



Minutes of CA ICW Monthly Meeting

Date: November 14th, 2007

In attendance:

Name	Organization
Helen Fenrich	Tulalip Tribes
Manuel Castillo	CA, Region 1 (phone)
Di Dougherty-King	United Indians of All Tribes
Betsy Tulee	CA, HQ
Trudy Marcellay	IPSS, Region 6
Tracy Bray	Chehalis Tribe
Nancy Dufraine	CA, HQ
Raymond Howell	Nisqually Tribe
Lonna Swanson	Muckleshoot
Pat Gans	Stillaguamish Tribe
LouAnn Carter	Region 3, CA (phone)
Julie Jefferson	IPSS Region 4 and 5
Liz Mueller	Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe
Alretta Foote	Snoqualmie Tribe
Roxanne Finney	CA, Region 4
Janice Banning	CA, Region 2
Jim Sherrill	Cowlitz Tribe
Maureen Kelley (presenting)	CA, HQ
Colette McCully (presenting)	CA, HQ

Next Meeting: December 12, 2007
10:00 pm to 12:00 pm

Meeting Location: teleconference meeting
Call in Number: 360-357-2903 pin # 17971#

MINUTES:

Agenda Item	Discussion
-------------	------------

1.	Announcements: Nancy Dufraigne	<p>Nancy informed group that the ICW Summit has been funded. This will be the second ICW Summit. The dates will be March 24, 25, 26 2008 and will be held at Little Creek Event Center at the Squaxin Island Tribe's Casino. This coincides with the 30th anniversary of the passage of the ICWA.</p> <p>Nancy is participating on the Disproportionality Commission which flows from HB 1472 put forward by Representatives Pettigrew and Kaufmann. Two tribal representatives include Liz Mueller and Toni Lodge. The first task is to gather data to confirm that there is disproportionately by March 2008, this will be followed by next phase which is to develop a plan by November 2008 to address disproportionality. Casey is funding much of this. The Institute of Public Policy (housed at Evergreen State College) will do data collection and analysis.</p> <p>Helen F. asked if they will utilize the ICW Case Review, CFSR, GAO report re ICW (2005) and other reports.</p> <p>Nancy noted all of these and the Marshall report have all been provided to the Committee.</p> <p>Nancy noted CA is preparing for the next CFSR that is scheduled to occur in 2008-trying to move it back as the dates coincide with introduction of FAMLINK which could cause complications with case pull. The next review will review 65 cases instead of 50, and will be at two sites. King County will be one site-other site is unknown. Last CFSR included interviews with tribes-hope to increase this. Last time emphasis was on data-this time emphasis will be on collaboration with youth, tribes, court system etc. Will look closely at working with fathers. The CFSR will be automated. This workgroup (CA ICW monthly meeting group) may be one of the CFSR's review groups.</p> <p>Di asked how to get more information; Nancy said there is a website. Di said she would get the link and send it out for distribution.</p> <p>Nancy is tasked with coming up with matrix of State-tribal groups (such as the R 3 RTCC, IPAC, 7.01 etc.). She welcomes input for this.</p>
2.	Trudy Marcellay: Data on Medicaid patients who were pregnant or delivered a child in 2005	<p>Trudy shared data on the report on Health Disparities and Birth Outcomes in WA State, American Indian/Alaska Native women on medical coupons who were pregnant or delivered a baby in 2005. Poverty is linked to health status. Individual health behaviors and risk factors are linked to health outcomes. Pregnant women at highest risk of poor birth outcomes need intensive, individualized, and timely services.</p> <p>Pregnant women on medical coupons who were either pregnant or delivered a baby in year 2005, Native American women had a greater percentage than Hispanic, African American, White (non-Hispanic) or Asian/Pacific Islanders to be on Medicaid, more teen birth rates (aged 15-19), have births from unintended pregnancies, no prenatal care in the</p>

		<p>first trimester, be obese, smoke, with substance abuse, and mental health issues, be victims of domestic violence, have post-partum depression, suffer from Diabetes, Hypertension, least likely to graduate from High School, lowest percentage to be married, have more children, have lower birth weight babies, with almost a double infant mortality rate, with higher incidence of child deaths, with a higher maternal mortality death rate within the first five years, with higher rate of screened in CPS referrals and higher out of home placements of those babies in their first year of life.</p> <p>This will be on IPAC agenda in January. Helen will also bring this to American Indian Health Commission.</p>
	<p>Maureen Kelly and Colette McCully</p> <p>Domestic Violence intersection with Child Maltreatment</p>	<p>CA is looking at co-occurrence of domestic violence (DV) and child maltreatment. CA is currently developing resource list for DV services and also reviewing policies at Intake, CPS and with Voluntary Services cases to best support social workers throughout the life of case. CA welcomes ideas on policy issues and resources.</p> <p>DV in and of itself in and of itself not an allegation of child abuse/neglect.</p> <p>A DV resource guide is being developed. This can be shared with LICWAC/Tribes/RAIO's. The Guide will include;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How to identify DV, • What are best practices for addressing DV, • Collaboration with tribes and community partners, • Service planning including engaging fathers. <p>Jim Sherrill noted the lack of perpetrator tx and that it is expensive. He asked if CA has a duty to ask legislators for funding.</p> <p>Roy Carson in CA would be appropriate contact for this issue. He can be reached at 360-902-7602 or email croy300@dshs.wa.gov.</p> <p>Nancy Dufraine suggested contacting Roy Carson to see about putting a budget package together.</p>
	<p>Maureen Kelly and Colette McCully</p> <p>CAPTA Citizen's Review Panel</p>	<p>Kelly and Maureen shared that the federal CAPTA legislation provides funding for various to State programs related child protective services. One of the requirements of CAPTA is that State's have three Citizen's Review Panels (CRP). There are currently two CRP's.</p> <p>The question put forward to this group; should this group (CA ICW/CA IPAC Subcommittee) be the third CRP. It would serve as an opportunity to provide input into CPS process.</p> <p>The primary requirement would be to provide a report on a biannual basis (next one due June 2008).</p> <p>The CRP looks at policies, practices and procedures related to child protective services. If this group becomes a CRP the group can decide which specific policies/procedures to focus on.</p>

		<p>Liz asked if CA can provide support to gather data. Colette said she would need to check with CA Management.</p> <p>The decision of whether to from a CRP from this workgroup was tabled until next meeting.</p>
	<p>Nancy Dufraine and Liz Mueller: ICW Case Review</p>	<p>Regional CA ICW PM's are tasked with working with their respective management, Tribes and RAIO's to prioritize the ICW Case review recommendations and develop implementation plan. This is currently in process. The challenge is to get this done by January.</p> <p>Nancy noted that we will need strong teams to support the process. Another ICW case review will be done fall 2008.</p> <p>Report out by Regions on progress will be done during December CA ICW Monthly meeting.</p> <p>It was agreed the December meeting would be teleconference on December 12th from 10:00 to 12:00.</p> <p>Ray Howell offered Nisqually as an upcoming CA ICW meeting. Nancy noted that tribes are always welcome to host and to not feel any pressure to expend budget on coffee, food etc.</p>

