000 and \$125,000
6. F. Between \$125,000 and \$150,000, or
7. G. Over \$150,000
- D. Don't know
- R. Refuse

**QLAST Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007, 2008, 2009, or 2010 about your foster care experiences?**

- |            |     |    |
|------------|-----|----|
| A. In 2007 | Yes | No |
| B. In 2008 | Yes | No |
| C. In 2009 | Yes | No |
| D. In 2010 | Yes | No |

**Closing**

**That completes the survey. Your help is greatly appreciated. Thank you very much for taking the time to participate in this survey and share your opinions about these issues.**

Section O. Next section is filled out by the interviewer about the quality of the interview  
**[CATI NOTE: Use standard interviewer questions]**



---

Social & Economic Sciences Research Center  
Washington State University  
P.O. Box 644014  
Pullman, Washington 99164-4014  
Telephone: (509) 335-1511 Fax: (509) 335-0116  
<http://www.sesrc.wsu.edu>  
sesrc@wsu.edu

---