

Department of Social and Health Services
2012 Reduction Options and Supplemental Budget Request
Special Commitment Center

Table of Contents

Maintenance Level Decision Packages

- M1-IR Special Commitment Center Workload
- M2-9T Transfers
- M2-ME Fund McNeil Island Operations
- M2-ZZ Unemployment

Policy Level Decision Packages

- PL-MJ General Service Reduction
- PL-MP Incarcerated Resident Annual Review
- PL-MR Population Management
- PL-MT SCC Food Cost Savings
- PL-MU SCC Legal Costs
- PL-PF State Data Center Equipment

Recommendation Summary

Budget Period: 2011-13

Version: M2 - 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Budget Level Criteria: ALL

Dollars in Thousands	Program Priority	Annual Avg FTEs	General Fund State	Other Funds	Total Funds
Program 135 - Special Commitment Program					
CB - Current Biennium					
00	Current Biennium Base	0	434.6	95,388	95,388
	SubTotal CB		434.6	95,388	95,388
	Cumulative Total Thru CB		434.6	95,388	95,388
M1 - Mandatory Caseload and Enrollment Changes					
IR	Special Commitment Center Workload	0	(3.6)	2,077	2,077
	SubTotal M1		(3.6)	2,077	2,077
	Cumulative Total Thru M1		431.0	97,465	97,465
M2 - Inflation and Other Rate Changes					
9T	Transfers	0	(30.9)	119	119
ME	Fund McNeil Island Operations	0	17.8	2,300	2,300
ZZ	Unemployment	0	0.0	148	148
	SubTotal M2		(13.1)	2,567	2,567
	Cumulative Total Thru M2		418.0	100,032	100,032
PL - Performance Level					
MJ	General Service Reduction	0	(2.8)	(1,146)	(1,146)
MP	Incarcerated Resident Annual Review	0	0.0	(35)	(35)
MR	Population Management	0	0.0	(1,825)	(1,825)
MT	SCC Food Cost Savings	0	0.0	(54)	(54)
MU	SCC Legal Costs	0	0.0	(1,316)	(1,316)
PF	State Data Center Equipment	0	0.0	4	4
	SubTotal PL		(2.8)	(4,372)	(4,372)
	Cumulative Total Thru PL		415.2	95,660	95,660
Total Proposed Budget for Program 135 - Special Commitment Program			415.2	95,660	95,660

Recommendation Summary Text

9T - Transfers

(M2) The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) requests to shift FTEs and funding among programs in the 2012 Supplemental Budget. This transfer will align FTEs and funds within the programs where the costs are incurred. The net impact is zero.

IR - Special Commitment Center Workload

(M1) The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), requests (3.6) FTEs and \$2,077,000 GF-State for expected changes in facility and community population.

ME - Fund McNeil Island Operations

(M2) The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), requests \$2,300,000 GF-State and 17.8 FTE's in the 2012 Supplemental Budget to support the cost of sustaining operation on McNeil Island.

Recommendation Summary

Budget Period: 2011-13
Budget Level Criteria: ALL

Version: M2 - 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Dollars in Thousands	Program Priority	Annual Avg FTEs	General Fund State	Other Funds	Total Funds
----------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-----------------------	-------------	-------------

MJ - General Service Reduction

(PL) The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), reduces (\$1,146,000) GF-State and (2.8) FTE in the 2012 Supplemental Budget. SCC will reduce staff, eliminate one contract, and reduce medical contracts.

MP - Incarcerated Resident Annual Review

(PL) The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), reduces (\$35,000) GF-State in the 2012 Supplemental Budget. This reduction will be achieved by suspending the requirement for an annual examination during any period of time an SCC resident is incarcerated.

MR - Population Management

(PL) The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), reduces (\$1,825,000) GF-State in the 2012 Supplemental Budget. SCC will reduce legal and operating costs by identifying residents that are deemed to be at low risk of reoffending, performing an assessment sooner than required, and if the resident meets specific criteria, advance them to the courts for consideration of unconditional release.

MT - SCC Food Cost Savings

(PL) The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), reduces (\$54,000) GF-State in the 2012 Supplemental Budget by reducing food expenditures.

MU - SCC Legal Costs

(PL) The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), reduces (\$1,316,000) GF-State in the 2012 Supplemental Budget. SCC will reduce legal cost associated with civilly committing sexually violent predators under Chapter 71.09 RCW.

PF - State Data Center Equipment

(PL) The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Information System Services Division (ISSD), requests \$512,000 (\$291,000 GF-State) in the 2012 Supplemental Budget for startup equipment required to migrate systems from the Office Building 2 (OB2) to the new State Data Center (SDC) located in the 1500 Jefferson Building.

ZZ - Unemployment

(M2) The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) requests \$2,893,000 (\$2,245,000 GF-State) in the 2012 Supplemental Budget to offset increased Unemployment Insurance reimbursement to the Employment Security Department (ESD).

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: M1-IR Special Commitment Center Workload
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Recommendation Summary Text:

The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), requests (3.6) FTEs and \$2,077,000 GF-State for expected changes in facility and community population.

Fiscal Detail:

<u>Operating Expenditures</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Program 135			
001-1 General Fund - Basic Account-State	697,000	1,380,000	2,077,000
Total Cost	697,000	1,380,000	2,077,000

Staffing

	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Annual Avg</u>
Program 135 FTEs	1.7	(8.9)	(3.6)

Package Description:

This request is for FTEs and funding for the SCC total confinement program on McNeil Island, Pierce and King County Secure Community Transition Facilities (SCTFs), and Less Restrictive Alternative (LRA) program. The request is based on the forecasted continuing growth and operational cost associated with all facilities and the expected population that will reside within each one.

The SCC total confinement facility and the Pierce SCFT are located on McNeil Island. Working on a secure island that is accessible only by a restricted passenger ferry is a factor that causes extraordinary challenges in recruiting and retaining staff and obtaining necessary services needed to manage the program, operate the facility, and maintain island operations. In addition to staff recruitment and retention issues, the need to administer a large total confinement facility on island, along with two SCTFs, and an array of transition and treatment services to SCC residents living in their family homes, requires an infrastructure that can support diverse activities to meet the needs of the program.

SCC provides for the confinement, care, and treatment of persons who have been convicted of or charged with a crime of sexual violence and who suffer from a mental abnormality or personality disorder, which makes these persons likely to engage in predatory acts of violence if not confined. SCC has provided this care and treatment to residents since 1991 and the workload continues to increase annually.

Agency Contact: Debbie Schaub (360) 902-8177
Program Contact: Kelly Cunningham (253) 583-5933

Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

To keep the residents in SCC care in a healthy, safe, and secure environment and to provide treatment for a successful release back into the community.

Performance Measure Detail

Program: 135

Activity: C013 Civil Commitment Less Restrictive Alternatives

No measures linked to package

<u>Incremental Changes</u>	
<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>
0.00	0.00

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: M1-IR Special Commitment Center Workload
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Activity: C014 Civil Commitment-Sexual Predators

Incremental Changes

FY 1

FY 2

No measures linked to package

0.00

0.00

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan?

This decision package provides for continued treatment and care with staffing and support services based on historical and anticipated resident populations.

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities?

Yes, it provides essential support to the priority to improve the safety of people and property and the health of Washingtonians.

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process?

This request would rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government (POG), for public safety and health. It is crucial to keep our civilly committed residents safe from each other and confined until their treatment is completed, which supports the Governor's goal of public safety and health by protecting the safety and security of Washington State citizens.

What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?

This decision package provides for continued confinement, care, and treatment of persons who have been convicted of or charged with a crime of sexual violence and who suffer from a mental abnormality or personality disorder, which makes these persons likely to engage in predatory acts of violence if not confined. All SCC stakeholders will continue to support the confined resident's treatment.

What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

Alternatives have been carefully considered, but are limited by RCW 71.09.

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

Without funding, SCC will not be able to provide adequate and appropriate services to its residents. The program could be placed in jeopardy of non-compliance with federal standards of supporting constitutionality of a civil commitment program.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget?

None

What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?

None

Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions

See attachment: SCC M1-IR Special Commitment Center Workload.xls

Which costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

This request reflects ongoing operational costs for resident care. There are no one-time costs in this request.

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: M1-IR Special Commitment Center Workload
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Program 135 Objects			
A Salaries And Wages	0	20,000	20,000
B Employee Benefits	0	392,000	392,000
E Goods And Services	579,800	664,800	1,244,600
J Capital Outlays	(22,000)	(6,000)	(28,000)
N Grants, Benefits & Client Services	138,200	308,200	446,400
T Intra-Agency Reimbursements	1,000	1,000	2,000
Total Objects	697,000	1,380,000	2,077,000

DSHS Source Code Detail

Program 135	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fund 001-1, General Fund - Basic Account-State			
<u>Sources Title</u>			
0011 General Fund State	697,000	1,380,000	2,077,000
<i>Total for Fund 001-1</i>	697,000	1,380,000	2,077,000
Total Program 135	697,000	1,380,000	2,077,000

**2012 Supplemental Budget
M1-IR Special Commitment Center Workload**

Main Facility MG90			
FTE's Object	FY 12	FY 13	Totals
	1.7	(8.9)	(3.6)
A	0	20,000	20,000
B	0	392,000	392,000
C	0	0	0
E	511,000	614,000	1,125,000
ED	0	0	0
G	0	0	0
J	0	0	0
N	26,000	105,000	131,000
P	0	0	0
TZ	1,000	1,000	2,000
Total	538,000	1,132,000	1,670,000

Pierce County SCTF MG73			
FTE's Object	FY 12	FY 13	Totals
	0.0	0.0	0.0
A	0	0	0
B	0	0	0
C	0	0	0
E	(38,000)	(46,000)	(84,000)
ED	0	0	0
G	0	0	0
J	(12,000)	4,000	(8,000)
N	(202,000)	(81,000)	(283,000)
P	0	0	0
TZ	0	0	0
Total	(252,000)	(123,000)	(375,000)

King County SCTF MG74			
FTE's Object	FY 12	FY 13	Totals
	0.0	0.0	0.0
A	0	0	0
B	0	0	0
C	0	0	0
E	107,000	97,000	204,000
ED	0	0	0
G	0	0	0
J	(10,000)	(10,000)	(20,000)
N	32,000	15,000	47,000
P	0	0	0
TZ	0	0	0
Total	129,000	102,000	231,000

SCC Community MG72			
FTE's Object	FY 12	FY 13	Totals
	0.0	0.0	0.0
A	0	0	0
B	0	0	0
C	0	0	0
E	(1,200)	(6,200)	(7,400)
ED	0	0	0
G	0	0	0
J	0	0	0
N	282,200	269,200	551,400
P	0	0	0
TZ	0	0	0
Total	281,000	263,000	544,000

SCC Administration MG60			
FTE's Object	FY 12	FY 13	Totals
	0.0	0.0	0.0
A	0	0	0
B	0	0	0
C	0	0	0
E	1,000	6,000	7,000
ED	0	0	0
G	0	0	0
J	0	0	0
N	0	0	0
P	0	0	0
TZ	0	0	0
Total	1,000	6,000	7,000

Rollup -- Main Facility/SCTFs/All Combined			
FTE's Object	FY 12	FY 13	Totals
	1.7	(8.9)	(3.6)
A	0	20,000	20,000
B	0	392,000	392,000
C	0	0	0
E	579,800	664,800	1,244,600
ED	0	0	0
G	0	0	0
J	(22,000)	(6,000)	(28,000)
N	138,200	308,200	446,400
P	0	0	0
TZ	1,000	1,000	2,000
Total	697,000	1,380,000	2,077,000

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: M2-9T Transfers
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Recommendation Summary Text:

The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) requests to shift FTEs and funding among programs in the 2012 Supplemental Budget. This transfer will align FTEs and funds within the programs where the costs are incurred. The net impact is zero.

Fiscal Detail:

<u>Operating Expenditures</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
001-1 General Fund - Basic Account-State	119,000	0	119,000
Total Cost	119,000	0	119,000

Staffing

	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Annual Avg</u>
Agency FTEs	(24.7)	(37.0)	(30.9)

Package Description:

DSHS requests an internal transfer among several program budgets resulting in a net zero funding change for the department. To align program appropriations with planned expenditures for the current budget, DSHS requests the following adjustments:

Information System Services Division (ISSD) Compensation Adjustment (110 to 010, 020, 030, 040, 050, 060, 070, 100, 135, 150): Administration and Supporting Services (Administration) will transfer compensation costs for staff in ISSD to other DSHS programs. Compensation steps associated with staff in ISSD are routinely included within the budget steps for Administration. Administration will distribute \$383,000 (\$303,000 GF-State) of ISSD's costs to the programs. These steps include: 1) savings from ending automatic benefit increases from the Public Employees' Retirement System Plan 1; 2) health insurance decrease in Fiscal Year 2013 to reflect the expected decrease of state employees in 2011-13, (the decrease in the carry forward level has already been adjusted in Fiscal Year 2012); 3) 3 percent cost savings in employee salaries; and 4) employer contributions adjusted to levels adopted by the Pension Funding Council. ISSD's budget resides in programs' budgets at Sub-Object TZ.

Department of Information Services (DIS) Rate Reduction (145 to 010, 020, 030, 040, 050, 060, 070, 100, 135, 150): Payments to Other Agencies (PTOA) will distribute \$2,130,000 (\$1,494,000 GF-State) of DIS rate adjustment costs to the various programs. DIS reduced their 2011-13 rates in technology leasing services, storage, and mainframe computing. Also, the Central Service Model reduced the department's funding for DIS services. PTOA does not pay these DIS costs for the entire department; rather, they are paid out of the programs' budgets at the Sub-Object EL levels.

Medicaid Purchasing Administration (MPA) Funding (110 to 010, 020, 030, 040, 050, 060, 070, 100, 135): Administration will distribute \$456,000 (\$296,000 GF-State) in costs to DSHS programs for the funding of positions that were transferred to the Health Care Authority (HCA). The negotiation for the DSHS and MPA transfer required DSHS to provide additional staff funding to HCA. Administration distributes this cost to the programs in this request. (Economic Services Administration (ESA) agreed to provide 3.0 FTEs, which were transferred out of the ESA 2011-13 Biennial Budget.) This distribution will give each program a share of the cost without Administration carrying the full cost as this was the original intent.

Department of Labor and Industries (L&I) Funding from PTOA to Programs (145 to 010, 020, 030, 040, 050, 060, 070, 100, 135, 150):

L&I funding for the various DSHS programs was provided in PTOA's budget and will need to transfer to the respective programs. PTOA will transfer \$9,341,000 (\$6,725,000 GF-State) to the programs.

ISSD Transfer of Email and Blackberry services to DIS (All DSHS Programs):

ISSD will no longer provide email and blackberry services for the department and will transfer these services to DIS. Program's

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: M2-9T Transfers
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

funding for these services are in Sub-Object TZ and will need to transfer to Sub-Object EL. The estimated transfer amount is \$624,000 total funds for Fiscal Year 2012 and \$1,208,000 total funds for Fiscal Year 2013. Amounts in the first year are estimates because the transfer timeline may change.

ISSD Staff Transfer to Administration (150 or 020, 030, 040, 050, 060, 070, 100, 135 to 110):
ISSD will transfer 1.0 FTE and \$216,000 (\$126,000 GF-State) to Administration, which fits with the current organizational reporting structure. As mentioned above, because of ISSD's budget residing in the programs' Sub-Object TZ costs, programs will transfer \$176,000 of their ISSD's costs to Administration, which will also reduce their share of ISSD's costs by \$40,000.

Mental Health Category Transfers (030 8000 to 030 9000):
DSHS, Mental Health (MH), is a categorically appropriated program. Thus, MH requests to move 8.6 FTEs and \$671,000 (\$74,000 GF-State) from Category 8000 to Category 9000 to align appropriations where costs are incurred.

Consolidated Field Services FTE Transfer (010, 020, 030, 040, 050, 060, 135 to 110 & 160):
Program 160 - Consolidated Field Services (CFS) will consolidate 343.1 FTEs in Fiscal Year 2012 and 540.2 FTEs in Fiscal Year 2013 from various DSHS Programs, which is designed to centralize services and maximize efficiencies in order to support business functions and maintenance for the regional centers and the institutions. CFS will operate as a chargeback to programs in order to maintain the maximum federal participation rate that DSHS Programs receive. Part of this consolidation is a transfer of 8.0 FTEs and \$704,000 (\$490,000 GF-State) in Fiscal Year 2012 and 13.0 FTEs and \$1,021,000 (\$714,000 GF-State) in Fiscal Year 2013 for payroll staff, Facility Planners and a Fiscal Coordinator from the various programs to Program 110. The consolidation will phase in from November 1, 2011, through March 1, 2012, and has been approved by the Legislative Evaluation and Accountability Program (LEAP) Committee.

These transfers will realign the funding with the DSHS programs to be charged.

Agency contact: Tula Habb (360) 902-8182

Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

DSHS accounts for the wise use of public dollars by maximizing federal funding sources.

Performance Measure Detail

Agency Level

Activity: C014 Civil Commitment-Sexual Predators

No measures linked to package

Incremental Changes

<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>
0.00	0.00

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan?

Yes. This request supports our goal to improve health care quality and access and to improve internal and external partnerships.

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities?

Yes. This request supports the Governor's priority associated with efficient state government services for the people of Washington State.

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process?

DP Code/Title: M2-9T Transfers
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Yes. This request makes key contributions to the Governor's statewide results to "strengthen government's ability to achieve results efficiently and effectively."

This package will rate high in the Priorities of Government (POG) process as it will assist us in ensuring that needed support and funds are in the correct programs. In addition, it will allow DSHS to monitor costs and services efficiently and effectively.

What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?

None

What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

None

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

If the funding authority is not transferred between programs, DSHS would be required to develop internal mechanisms to track and transfer costs resulting in reduced efficiency.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget?

None

What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?

None

Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions

See attachment: AW M2-9T Transfers.xlsx

Which costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

The transfer is one-time resulting in the funding being in the correct programs. Then, all costs associated with these transfers will be ongoing and will carry-forward into future biennia.

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
A Salaries And Wages	(39,000)	(58,000)	(97,000)
B Employee Benefits	168,000	69,000	237,000
E Goods And Services	(6,000)	(6,000)	(12,000)
T Intra-Agency Reimbursements	(4,000)	(5,000)	(9,000)
Total Objects	119,000	0	119,000

State of Washington
Decision Package
Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: M2-9T Transfers
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

DSHS Source Code Detail

Overall Funding		<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fund 001-1, General Fund - Basic Account-State				
<u>Sources</u>	<u>Title</u>			
0011	General Fund State	119,000	0	119,000
<i>Total for Fund 001-1</i>		119,000	0	119,000
Total Overall Funding		119,000	0	119,000

**2012 Supplemental Budget
M2-9T Transfers**

	Program	FTEs			FY 2012			FY 2013			2011-13 Biennium		
		FY12	FY13	Total	001-1	Other	Total	001-1	Other	Total	001-1	Other	Total
010	Children's Administration												
	ISSD compensation adjustments from Admin			0.0	(19,000)	(9,000)	(28,000)	(23,000)	(13,000)	(36,000)	(42,000)	(22,000)	(64,000)
	DIS reductions from PTOA			0.0	(103,000)	(44,000)	(147,000)	(100,000)	(43,000)	(143,000)	(203,000)	(87,000)	(290,000)
	MPA costs from Admin			0.0	(24,000)	(13,000)	(37,000)	(24,000)	(13,000)	(37,000)	(48,000)	(26,000)	(74,000)
	L&I Funding from PTOA			0.0	192,000	63,000	255,000	80,000	26,000	106,000	272,000	89,000	361,000
	Email & BB to DIS from ISSD (net zero impact)			0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin			0.0	(6,000)	(9,000)	(15,000)	(6,000)	(9,000)	(15,000)	(12,000)	(18,000)	(30,000)
	FTE Consolidation Transfer	(10.9)	(26.2)	(18.6)	(37,000)	(41,000)	(78,000)	(52,000)	(59,000)	(111,000)	(89,000)	(100,000)	(189,000)
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	010 Total	(10.9)	(26.2)	(18.6)	3,000	(53,000)	(50,000)	(125,000)	(111,000)	(236,000)	(122,000)	(164,000)	(286,000)
020	Juvenile Rehabilitation												
	ISSD compensation adjustments from Admin			0.0	(5,000)		(5,000)	(7,000)		(7,000)	(12,000)	0	(12,000)
	DIS reductions from PTOA			0.0	(8,000)		(8,000)	(8,000)		(8,000)	(16,000)	0	(16,000)
	MPA costs from Admin			0.0	(8,000)	(4,000)	(12,000)	(8,000)	(4,000)	(12,000)	(16,000)	(8,000)	(24,000)
	L&I Funding from PTOA			0.0	382,000		382,000	179,000		179,000	561,000	0	561,000
	Email & BB to DIS from ISSD (net zero impact)			0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin			0.0	(3,000)	0	(3,000)	(3,000)	0	(3,000)	(6,000)	0	(6,000)
	FTE Consolidation Transfer	(36.0)	(55.1)	(45.6)	(11,000)	0	(11,000)	(15,000)	0	(15,000)	(26,000)	0	(26,000)
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	020 Total	(36.0)	(55.1)	(45.6)	347,000	(4,000)	343,000	138,000	(4,000)	134,000	485,000	(8,000)	477,000
030	Mental Health												
	ISSD compensation adjustments from Admin			0.0	(12,000)	1,000	(11,000)	(15,000)	1,000	(14,000)	(27,000)	2,000	(25,000)
	DIS reductions from PTOA			0.0	(19,000)	4,000	(15,000)	(18,000)	4,000	(14,000)	(37,000)	8,000	(29,000)
	MPA costs from Admin			0.0	(26,000)	(14,000)	(40,000)	(26,000)	(14,000)	(40,000)	(52,000)	(28,000)	(80,000)
	L&I Funding from PTOA			0.0	2,011,000	249,000	2,260,000	893,000	110,000	1,003,000	2,904,000	359,000	3,263,000
	Email & BB to DIS from ISSD (net zero impact)			0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin			0.0	(6,000)	0	(6,000)	(6,000)	0	(6,000)	(12,000)	0	(12,000)
	Category 8000	(8.6)	(8.6)	(8.6)	(296,000)	(38,000)	(334,000)	(301,000)	(36,000)	(337,000)	(597,000)	(74,000)	(671,000)
	Category 9000	8.6	8.6	8.6	296,000	38,000	334,000	301,000	36,000	337,000	597,000	74,000	671,000
	FTE Consolidation Transfer	(119.2)	(178.9)	(149.1)	(239,000)	0	(239,000)	(353,000)	0	(353,000)	(592,000)	0	(592,000)
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	030 Total	(119.2)	(178.9)	(149.1)	1,709,000	240,000	1,949,000	475,000	101,000	576,000	2,184,000	341,000	2,525,000
040	Division of Developmental Disabilities												
	ISSD compensation adjustments from Admin			0.0	(9,000)	(2,000)	(11,000)	(10,000)	(3,000)	(13,000)	(19,000)	(5,000)	(24,000)
	DIS reductions from PTOA			0.0	(27,000)	(1,000)	(28,000)	(26,000)		(26,000)	(53,000)	(1,000)	(54,000)
	MPA costs from Admin			0.0	(31,000)	(17,000)	(48,000)	(31,000)	(17,000)	(48,000)	(62,000)	(34,000)	(96,000)
	L&I Funding from PTOA			0.0	1,366,000	1,311,000	2,677,000	670,000	644,000	1,314,000	2,036,000	1,955,000	3,991,000
	Email & BB to DIS from ISSD (net zero impact)			0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin			0.0	(5,000)	(1,000)	(6,000)	(5,000)	(1,000)	(6,000)	(10,000)	(2,000)	(12,000)
	FTE Consolidation Transfer	(144.9)	(219.7)	(182.3)	(62,000)	(105,000)	(167,000)	(92,000)	(152,000)	(244,000)	(154,000)	(257,000)	(411,000)
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	040 Total	(144.9)	(219.7)	(182.3)	1,232,000	1,185,000	2,417,000	506,000	471,000	977,000	1,738,000	1,656,000	3,394,000
050	Long Term Care												
	ISSD compensation adjustments from Admin			0.0	(11,000)	(3,000)	(14,000)	(14,000)	(4,000)	(18,000)	(25,000)	(7,000)	(32,000)
	DIS reductions from PTOA			0.0	(44,000)	(15,000)	(59,000)	(44,000)	(14,000)	(58,000)	(88,000)	(29,000)	(117,000)
	MPA costs from Admin			0.0	(12,000)	(7,000)	(19,000)	(12,000)	(7,000)	(19,000)	(24,000)	(14,000)	(38,000)
	L&I Funding from PTOA			0.0	77,000	51,000	128,000	33,000	21,000	54,000	110,000	72,000	182,000
	Email & BB to DIS from ISSD (net zero impact)			0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin			0.0	(4,000)	(3,000)	(7,000)	(4,000)	(3,000)	(7,000)	(8,000)	(6,000)	(14,000)
	FTE Consolidation Transfer	(3.9)	(9.1)	(6.5)	(15,000)	(13,000)	(28,000)	(22,000)	(18,000)	(40,000)	(37,000)	(31,000)	(68,000)
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	050 Total	(3.9)	(9.1)	(6.5)	(9,000)	10,000	1,000	(63,000)	(25,000)	(88,000)	(72,000)	(15,000)	(87,000)
060	Economic Services Administration												
	ISSD compensation adjustments from Admin			0.0	(76,000)	(16,000)	(92,000)	(91,000)	(27,000)	(118,000)	(167,000)	(43,000)	(210,000)
	DIS reductions from PTOA			0.0	(530,000)	(260,000)	(790,000)	(519,000)	(255,000)	(774,000)	(1,049,000)	(515,000)	(1,564,000)
	MPA costs from Admin			0.0	(39,000)	(21,000)	(60,000)	(39,000)	(21,000)	(60,000)	(78,000)	(42,000)	(120,000)
	L&I Funding from PTOA			0.0	325,000	90,000	415,000	140,000	39,000	179,000	465,000	129,000	594,000
	Email & BB to DIS from ISSD (net zero impact)			0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin			0.0	(25,000)	(22,000)	(47,000)	(25,000)	(22,000)	(47,000)	(50,000)	(44,000)	(94,000)
	FTE Consolidation Transfer	(11.5)	(27.2)	(19.4)	(72,000)	(55,000)	(127,000)	(101,000)	(78,000)	(179,000)	(173,000)	(133,000)	(306,000)
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	060 Total	(11.5)	(27.2)	(19.4)	(417,000)	(284,000)	(701,000)	(635,000)	(364,000)	(999,000)	(1,052,000)	(648,000)	(1,700,000)
070	Division of Alcohol and Substance Abuse												
	ISSD compensation adjustments from Admin			0.0	(1,000)		(1,000)	(1,000)		(1,000)	(2,000)	0	(2,000)
	DIS reductions from PTOA			0.0		(1,000)	(1,000)		(1,000)	(1,000)	0	(2,000)	(2,000)
	MPA costs from Admin			0.0	(1,000)		(1,000)	(1,000)		(1,000)	(2,000)	0	(2,000)
	L&I Funding from PTOA			0.0	6,000	1,000	7,000	3,000		3,000	9,000	1,000	10,000
	Email & BB to DIS from ISSD (net zero impact)			0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin			0.0	(1,000)	0	(1,000)	(1,000)	0	(1,000)	(2,000)	0	(2,000)
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	070 Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,000	0	3,000	0	(1,000)	(1,000)	3,000	(1,000)	2,000

**2012 Supplemental Budget
M2-9T Transfers**

	Program	FTEs			FY 2012			FY 2013			2011-13 Biennium		
		FY12	FY13	Total	001-1	Other	Total	001-1	Other	Total	001-1	Other	Total
100	Division of Voc. Rehabilitation												
	ISSD compensation adjustments from Admin			0.0	(2,000)	(2,000)	(4,000)	(2,000)	(3,000)	(5,000)	(4,000)	(5,000)	(9,000)
	DIS reductions from PTOA			0.0	(2,000)	(5,000)	(7,000)	(2,000)	(5,000)	(7,000)	(4,000)	(10,000)	(14,000)
	MPA costs from Admin			0.0	(1,000)	(4,000)	(5,000)	(1,000)	(4,000)	(5,000)	(2,000)	(8,000)	(10,000)
	L&I Funding from PTOA			0.0	30,000		30,000	12,000		12,000	42,000	0	42,000
	Email & BB to DIS from ISSD (net zero impact)			0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin			0.0	0	(2,000)	(2,000)	0	(2,000)	(2,000)	0	(4,000)	(4,000)
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	100 Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	25,000	(13,000)	12,000	7,000	(14,000)	(7,000)	32,000	(27,000)	5,000
110	Administration & Supporting Services												
	ISSD compensation adjustments from Admin			0.0	137,000	31,000	168,000	166,000	49,000	215,000	303,000	80,000	383,000
	DIS reductions from PTOA			0.0	(21,000)		(21,000)	(21,000)		(21,000)	(42,000)	0	(42,000)
	MPA costs from Admin			0.0	148,000	80,000	228,000	148,000	80,000	228,000	296,000	160,000	456,000
	L&I Funding from PTOA			0.0	37,000	8,000	45,000	16,000	3,000	19,000	53,000	11,000	64,000
	Email & BB to DIS from ISSD (net zero impact)			0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin			0.0	51,000	37,000	88,000	51,000	37,000	88,000	102,000	74,000	176,000
	FTE Consolidation Transfer	1.0	1.0	1.0	490,000	214,000	704,000	714,000	307,000	1,021,000	1,204,000	521,000	1,725,000
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	110 Total	9.0	14.0	11.5	842,000	370,000	1,212,000	1,074,000	476,000	1,550,000	1,916,000	846,000	2,762,000
135	Special Commitment Center												
	ISSD compensation adjustments from Admin			0.0	(2,000)		(2,000)	(3,000)		(3,000)	(5,000)	0	(5,000)
	DIS reductions from PTOA			0.0	(1,000)		(1,000)	(1,000)		(1,000)	(2,000)	0	(2,000)
	MPA costs from Admin			0.0	(6,000)		(6,000)	(6,000)		(6,000)	(12,000)	0	(12,000)
	L&I Funding from PTOA			0.0	183,000		183,000	90,000		90,000	273,000	0	273,000
	Email & BB to DIS from ISSD (net zero impact)			0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin			0.0	(1,000)	0	(1,000)	(1,000)	0	(1,000)	(2,000)	0	(2,000)
	FTE Consolidation Transfer	(24.7)	(37.0)	(30.9)	(54,000)	0	(54,000)	(79,000)	0	(79,000)	(133,000)	0	(133,000)
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	135 Total	(24.7)	(37.0)	(30.9)	119,000	0	119,000	0	0	0	119,000	0	119,000
145	Payments to Other Agencies												
	DIS reductions from PTOA			0.0	755,000	322,000	1,077,000	739,000	314,000	1,053,000	1,494,000	636,000	2,130,000
	L&I Funding from PTOA			0.0	(4,609,000)	(1,773,000)	(6,382,000)	(2,116,000)	(843,000)	(2,959,000)	(6,725,000)	(2,616,000)	(9,341,000)
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	145 Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	(3,854,000)	(1,451,000)	(5,305,000)	(1,377,000)	(529,000)	(1,906,000)	(5,231,000)	(1,980,000)	(7,211,000)
150	Information System Services Division												
	Email & BB to DIS from ISSD	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	145 Total	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
160	Consolidated Field Services												
	FTE Consolidation Transfer	343.1	540.2	441.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
				0.0			0			0	0	0	0
	150 Total	343.1	540.2	441.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Agency-Wide:	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

notes:

- Information System Services Division (ISSD) compensation adjustments from Administration & Supporting Services (Admin).
- Department of Information Services (DIS) rate & central service reductions from Payments to Other Agencies (PTOA).
- Additional Medicaid Purchasing Administration (MPA) costs to transfer from Admin.
- L&I funding from PTOA to programs.
- Email and Blackberry (BB) services transfer from ISSD to DIS. Net impact to the programs is zero; however, ISSD's funding will decrease by the amount programs reduce/transfer their TZ costs.
- ISSD Staff Transfer to Admin.
- In Mental Health, move FTEs and funding from Category 8000 to 9000 to align appropriations where costs are incurred.
- FTE Transfer related to the Regional Business Centers, Institutional Business Offices, and Maintenance Operations are consolidated in Program 160.

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: M2-ME Fund McNeil Island Operations
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Recommendation Summary Text:

The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), requests \$2,300,000 GF-State and 17.8 FTE's in the 2012 Supplemental Budget to support the cost of sustaining operation on McNeil Island.

Fiscal Detail:

<u>Operating Expenditures</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Program 135			
001-1 General Fund - Basic Account-State	840,000	1,460,000	2,300,000
Total Cost	840,000	1,460,000	2,300,000

Staffing

	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Annual Avg</u>
Program 135 FTEs	12.8	22.8	17.8

Package Description:

The transition of McNeil Island from the Department of Corrections (DOC) authority to DSHS has brought about the need for staff that was not initially identified in the 2011 Supplemental Budget or the 2011-13 Biennial Budget.

- Additional staff to run the ferries and tug boats to remain certified by the United States Coast Guard; 9.1 FTE's.
- One additional FTE for the fire department to provided for full 24/7 island coverage for safety of staff, residents and state resources.
- One additional FTE for the wastewater treatment systems maintenance and operation.
- 11.7 FTE's to replace supports that DOC provided to SCC and to the operation of the island. This includes escorting SCC residents off island, security, communication, boat maintenance, and the island and facility operations.

DSHS, SCC, took on the responsibility of all island infrastructure on July 1, 2011. Examples of these new responsibilities include the following:

- Marine Operations
- Fire Department
- Wastewater collection, transportation and treatment
- Domestic water creation, storage and distribution
- Island wide electrical transmission
- Boiler plants
- Fuel storage and distribution
- Warehouses and specific use buildings
- External lighting and irrigation system

Agency Contact: Debbie Schaub (360) 902-8177
Program Contact: Kelly Cunningham (253) 583-5933

Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

To keep the SCC residents and staff in a healthy, safe, and secure environment.

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: M2-ME Fund McNeil Island Operations
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Performance Measure Detail

Program: 135

Activity: C014 Civil Commitment-Sexual Predators

No measures linked to package

Incremental Changes

FY 1

FY 2

0.00

0.00

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan?

The transfer of McNeil Island to DSHS is a new development and has not been included in the agency's strategic plan.

This decision package requests the staff that are required to maintain island operations that had historically been performed by DOC. These include operating and maintaining the marine department, fire department, and island functionality.

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities?

Yes, improve the safety of people and property and to improve the quality of Washington's natural resources.

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process?

Contributes to the safety of people and the protection of property.

What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?

The staff requested in this decision package are essential to staff movement to and from the island, essential to maintaining a fire department, and to adequately support the operation of an island institution.

Without the requested level of marine, fire and island support, the welfare of SCC residents and staff would be vulnerable in a fire or other natural disaster situation, utility outage, and limit operations of the marine department.

What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

Alternatives are still being considered, these include contracting for marine and fire services with local government or private entities and relocating SCC off of McNeil Island.

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

Without funding, SCC will not be able to provide adequate and appropriate supports to its staff and residents. The program could be placed in jeopardy of non-compliance with the Coast Guard and other federal and state requirements.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget?

None

What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?

None

Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions

See attachment: SCC M2-ME Fund McNeil Island Operations.xls

Which costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: M2-ME Fund McNeil Island Operations
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

All costs are ongoing.

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Program 135 Objects			
A Salaries And Wages	526,000	910,000	1,436,000
B Employee Benefits	211,000	357,000	568,000
E Goods And Services	91,000	169,000	260,000
T Intra-Agency Reimbursements	12,000	24,000	36,000
Total Objects	840,000	1,460,000	2,300,000

DSHS Source Code Detail

Program 135	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fund 001-1, General Fund - Basic Account-State			
<u>Sources Title</u>			
0011 General Fund State	840,000	1,460,000	2,300,000
<i>Total for Fund 001-1</i>	840,000	1,460,000	2,300,000
Total Program 135	840,000	1,460,000	2,300,000

**2012 Supplemental Budget
M2-ME Fund McNeil Island Operations**

	FY 2012		FY 2013		FY 2012		FY 2013		FY 2012		FY 2013	
	FTE	FTE	Salary	Benefits	Salary	Benefits	Salary	Benefits	Salary	Benefits	G & S	ISSD
Marine												
Marine/Tug Boat Operator	0.7	0.7	38,859	15,544	38,859	15,544	4,931	720	38,859	15,544	4,931	720
Ferry Operator	0.7	0.7	46,957	18,783	46,957	18,783	4,931	720	46,957	18,783	4,931	720
Ferry Operator Assistant	7.7	7.7	254,570	101,828	254,570	101,828	54,239	7,921	254,570	101,828	54,239	7,921
	9.1	9.1	340,386	136,154	340,386	136,154	64,100	9,362	340,386	136,154	64,100	9,362
Other												
Electronic Comm System Tech	1.0	1.0	58,316	23,326	58,316	23,326	7,044	1,029	58,316	23,326	7,044	1,029
Electrician Lead - High Voltage	0.3	1.0	11,972	4,789	11,972	4,789	1,761	257	47,887	19,155	7,044	1,029
Maintenance Mechanic 2	0.7	2.0	60,012	24,005	60,012	24,005	4,755	694	88,906	35,563	14,088	2,058
Locksmith	0.3	1.0	10,066	4,026	10,066	4,026	1,761	257	40,263	16,105	7,044	1,029
Customer Service Spec 3	0.3	1.0	7,397	2,959	7,397	2,959	1,761	257	29,589	11,836	7,044	1,029
Stationary Engineer 2	0.3	0.7	14,017	5,607	14,017	5,607	2,113	309	32,706	13,082	4,931	720
Security Guard 2	2.0	8.0	82,632	33,053	82,632	33,053	14,088	2,058	330,528	125,601	56,352	8,230
	3.7	13.7	186,095	74,438	186,095	74,438	33,283	4,861	569,879	221,341	103,547	15,123
	12.8	22.8	526,481	210,592	526,481	210,592	90,339	12,345	910,265	357,495	167,647	24,484
Per Fiscal Year Rounded												
2011 - 13 Biennium								840,000				1,460,000
												2,300,000

Note: Salaries are at the 3 percent reduction levels

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: M2-ZZ Unemployment
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Recommendation Summary Text:

The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) requests \$2,893,000 (\$2,245,000 GF-State) in the 2012 Supplemental Budget to offset increased Unemployment Insurance reimbursement to the Employment Security Department (ESD).

Fiscal Detail:

<u>Operating Expenditures</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
001-1 General Fund - Basic Account-State	91,000	57,000	148,000
Total Cost	91,000	57,000	148,000

Staffing

Package Description:

Unemployment expenditures have increased significantly since 2008. DSHS has not been funded for this increase costs attributed to reductions to overall staffing levels. This decision package request funds related to the increase from 2008 which cannot be absorbed.

DSHS is self-insured for its unemployment insurance obligations, reimbursing the ESD for all unemployment benefits paid out to former employees. As DSHS has reduced its staffing level, its most current ESD quarterly bill, 2nd Quarter Calendar Year 2011, shows a 60 percent increase above its average quarterly ESD reimbursement from State Fiscal Year 2008. DSHS has reduced its FTEs by 2,400 or 13 percent since 2008 with no additional funding provided for its unemployment insurance obligations.

Agency contact: Edd Giger (360) 902-8067

Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

This request is necessary to ensure DSHS has adequate funds to reimburse the ESD for increased Unemployment Insurance billings due to mandated staff reduction.

Performance Measure Detail

Agency Level

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan?

This request contributes to the agency goal of strong management to increase public trust.

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities?

Yes. This request supports priority for economic security to promote practices and programs that protect workers.

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process?

This decision package makes key contributions to the following statewide results: Commitment to promote practices and programs that protect workers.

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: M2-ZZ Unemployment
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?

All DSHS programs are impacted by this request.

What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

None. Given the large reductions undertaken by DSHS, the department is no longer able to absorb the increase costs associated with unemployment insurance compensation above funded levels.

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

If this decision package is not funded, then DSHS will have to divert funds for client services to offset this expense.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget?

None

What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?

None

Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions

See attachment: AW M2 - ZZ Unemployment.xls

To develop the Unemployment model, the department looked at the Unemployment Rate Forecast completed by the state of Washington Revenue Forecast Council. The Unemployment Rate Forecast predicts unemployment will decline by an average of 0.6 percent each year. The department assumption is to dampened the trend by 75 percent given the current unemployment rate and the potential of additional reductions in Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013.

Which costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

These costs are ongoing.

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
B Employee Benefits	91,000	57,000	148,000
 <u>DSHS Source Code Detail</u>			
Overall Funding			
Fund 001-1, General Fund - Basic Account-State			
<u>Sources Title</u>			
0011 General Fund State	91,000	57,000	148,000
<i>Total for Fund 001-1</i>	91,000	57,000	148,000
Total Overall Funding	91,000	57,000	148,000

2012 Supplemental Budget
M2-ZZ Unemployment

Program	2012			2013			BIENNIUM 2011-13			
	State	Federal	Total	State	Federal	Total	State	Federal	Total	
	010	\$ 254,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 339,000	\$ 174,000	\$ 58,000	\$ 232,000	\$ 428,000	\$ 143,000	\$ 571,000
020	\$ 337,000	\$ -	\$ 337,000	\$ 248,000	\$ -	\$ 248,000	\$ 585,000	\$ -	\$ 585,000	
030	\$ 199,000	\$ 24,000	\$ 223,000	\$ 107,000	\$ 13,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 306,000	\$ 37,000	\$ 343,000	
030 - 2000	\$ 197,000	\$ 24,000	\$ 221,000	\$ 106,000	\$ 13,000	\$ 119,000	\$ 303,000	\$ 37,000	\$ 340,000	
030 - 9000	\$ 2,000	\$ -	\$ 2,000	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ 1,000	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ 3,000	
040	\$ 258,000	\$ 233,000	\$ 491,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 162,000	\$ 342,000	\$ 438,000	\$ 395,000	\$ 833,000	
040 - 1000	\$ 53,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 88,000	\$ 37,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 62,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 60,000	\$ 150,000	
040 - 2000	\$ 203,000	\$ 195,000	\$ 398,000	\$ 141,000	\$ 136,000	\$ 277,000	\$ 344,000	\$ 331,000	\$ 675,000	
040 - 9000	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 9,000	
050	\$ 9,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 15,000	\$ (3,000)	\$ (2,000)	\$ (5,000)	\$ 6,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 10,000	
060	\$ 28,000	\$ 23,000	\$ 51,000	\$ (18,000)	\$ (15,000)	\$ (33,000)	\$ 10,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 18,000	
070	\$ 15,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 17,000	\$ 11,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 13,000	\$ 26,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 30,000	
100	\$ 33,000	\$ -	\$ 33,000	\$ 22,000	\$ -	\$ 22,000	\$ 55,000	\$ -	\$ 55,000	
110	\$ 139,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 172,000	\$ 104,000	\$ 24,000	\$ 128,000	\$ 243,000	\$ 57,000	\$ 300,000	
135	\$ 91,000	\$ -	\$ 91,000	\$ 57,000	\$ -	\$ 57,000	\$ 148,000	\$ -	\$ 148,000	
Total	\$ 1,363,000	\$ 406,000	\$ 1,769,000	\$ 882,000	\$ 242,000	\$ 1,124,000	\$ 2,245,000	\$ 648,000	\$ 2,893,000	

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-MJ General Service Reduction
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Recommendation Summary Text:

The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), reduces (\$1,146,000) GF-State and (2.8) FTE in the 2012 Supplemental Budget. SCC will reduce staff, eliminate one contract, and reduce medical contracts.

Fiscal Detail:

Operating Expenditures

	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Program 135			
001-1 General Fund - Basic Account-State	(509,000)	(637,000)	(1,146,000)
Total Cost	<u>(509,000)</u>	<u>(637,000)</u>	<u>(1,146,000)</u>

Staffing

	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Annual Avg</u>
Program 135 FTEs	(2.1)	(3.4)	(2.8)

Package Description:

SCC will eliminate (2.8) FTE positions that provide resident services directly or indirectly, to include two positions from the resident recreation department, one supervisory nursing position, and one WMS management position that oversees staff training. Staff costs savings are GF-State (\$496,000).

SCC will eliminate a juvenile services contract, which provides treatment services out of state for underage individuals detained under RCW 71.09. Savings are GF-State (\$250,000).

SCC will reduce existing resident medical services contracts. Savings are GF-State (\$400,000).

Agency Contact: Debbie Schaub (360) 902-8177
Program Contact: Kelly Cunningham (253) 583-5933

Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

To achieve savings to meet the 10 percent reduction targets set by the Office of Financial Management for the 2012 Supplemental Budget.

Performance Measure Detail

Program: 135

Activity: C014 Civil Commitment-Sexual Predators

No measures linked to package

Incremental Changes	
<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>
0.00	0.00

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan?

No

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities?

Yes, this decision package helps the agency meet the 10 percent reduction targets set by the Office of Financial Management (OFM) for the 2012 Supplemental Budget.

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-MJ General Service Reduction
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process?

No

What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?

These reductions are achievable without significantly affecting critical services to the resident population or the mission of SCC under RCW 71.09.

What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

Elimination of other staff positions and services were evaluated and it was determined these had the least detrimental effects on safety and the overall mission.

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

The agency will not be able to meet its budget reduction targets.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget?

None

What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?

The juvenile services contract was terminated June 30, 2011. The medical contracts will need to be amended before January 2012.

Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions

See the attachment: SCC PL-MJ General Service Reduction.xls

Which costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

All savings would be ongoing.

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Program 135 Objects			
A Salaries And Wages	(139,000)	(236,000)	(375,000)
B Employee Benefits	(44,000)	(75,000)	(119,000)
E Goods And Services	(1,000)	(1,000)	(2,000)
N Grants, Benefits & Client Services	(325,000)	(325,000)	(650,000)
Total Objects	(509,000)	(637,000)	(1,146,000)

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-MJ General Service Reduction
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

DSHS Source Code Detail

Program 135

Fund 001-1, General Fund - Basic Account-State

Sources Title

0011 General Fund State

Total for Fund 001-1

Total Program 135

FY 1

FY 2

Total

(509,000)

(637,000)

(1,146,000)

(509,000)

(637,000)

(1,146,000)

(509,000)

(637,000)

(1,146,000)

**2012 Supplemental Budget
PL-MJ General Services Reduction**

	FY 2012	FY 2013	Total
FTE	(2.1)	(3.4)	(2.8)
Salary	(139,000)	(236,000)	(375,000)
Benefits	(44,000)	(75,000)	(119,000)
Goods & Services	(1,000)	(1,000)	(2,000)
Grants & Benefits	(325,000)	(325,000)	(650,000)
	<u>(509,000)</u>	<u>(637,000)</u>	<u>(1,146,000)</u>

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-MP Incarcerated Resident Annual Review
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Recommendation Summary Text:

The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), reduces (\$35,000) GF-State in the 2012 Supplemental Budget. This reduction will be achieved by suspending the requirement for an annual examination during any period of time an SCC resident is incarcerated.

Fiscal Detail:

Operating Expenditures

	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
001-1 General Fund - Basic Account-State	0	(35,000)	(35,000)
Total Cost	0	(35,000)	(35,000)

Staffing

Package Description:

In the past two years, several residents civilly committed to the SCC have been convicted of crimes and sentenced in federal or state courts. Because the committed persons are incarcerated in the state or federal penal systems, they are no longer in the legal or physical custody of DSHS.

During these periods of incarceration (and pre-trial detention), DSHS no longer has physical access to the person and does not have ready access to his/her medical or treatment records. Section 71.09.070 RCW imposes an obligation on SCC to conduct an annual examination of the person's mental condition to determine whether he/she still meets the criteria for commitment under Chapter 71.09 RCW.

Persons now serving criminal sentences in federal or state prisons are filing motions to dismiss their civil commitment cases under Chapter 71.09 RCW based on DSHS's failure to provide the required annual examination. If successful in their motions to dismiss, these individuals would not be returned to the SCC at the completion of their criminal sentences or alternatively it would require the re-filing of the Chapter 71.09 case and a new commitment trial with the attendant costs associated with that trial. SCC estimates seven residents per year will be impacted.

Agency Contact: Debbie Schaub (360) 902-8177
Program Contact: Kelly Cunningham (253) 583-5933

Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

To achieve savings to meet the 10 percent reduction targets set by the Office of Financial Management (OFM) for the 2012 Supplemental Budget.

Performance Measure Detail

Agency Level

Activity: C014 Civil Commitment-Sexual Predators

No measures linked to package

Incremental Changes

<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>
0.00	0.00

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan?

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-MP Incarcerated Resident Annual Review
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

No

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities?

Yes, this decision package helps the agency meet the 10 percent reduction targets set by OFM for the 2012 Supplemental Budget.

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process?

No

What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?

The civil commitment cases of person committed under Chapter 71.09 may be dismissed or required to be re-filed.

What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

No other alternatives were explored. This has been a recent development in the civil commitment program and not suspending the annual examinations could be more costly to the state.

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

The agency will not be able to meet its budget reduction targets.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget?

None

What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?

RCW 71.09.112 will need to be amended to suspend the annual review process when a civilly committed person is detained or incarcerated before July 1, 2012.

Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions

An estimated seven residents per year at a cost of \$5,000 per annual examination.

$7 \times 5,000 = 35,000$

Which costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

Savings would be ongoing at \$35,000 per year.

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
E Goods And Services	0	(35,000)	(35,000)

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-MP Incarcerated Resident Annual Review
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

DSHS Source Code Detail

Overall Funding		<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fund 001-1, General Fund - Basic Account-State				
<u>Sources</u>	<u>Title</u>			
0011	General Fund State	0	(35,000)	(35,000)
<i>Total for Fund 001-1</i>		0	(35,000)	(35,000)
Total Overall Funding		0	(35,000)	(35,000)

Department of Social and Health Services

**DP Code/Title: PL-MR Population Management
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program**

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Recommendation Summary Text:

The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), reduces (\$1,825,000) GF-State in the 2012 Supplemental Budget. SCC will reduce legal and operating costs by identifying residents that are deemed to be at low risk of reoffending, performing an assessment sooner than required, and if the resident meets specific criteria, advance them to the courts for consideration of unconditional release.

Fiscal Detail:

Operating Expenditures

	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
001-1 General Fund - Basic Account-State	(312,000)	(1,513,000)	(1,825,000)
Total Cost	(312,000)	(1,513,000)	(1,825,000)

Staffing

Package Description:

DSHS, SCC, can reduce current legal and operating costs by identifying residents over the age of 60 that have shown to be at a low risk of reoffending and advancing them to the courts for consideration for unconditional release. Current empirical evidence supports substantially reduced recidivism rates in this category of offenders.

Agency Contact: Debbie Schaub (360) 902-8177
Program Contact: Kelly Cunningham (253) 583-5933

Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

Achieve savings to help the agency meet the 10 percent reduction targets set by the Office of Financial Management (OFM) for the 2012 Supplemental Budget.

Performance Measure Detail

Agency Level

Activity: C014 Civil Commitment-Sexual Predators

No measures linked to package

Incremental Changes

<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>
0.00	0.00

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan?

No

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities?

Yes, this decision package helps the agency meet the 10 percent reduction targets set by OFM for the 2012 Supplemental Budget.

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process?

Department of Social and Health Services

**DP Code/Title: PL-MR Population Management
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program**

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

No

What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?

This reduction will have stakeholder effects although the agency believes it is achievable without significantly affecting the public safety mission of the SCC under RCW 71.09.

What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

None

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

The agency will not be able to meet its budget reduction targets.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget?

This proposal would not directly affect current capital plans; however, it could postpone capital needs by freeing up space at the current facility.

What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?

None

Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions

Fiscal Year 2012

Release 7 residents with an average cost of \$178,000 per year for an average savings of 3 months each.

$$(7 \times 178,000) / 12 \times 3 = \$312,000$$

Fiscal Year 2013

2nd year savings of first 7 residents released

$$(7 \times 178,000) = 1,246,000$$

Release an additional 3 residents for an average savings of 6 month each.

$$(3 \times 178,000) / 12 \times 6 = \$267,000$$

Biennial savings \$1,825,000

Which costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

This reduction would be ongoing.

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
E Goods And Services	(80,000)	(400,000)	(480,000)
N Grants, Benefits & Client Services	(232,000)	(1,113,000)	(1,345,000)
Total Objects	(312,000)	(1,513,000)	(1,825,000)

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-MR Population Management
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

DSHS Source Code Detail

Overall Funding		<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fund 001-1, General Fund - Basic Account-State				
<u>Sources</u>	<u>Title</u>			
0011	General Fund State	(312,000)	(1,513,000)	(1,825,000)
<i>Total for Fund 001-1</i>		(312,000)	(1,513,000)	(1,825,000)
Total Overall Funding		(312,000)	(1,513,000)	(1,825,000)

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-MT SCC Food Cost Savings
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Recommendation Summary Text:

The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), reduces (\$54,000) GF-State in the 2012 Supplemental Budget by reducing food expenditures.

Fiscal Detail:

<u>Operating Expenditures</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
001-1 General Fund - Basic Account-State	(18,000)	(36,000)	(54,000)
Total Cost	(18,000)	(36,000)	(54,000)

Staffing

Package Description:

DSHS, SCC, reduces (\$54,000) GF-State in the 2012 Supplemental Budget by reducing food expenditures. Fiscal Year 2011 food costs were \$2.34 per meal. SCC will reduce food costs for the SCC Total Confinement Facility by 5 percent. SCC will continue to provide nutritionally adequate meals sufficient to keep a resident in good health, that meets federally mandated caloric and nutritional counts, and accommodates dietary needs for religious beliefs.

Agency contact: Debbie Schaub (360) 902-8177
Program contact: Kelly Cunningham (253) 583-5933

Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

To achieve savings to meet the 10 percent reduction targets set by the Office of Financial Management (OFM) for the 2012 Supplemental Budget.

Performance Measure Detail

Agency Level

Activity: C014 Civil Commitment-Sexual Predators

No measures linked to package

Incremental Changes

<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>
0.00	0.00

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan?

No

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities?

Yes, this decision package helps the agency meet the 10 percent reduction targets set by OFM for the 2012 Supplemental Budget.

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process?

No

Department of Social and Health Services

**DP Code/Title: PL-MT SCC Food Cost Savings
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program**

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?

None

What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

None

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

The agency will not meet its budget reduction targets.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget?

None

What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?

None

Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions

Total Fiscal Year 2011 food expenditures at SCC was \$721,378 resulting in food costs of \$2.34 per meal. A 5 percent reduction per year is \$36,000 per year. Effective date is January 1, 2012 for a total reduction of \$54,000.

Which costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

These cost savings are ongoing.

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
E Goods And Services	(18,000)	(36,000)	(54,000)

DSHS Source Code Detail

<u>Overall Funding</u>		<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fund 001-1, General Fund - Basic Account-State				
<u>Sources</u>	<u>Title</u>			
0011	General Fund State	(18,000)	(36,000)	(54,000)
<i>Total for Fund 001-1</i>		<u>(18,000)</u>	<u>(36,000)</u>	<u>(54,000)</u>
Total Overall Funding		(18,000)	(36,000)	(54,000)

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-MU SCC Legal Costs
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Recommendation Summary Text:

The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Special Commitment Center (SCC), reduces (\$1,316,000) GF-State in the 2012 Supplemental Budget. SCC will reduce legal cost associated with civilly committing sexually violent predators under Chapter 71.09 RCW.

Fiscal Detail:

<u>Operating Expenditures</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
001-1 General Fund - Basic Account-State	(391,000)	(925,000)	(1,316,000)
Total Cost	(391,000)	(925,000)	(1,316,000)

Staffing

Package Description:

DSHS, SCC, provides three options for reducing SCC legal costs. Total reduction for the three options is (\$1,316,000) GF-State. Implementation will be January 1, 2012.

Option 1

DSHS, SCC, will reduce the cost of defending civil commitment cases under Chapter 71.09 RCW by 10 percent (\$842,000) GF-State. This will be done through the request for proposal (RFP) contracting process. The RFP needs to be released before the end of October 2011 in order for the contract to be executed January 1, 2012.

Option 2

DSHS, SCC, a one time reduction in defense cost, (\$250,000) GF-State, by strengthening the criteria in granting exceptions to the rules under Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 388-885-030. Under this WAC, a county administration or an entity of county government may request an exception.

This WAC allows the Secretary of DSHS to allow exceptions on a case-by-case basis for (a) unanticipated expenditures; (b) evaluation related cap relief, related to a single commitment proceeding deemed truly unique in nature; and (c) or for a new type of case.

Option 3

DSHS, SCC, will reduce the cost of prosecuting civil commitment cases under Chapter 71.09 RCW by 10 percent, (\$224,000) GF-State. This will be done by requiring all cases to be prosecuted by the Attorney General Office (AGO). Currently, the AGO does the prosecution on 68 percent of all SCC civil commitment cases.

Agency Contact: Debbie Schaub (360) 902-8177
Program Contact: Kelly Cunningham (253) 583-5933

Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

To achieve savings to meet the 10 percent reduction targets set by OFM for the 2012 Supplemental Budget.

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-MU SCC Legal Costs
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Performance Measure Detail

Agency Level

Activity: C014 Civil Commitment-Sexual Predators

No measures linked to package

Incremental Changes

FY 1

FY 2

0.00

0.00

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan?

No

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities?

Yes, this decision package helps the agency meet the 10 percent reduction targets set by OFM for the 2012 Supplemental Budget.

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process?

No

What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?

This reduction is achievable without significantly affecting the public safety mission of the SCC under RCW 71.09.

What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

None

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

The agency will not be able to meet its budget reduction targets.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget?

None

What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?

Option 1: Requires a RFP and new contract by January 2012.

Option 2: Requires changes to WAC 388-885-030 by January 2012.

Option 3: Requires changes to RCW 71.09 and requires a new contract by January 2012.

Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions

See attachment: SCC PL-MU SCC Legal Cost.xls

Which costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

Reductions would be ongoing.

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-MU SCC Legal Costs
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
N Grants, Benefits & Client Services	(391,000)	(925,000)	(1,316,000)

DSHS Source Code Detail

<u>Overall Funding</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fund 001-1, General Fund - Basic Account-State			
<u>Sources Title</u>			
0011 General Fund State	(391,000)	(925,000)	(1,316,000)
<i>Total for Fund 001-1</i>	<u>(391,000)</u>	<u>(925,000)</u>	<u>(1,316,000)</u>
Total Overall Funding	(391,000)	(925,000)	(1,316,000)

**2012 Supplemental Budget
PL-MU SCC Legal Costs**

	FY 2012	FY 2013	Total
Legal Cost Budget	7,014,000	7,146,000	14,160,000
Defense			
Option 1	5,541,000	5,645,000	11,186,000
Balance	(277,000)	(565,000)	(842,000)
	<u>5,264,000</u>	<u>5,080,000</u>	<u>10,344,000</u>
Option 2	(40,000)	(210,000)	(250,000)
Balance	<u>5,224,000</u>	<u>4,870,000</u>	<u>10,094,000</u>
Prosecution			
Option 3	1,473,000	1,501,000	2,974,000
Balance	(74,000)	(150,000)	(224,000)
	<u>1,399,000</u>	<u>1,351,000</u>	<u>2,750,000</u>
Total Legal Cost Budget	6,623,000	6,221,000	12,844,000
Total Legal Cost Reduction	(391,000)	(925,000)	(1,316,000)

Effective January 1, 2012

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-PF State Data Center Equipment
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Recommendation Summary Text:

The Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Information System Services Division (ISSD), requests \$512,000 (\$291,000 GF-State) in the 2012 Supplemental Budget for startup equipment required to migrate systems from the Office Building 2 (OB2) to the new State Data Center (SDC) located in the 1500 Jefferson Building.

Fiscal Detail:

Operating Expenditures

	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
001-1 General Fund - Basic Account-State	1,000	3,000	4,000
Total Cost	1,000	3,000	4,000

Staffing

Package Description:

The Washington State Legislature enacted Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5931, during the 2011 Legislative Session, to address the high costs of Information Technology (IT) services. This legislation requires certain IT equipment to be housed in the new SDC located in the 1500 Jefferson Building complex. This investment facilitates the migration and consolidation of IT assets into the SDC in support of this legislation and the Governor's directive to consolidate data centers.

DSHS has a large computer equipment presence in the OB2 Data Center (approximately 85 server racks with 600 devices). Migrating this equipment to the new SDC building located in the 1500 Jefferson Building complex is part of a statewide initiative in support of the legislation.

To enable the migration of some systems, startup equipment is necessary. This startup equipment supports network operations and provides a landing pad for systems in the SDC. Existing equipment supporting these systems cannot be shutdown and physically moved for various reasons:

1. Shutting down and moving the hardware has a high risk of long outages of critical systems.
2. Data storage shared across many systems cannot be shut down and moved without significant system outages. These systems are critical to business operations and impact service to citizens.
3. Virtual host platforms need a starting point (e.g., landing pad) in the State Data Center. This equipment would be supplemented with existing hardware as virtual systems are migrated off the hardware freeing up capacity. Initial servers are needed to start the process.
4. Network components are needed to maintain performance and operations. These network components are needed during the migration period of dual operations and will be used ongoing after the migration.

The funding provides servers, storage and network equipment. DSHS has evaluated existing equipment and is only requesting new equipment where existing equipment will not work or makes business/financial sense (too old, not enough capacity, incompatible, etc.). The vast majority of existing DSHS equipment will be used or replaced by virtual capacity without additional funding. This request represents the exceptions where existing equipment is either not available or impractical to use.

The implementation schedule is driven by the Consolidated Technology Services OB2 Move project. This equipment is targeted for procurement approximately June 2012.

If the funding request is not approved, then more of the computer systems and equipment will be dependent on a Physical Move approach, which will increase the duration and risk of outages. There will be a higher probability that application availability will be impacted by the migration.

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-PF State Data Center Equipment
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

Agency contact: Tula Habb (360) 902-8182

Program contact: Cheryl Adams (360) 902-7551

Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

Desired Results:

1. The computer servers and storage equipment will allow the agency to increase the number of systems using a virtual to virtual migration approach. This approach is significantly quicker and less risky than physically moving equipment, which can impact services to clients/citizens.
2. The network equipment supports optimization, monitoring and trouble shooting. The desired result of the optimization is to keep network traffic, for 35 DSHS field offices across the state, flowing with the same performance as completed currently. Without this equipment, the network lines will become saturated causing work slowdowns and stoppages.
3. The desired results of the equipment for monitoring and trouble shooting is to resolve system problems quickly. In many cases, problems can be resolved before they become major problems causing work stoppage. Without tools to monitor and trouble shoot production problems, work stoppages will increase in duration causing productivity loss and service impacts to clients/citizens.

Overall, this funding will minimize business disruption (unplanned outages) caused from moving systems and equipment from OB2 to the SDC.

Negative Consequences, if this request is not funded:

1. Without computer servers and storage, systems will require longer outages to move to the SDC causing impacts to worker productivity and service to clients/citizens.
2. Without optimization equipment, the network lines will become saturated causing work slowdowns and stoppages.
3. Without tools to monitor and trouble shoot production problems, work stoppages will increase in duration causing productivity loss and service impacts to clients/citizens.
4. Impact to clients and citizens.
5. Moving equipment into the SDC has positive impacts including:
 - a. Leveraging a state-of-the-art facility.
 - b. Leveraging new shared services.
 - c. Reducing the cost of IT.

Performance Measure Detail

Agency Level

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan?

This decision package supports the agency's strategic plan as follows:

Goal H: Reinforce strong management to increase public trust

Objective 1: Improve information technology capacity to support management needs

Strategy: Maintain and update existing or implement new core applications, systems, and infrastructure to meet changing needs and take advantage of changes in technology (DSHS).

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-PF State Data Center Equipment
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

The funding obtained by this decision package will allow the department to minimize impacts to existing systems performance and operations. Moving into the SDC will take advantage of a state-of-the-art facility and position the department to take advantage of future shared services.

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities?

This funding supports the new legislation and the consolidation of data centers into the SDC. This supports the statewide result to "improve state government efficiency" by reducing the overall cost of information technology.

The OB2 Move project is one of several phases in Washington State's IT Transformation Initiative. The other components of the IT initiative include implementation of shared services and data center consolidation.

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process?

This decision package supports the Priorities of Government (POG) critical value statement to improve state government efficiency. SDC has three mandated outcomes that align with this statewide result:

1. Improve security.
2. Reduce operational costs.
3. Improve service quality, availability, and performance.

What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?

This is related to new Legislation, ESSB 5931, requiring consolidation of data centers and moving all servers into the SDC building.

What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

The department is using multiple options for migrating computer equipment and systems from OB2 to SDC. These are listed below and align with a study performed by INX Metagyre Inc. (INX) for DIS. The INX study included an analysis of alternatives for moving equipment out of OB2. One alternative looked at Physical Relocation of all systems. This alternative was dismissed due to the long system outage it would require and the risks of breaking systems. The other alternative looked at Individualize System Moves based on each system's requirements and interrelationships. This alternative was recommended as it has the least risk and allows better management of risks, resources, testing, problem resolution, and ability to fallback.

The three primary move scenarios that DSHS will use include:

1. Virtual to Virtual: Migrating virtual systems in OB2 to a virtual platform in the SDC. This requires seed equipment or some form of existing hardware platform (e.g., landing pad) in the SDC to work.
2. Physical Move: Unplugging existing equipment, moving, and installing it in the SDC. This is higher risk and requires a system outage.
3. Logical Move: Installing startup equipment in the SDC, loading systems on the equipment, and doing a cut-over. This is lower risk and can be done quicker than a physical move. This option requires startup equipment.

Each system is examined to determine which migration option best meets the business need and level of risk. The agency also put stringent requirements around what could be requested in this decision package. Equipment at end-of-life was not allowed on the funding list as these should already have a funding source for them (required regardless of the move). Only items required to make the move happen without a funding source were put on the list.

Department of Social and Health Services

DP Code/Title: PL-PF State Data Center Equipment
Program Level - 135 Special Commitment Program

Budget Period: 2011-13 Version: M2 135 - 2012 Sup Agency Request

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

If the funding request is not approved, then more of the computer systems and equipment will be dependent on a Physical Move approach, which will increase the duration and risk of system outages. These would cause work stoppages and impact service to clients/citizens.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget?

None

What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?

None

Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions

Costs estimates are based on vendor budgetary quotes.

See attachments: ISSD PL-PF State Data Center equipment.xlsx and ISSD PL PF State Data Center Transition IT Addendum.doc

Which costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

The costs are one-time costs. Once equipment reaches end-of-life, the agency intends to migrate to a statewide shared service to provide this function.

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Overall Funding			
T Intra-Agency Reimbursements	1,000	3,000	4,000

DSHS Source Code Detail

<u>Overall Funding</u>	<u>FY 1</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fund 001-1, General Fund - Basic Account-State			
<u>Sources Title</u>			
0011 General Fund State	1,000	3,000	4,000
<i>Total for Fund 001-1</i>	1,000	3,000	4,000
Total Overall Funding	1,000	3,000	4,000

**2012 Supplemental Budget
PL-PF State Data Center equipment**

**Department of Social & Health Services
COST SUMMARY**

	FY 2012	FY 2013	TOTAL
STORAGE		\$250,000	\$250,000
SERVERS		\$75,000	\$75,000
NETWORK	\$187,000		\$187,000
TOTAL	\$187,000	\$325,000	\$512,000

Total Funds	State	Federal
\$512,000	\$291,000	\$221,000

Biennial Total DSHS Programs		All Objects			Object J			Object TZ		
		State	Federal	Total	State	Federal	Total	State	Federal	Total
010	CA	30,000	41,000	71,000			-	30,000	41,000	71,000
020	JRA	13,000	-	13,000			-	13,000	-	13,000
030	MH	27,000	-	27,000			-	27,000	-	27,000
040	DD	21,000	6,000	27,000			-	21,000	6,000	27,000
050	LTC	17,000	16,000	33,000			-	17,000	16,000	33,000
060	ESA	119,000	107,000	226,000			-	119,000	107,000	226,000
070	ASA	2,000	1,000	3,000			-	2,000	1,000	3,000
100	VR	1,000	9,000	10,000			-	1,000	9,000	10,000
110	ADMIN	57,000	41,000	98,000			-	57,000	41,000	98,000
135	SCC	4,000	-	4,000			-	4,000	-	4,000
150	ISSD	-	-	-			-	-	-	-
		291,000	221,000	512,000	512,000		512,000	(512,000)		(512,000)
		57%	43%							

Total Funds	State	Federal
\$187,000	\$106,000	\$81,000

FY 2012 DSHS Programs		All Objects			Object J			Object TZ		
		State	Federal	Total	State	Federal	Total	State	Federal	Total
010	CA	11,000	15,000	26,000			-	11,000	15,000	26,000
020	JRA	5,000	-	5,000			-	5,000	-	5,000
030	MH	10,000	-	10,000			-	10,000	-	10,000
040	DD	8,000	2,000	10,000			-	8,000	2,000	10,000
050	LTC	6,000	6,000	12,000			-	6,000	6,000	12,000
060	ESA	43,000	39,000	82,000			-	43,000	39,000	82,000
070	ASA	1,000	-	1,000			-	1,000	-	1,000
100	VR	-	4,000	4,000			-	-	4,000	4,000
110	ADMIN	21,000	15,000	36,000			-	21,000	15,000	36,000
135	SCC	1,000	-	1,000			-	1,000	-	1,000
150	ISSD	-	-	-			-	-	-	-
		106,000	81,000	187,000	187,000		187,000	(187,000)		(187,000)
		57%	43%							

Total Funds	State	Federal
\$325,000	\$185,000	\$140,000

FY 2013 DSHS Programs		All Objects			Object J			Object TZ		
		State	Federal	Total	State	Federal	Total	State	Federal	Total
010	CA	19,000	26,000	45,000			-	19,000	26,000	45,000
020	JRA	8,000	-	8,000			-	8,000	-	8,000
030	MH	17,000	-	17,000			-	17,000	-	17,000
040	DD	13,000	4,000	17,000			-	13,000	4,000	17,000
050	LTC	11,000	10,000	21,000			-	11,000	10,000	21,000
060	ESA	76,000	68,000	144,000			-	76,000	68,000	144,000
070	ASA	1,000	1,000	2,000			-	1,000	1,000	2,000
100	VR	1,000	5,000	6,000			-	1,000	5,000	6,000
110	ADMIN	36,000	26,000	62,000			-	36,000	26,000	62,000
135	SCC	3,000	-	3,000			-	3,000	-	3,000
150	ISSD	-	-	-			-	-	-	-
		185,000	140,000	325,000	325,000		325,000	(325,000)		(325,000)
		57%	43%							

2012 Supplemental Budget
PL-PF State Data Center equipment
Department of Social & Health Services
Estimated Costs Breakout

Item	Area	Description	Total
1	ISSD Storage	This device will replicate the Storage Area Network (SAN) used by the Information Systems Services Division (ISSD). The existing Net App storage device cannot be moved to the State Data Center (SDC) until all the systems data is migrated off the device. Once all the systems data is migrated, the existing equipment can be repurposed by another group to avoid another purchase.	\$250,000
2	ISSD Servers	Three physical servers are needed to support the initial virtual platform at the SDC. Once virtual servers in the A-la-Carte 2 computer room are migrated to the SDC, the existing compute infrastructure (physical servers) can be used (i.e. repurposed) for the next round of system migrations.	\$45,000
3	ISSD Shared SQL database	Two servers are needed to support the ISSD Shared SQL environment. One for Production and one for the Quality Assurance (QA) environment.	\$30,000
4	ISSD Network	One Steelhead device to support Wide Area Network (WAN) optimization. This is a second device that will support the migration to the SDC reducing risk to local office disruption. This will also provide some redundancy in the architecture.	\$127,000
5	ISSD Network	Devices that tap into networks in support of network traffic monitoring (also known as TAPs), are needed to support DSHS network operations during the migration. Some of the existing TAPs equipment needs to remain attached to the DSHS Core network in OB2 during the migration. DSHS needs additional TAP equipment to monitor components on the SDC side of the network.	\$60,000
	TOTAL		\$512,000

