

From: Tim Eastman
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 9:35 AM
To: Smylie, George (DSHS/DCS)
Cc: Andrew McDirmid; Angela Gerbracht; Callaghan, Kevin (WAPA); Gary Bashor; Kristie Dimak
Subject: Re: FW: Minutes - April 25 meeting

Where it says "Tim referenced work from the prior work group," I was referring to the 2007 work group web page. There are child support schedules from past years and other good information on that site that will save us some time. I also provided some tables for comparison.

Tim Eastman

On Wed, Apr 27, 2011 at 8:50 AM, Smylie, George (DSHS/DCS) wrote:

Hello everyone,

Sorry that I'm late on these. They just got overlooked in the shuffle. Anyway, here are Andrew's proposed meeting notes. The only changes which I made were to change RC to RCW (Revised Code of Washington.) Other than that, I don't have any changes. Please let me know if you want changes by Friday at noon or so. After that I will send the notes to be posted on the website. Thanks. ghs

From: Andrew McDirmid
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2011 1:16 PM
To: Smylie, George (DSHS/DCS)
Subject: Minutes - April 25 meeting

Notes from April 25 meeting

- Discussion of whether table is a 25% or 20% table and process for obtaining history on current table (i.e. is it a 25% table)
 - Tim referenced using work performed by prior work group. Consider using the Florida table which is a stable table with lower figures which equates to a residential credit as a whole. Once the lower time parent has more than 35% a residential credit would apply. Kevin expressed concern about recommending a new table as it goes beyond the scope of our subcommittee. Focus may want to be on looking at existing table and going back to use of 25% credit (old calculation) or some tweaking of the old credit.
 - Greg (public representative) suggested, if possible, adding language to RCW setting forth if residential time is fought for by lower time parent then lower time parent can obtain credit.
 - Group discussed what type of RCW has best chance of being accepted by Work group.
- Agenda for next meeting (May 9):
 - Discuss Gary's calculations on 25% credit.
 - George to look into history of current table.
 - Andrew to call Mary Hammerly regarding question pertaining to whether a credit is built into existing table? (Note many of subcommittee members had never heard this).
 - Discussion of other proposals