



Rehabilitation Administration/ Juvenile Rehabilitation Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) 2016 Annual Data and Compliance Report

This document serves as an annual review to assess and improve the effectiveness of sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training in the Washington State Rehabilitation Administration/Juvenile Rehabilitation, specifically Juvenile Rehabilitation (JR), pursuant to §115.388 and §115.389 of the national PREA standards. Therein, JR is required to:

1. Review and aggregate incident-based sexual abuse data annually in order to improve the effectiveness of sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training to include:
 - a. Identifying problem areas
 - b. Taking corrective action on an on-going basis; and
 - c. Preparing an annual report of its findings
2. Compare the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior year's and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse.
3. Publish an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as for the agency as a whole, on the JR public website.

Aggregated Data

Allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are reported via electronic incident reports using JR's Automated Client Tracking system (ACT). ACT sexual abuse and sexual harassment data is collected from the following 11 locations:

8 Community Facilities (Group Homes)

Canyon View	Oakridge	Parke Creek	Ridgeview (females)
Sunrise	Touchstone	Twin Rivers	Woodinville

3 Residential Institutions

Echo Glen Children's Center (co-ed) Green Hill School Naselle Youth Camp

Data Tables

Table 1: Aggregated sexual abuse data for *all JR facilities* for calendar years 2014 and 2015.

Table 2: Aggregated sexual abuse data *per facility* for calendar years 2014 and 2015.

Juvenile Rehabilitation uses the following three sexual abuse categories for data collection as defined in the BJS Survey of Sexual Victimization:

Non-consensual Sexual Act; Abusive Sexual Contact; and Staff Sexual Misconduct.

Year-by-Year Data Comparison of all JR Facilities

Table 1

Criteria	2014	2015
1. Number of youth admitted to all facilities during the Target Year?	666	761
2. Number of youth discharged from all facilities during the Target Year?	842	763
3. On December 31 of Target Year, how many persons were held in facilities?	534	503
4. On December 31 of Target Year, how many males were held in facilities?	487	467
5. On December 31 of Target Year, how many females were held in facilities?	47	36
6. On December 31 of Target Year, how many residents aged 17 or younger?	350	342
7. On December 31 of Target Year, how many residents aged 18-20?	184	161
8. Number of <i>alleged</i> staff sexual misconduct incidents.	9	9
9. Number of <i>substantiated</i> staff sexual misconduct incidents.	*1	2
10. Number of <i>alleged</i> non-consensual sexual act incidents (youth-on-youth)	1	0
11. Number of <i>substantiated</i> non-consensual sexual act incidents (youth-on-youth)	1	0
12. Number of <i>alleged</i> abusive sexual contact incidents (youth-on-youth)	6	9
13. Number of <i>substantiated</i> abusive sexual contact incidents (youth-on-youth)	6	6
Total number of allegations of sexual abuse	16	18
Total number of substantiated allegations of sexual abuse	8	8
* Pending investigation recently returned as substantiated in early 2016		
Sexual harassment cases are not counted in allegations above but are included in Table 2		

PREA Sexual Abuse Investigations per Facility

Table 2

(Abusive Sexual Contact and Non-consensual Sexual Acts are combined under Youth/Youth Sexual Abuse)

2014 Substantiated Cases of Sexual Victimization					2015 Substantiated Cases of Sexual Victimization			
Facility Name	Staff Sexual Misconduct	Youth/Youth Sexual Abuse	Sexual Harassment		Staff Sexual Misconduct	Youth/Youth Sexual Abuse	Sexual Harassment	
			Youth	Staff			Youth	Staff
Canyon View	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oakridge	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Parke Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ridgeview	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sunrise	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Touchstone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Twin Rivers	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	0
Woodinville	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Echo Glen	0	4	4	0	0	4	2	0
Green Hill	1	0	4	0	0	0	3	0
Naselle	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Total:	1	7	10	0	2	6	8	0

Comparative Data Analysis of 2014 and 2015:

- **Youth-on-youth abusive sexual contact** allegations increased from 7 in 2014, to 9 in 2015. There were no allegations of *non-consensual sexual acts* in 2014 and only 1 in 2015.
- **Staff sexual misconduct** allegations were the same in 2014 and 2015 at 9. In 2015, 2 of the 9 allegations were substantiated while in 2014, 1 of the 9 allegations was substantiated.
- **Facility type** indicates that most allegations occur in JR's largest institutions, Green Hill School and Echo Glen. In comparison, the two 2015 substantiated acts of staff sexual misconduct occurred in group homes.

2015 Agency Corrective Actions

- Agency PREA Administrator certified as DOJ PREA Auditor; this will improve ability to better interpret PREA standards, develop internal auditing tools, and provide high level quality assurance.

- Creation of a Quality Assurance process that includes yearly internal audits performed by the Agency PREA Administrator and quarterly facility self-assessments.
- 5 facilities completed and passed federal PREA audits.
- Quarterly PREA compliance manager meetings focusing on lessons learned during facility audits throughout the year and evolving PREA interpretations.
- Establishment of several MOU's and some cross-training with local victim advocate agencies.
- Development and statewide delivery of Refresher Information training for staff.
- Information Technology enhancements enabling a broader range of data collection necessary for more detailed reporting of allegations and tracking.
- Upgrade to Green Hill School's video monitoring system to ensure no cross-gender viewing occurs; includes revised local policy/procedure.
- Structural modifications (window frosting and higher shower walls) to address potential cross-gender viewing at Green Hill School.
- Revised Sexual Misconduct Disclosure form to indicate purpose (e.g., new employee, promotion, annual evaluation) and ensure the form is used per the PREA standards.

JR is committed to maintaining zero tolerance facilities that provide safe, healthy, non-sexualized environments where residents are free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment. JR fulfills this commitment by constantly assessing policies, procedures, data, audits, and training and education.



John Clayton, Assistant Secretary
Rehabilitation Administration, DSHS

10-21-16

Date