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INTRODUCTION

Each day in our state and in the nation, many people with mental illness cycle through the criminal justice system. It is important for the courts, attorneys, jails, and others to understand what services are available to evaluate and treat criminal defendants with psychiatric illness. Navigating these services and the processes that are required can seem daunting. The goal of this guidebook is to provide need-to-know information and practical direction to courts, attorneys, jails, administrators, and other interested parties about forensic mental health services for adults in Washington. This guidebook is for informational purposes only and it is not intended for legal or clinical decision making nor is it a legally binding policy document.

The guidebook is organized as follows: First, an overview of the forensic mental health system is provided. Background on common mental and behavioral health conditions encountered in the forensic mental health system are then covered. While not comprehensive, the conditions presented are especially germane to competency to stand trial. Next, a need-to-know overview of competency evaluation and restoration processes is provided. The civil commitment process is also presented including when a defendant’s charges are dismissed and a civil commitment is pursued. The guidebook also provides an overview of diversion options. Specific behavioral health services for violent sex offenders, services for juveniles, or services in correctional settings are not covered in this guidebook. A list of additional resources and contact information is provided.

We thank you for your attention and assistance in making improvements to our state’s forensic mental health system. We look forward to working with you.

- Office of Forensic Mental Health Services
1. ABOUT THE DSHS FORENSIC MENTAL HEALTH SYSTEM

Washington’s Forensic Mental Health System is comprised of many partners, institutions and staff, and is coordinated through the Department of Social and Health Services’ (DSHS) Office of Forensic Mental Health Services (OFMHS). State treatment institutions in Washington include Eastern State Hospital, located in Medical Lake; Western State Hospital, located in Lakewood; two Residential Treatment Facilities in Yakima and Centralia; and the Child Study and Treatment Center (CSTC), also located in Lakewood. The forensic system is also made up of a regional office, the Northern Regional Office in Seattle, as well as outstations located in several counties.

1.1 Office of Forensic Mental Health Services

The DSHS’s Office of Forensic Mental Health Services (OFMHS) with headquarters in Lacey is responsible for the leadership and management of Washington’s adult forensic mental health care system. The OFMHS provides forensic evaluations, competency restoration, Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity (NGRI) treatment services, and liaison services to effectively coordinate efforts with system partners to meet shared goals. The OFMHS additionally provides ongoing training and technical assistance to improve quality and timeliness of forensic mental health services, data management and resource allocation, training and certification of evaluators, and quality monitoring and reporting. The OFMHS works in collaboration with the Governor’s office to lead and implement robust diversion efforts to prevent citizens with mental illness from entering the criminal justice system.

1.2 State Hospitals

**Eastern State Hospital (ESH)** is a 287-bed inpatient psychiatric hospital and is accredited by the Joint Commission and certified by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). ESH is located in the city of Medical Lake, about 20 miles west of Spokane. ESH is one of two state-owned psychiatric hospitals for adults in the state of Washington and it provides services to individuals in 20 eastern Washington counties. The hospital provides evaluation and inpatient treatment for individuals with serious or long-term mental illness that have been referred to the hospital through the Behavioral Health Organizations (BHOs), the civil court system - where individuals have received a civil court order for involuntary treatment (see RCW 71.05) or through the criminal justice system - (see RCW 10.77).

**Western State Hospital (WSH)** is located in the city of Lakewood and is one of the largest psychiatric hospitals west of the Mississippi with more than 800 beds and 1800 employees. WSH provides evaluation and inpatient treatment services for individuals with serious or long-term mental illness in 20 western Washington counties. Patients are referred to the hospital through a Behavioral Health Organization (BHO), the civil court system when individuals meet the criteria for involuntary treatment (see RCW 71.05) or through the criminal justice system (see RCW 10.77).

The **Center for Forensic Services (CFS)** is located on the WSH campus and serves clients who have been committed to the hospital under the Revised Code of Washington Law (RCW 10.77). These clients include defendants undergoing inpatient evaluation for competency to stand trial and/or mental state at the time of the criminal offense, as well as clients who have been found Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity (NGRI). The CFS contains four treatment units which primarily house patients that are undergoing forensic evaluation/competency restoration and four treatment units which house NGRI patients. There are presently 285 beds allocated to forensic patients.
In 2016, DSHS opened two new Residential Treatment Facilities (RTF) to provide inpatient competency restoration services for adults. The Maple Lane Competency Restoration Program is a 30 bed facility operated out of the Cascade Cottage on the former Maple Lane School campus in Centralia. The Yakima Competency Restoration Center is a 24 bed facility in Yakima. These RTF’s are described in more detail in Section 4.2 of the guidebook.

The Child Study and Treatment Center (CSTC), located in Lakewood, WA, is the only state-operated and funded psychiatric hospital for children and youth (ages 5 to 18) (Washington State Department of Social and Health Services, 2016). Accredited by The Joint Commission, CSTC is a secure campus designed for youth who cannot be served safely in less restrictive community settings. The average length of stay is approximately 6 months to one year. CSTC has a total capacity of 47 beds divided among three age- and developmentally-based cottages. Camano Cottage serves children ages 6 to 12, Ketron Cottage serves youth up to age 14, and Orcas Cottage serves the older youth up to their 18th birthday. Elementary, middle and high school educational services are provided by the Clover Park School District (CPSD) under an agreement authorized by RCW 28A.190.040. CPSD coordinates educational planning with home school districts prior to admission and upon discharge.

Psychiatric treatment at the CSTC incorporates the most current evidence-based practices including cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), trauma-focused CBT, Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT), skills development, family and recreational therapies. Clinical services include medication management and 24-hour nursing services. The CSTC works with families, guardians and community supports in treatment and discharge planning so that children can successfully transition back to their family home, or community-based foster placement. The CSTC is committed to culturally competent care for children with severe emotional disorders whose needs are often complicated by developmental, medical, social, and legal issues (Washington State Department of Social and Health Services, 2016).

1.3 Community Partnerships

Patients whose medical needs require additional care beyond the hospitals are served in local medical hospitals and clinics. The hospitals works closely with the local courts and the Criminal Justice System to provide forensic evaluations throughout the state. Community Program staff also work with Behavioral Health Organizations in every county to ensure that patients receive adequate outpatient treatments and housing upon their discharge from a state hospital. As of 2016, these services are purchased by regionally operated BHOs through a managed-care structure.
2. OVERVIEW OF MENTAL AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CONDITIONS

2.1 Quick Facts and Trends

According to data from SAMSHA (Blandford & Osher, 2013), the rate of any mental illness among adults is higher in the state of Washington compared to the National average (See Figure 1.).

**Figure 1.** Rate of any mental illness among adults.

The rate of serious mental illness among adults is also higher in Washington compared to the national average (SAMHSA, 2013) (See Figure 2.).

**Figure 2.** Rate of serious mental illness among adults.

In forensic populations, the prevalence of mental illness is even higher. The estimated proportion of adults with mental health, Substance Use, and Co-occurring Disorders in U.S. Population and under Correctional Control and Supervision are shown below in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Estimated proportion of adults with mental health, Substance Use, and Co-occurring Disorders in U.S. Population and under Correctional Control and Supervision.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>General Public</th>
<th>State Prisons</th>
<th>Jails</th>
<th>Probation and Parole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serious Mental Disorders</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>7-9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Use Disorders</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>35-40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-occurring Substance Use Disorder w/Serious Mental Disorder</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-occurring Serious Mental Disorder w/Substance Use Disorder</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>59.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Blandford and Osher (2013).*
There are 10 times more individuals with serious mental illness in jails and state prisons than there are in state mental hospitals (Torrey, Zdanowicz, Kennard et al. 2014). Nationally, only one-in-three state prisoners and one-in-six jail inmates report having received mental health treatment since their admission (James and Glaze 2006).

Female inmates have higher rates of mental health problems than male inmates (state prisons: 73% of females and 55% of males; local jails: 75% of females and 63% of males) (James & Glaze, 2006). Also, Jail inmates who had a mental health problem (24%) were three times as likely as jail inmates without (8%) to report being physically or sexually abused in the past (James & Glaze, 2006).

People with mental illness are also at higher risk of finding themselves back in the criminal justice system (Barr, 1999). Approximately 49% percent of federal prisoners with mental illnesses have three or more prior probations, incarcerations or arrests, compared to 28% without mental illnesses (Ditton, 1999). Family members report that the average number of arrests for their relative with mental illness is more than three (McFarland, Faulkner, Bloom & Hallaux, 1989).

2.2 Types of Mental and Behavioral Health Conditions

Any type of mental or behavioral health disorder found in the general population is found among defendants in the legal system. This section of the manual presents some of the most common conditions, especially those that require consideration when determining the need for competency evaluation.

The assessment and diagnosis of clinical conditions are conducted by licensed health care providers. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5), published by the American Psychiatric Association (2013), is the handbook used to by health care professionals to classify and diagnose mental health disorders. Mental illness is different than cognitive impairment or developmental disability, although they may both be present. The information presented here is intended to provide a general overview and should not be used for legal or clinical decision making.

Psychotic Disorders

Psychotic disorders are severe mental disorders that cause abnormal thinking and perceptions. People with psychoses lose touch with reality. Two of the main symptoms are delusions and hallucinations:

- **Delusions** are false or erroneous beliefs that usually involve a misinterpretation of perceptions or experiences. A person experiencing delusions may experience:
  - Beliefs that someone is plotting against them (persecutory delusions).
  - Beliefs that external forces are controlling their thoughts, feelings and behavior.
  - Beliefs that trivial remarks, events, objects, or other environmental cues have personal meaning or significance (referential delusions).
  - Thinking that they have special powers, are on a special mission, or that they are God.
  - Thinking that the TV is sending them secret messages.

- **Hallucinations** are false perceptions that may occur in any sensory modality (e.g., auditory, olfactory, gustatory, visual, and tactile). For example, a person experiencing hallucinations may experience:
  - Voices conducting a running commentary on the person’s thoughts or behavior.
  - Voices telling the person to commit acts of violence or self-harm.
- Feeling like something is crawling on or under their skin.
- Seeing someone take the shape of something, such as a demon.

In DSM-5, psychotic disorders are classified under Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorders. Schizophrenia is one type of psychotic disorder. Lack of insight into one's mental illness is very common among individuals with Schizophrenia and may include a complete disbelief that they have a disorder. Examples of other disorders with psychotic features are Schizoaffective Disorder, Delusional Disorder, Schizophreniform Disorder, and Brief Psychotic Disorder.

People with Bipolar Disorder may also present with psychotic symptoms. The use of alcohol and some drugs (e.g., marijuana, LSD, amphetamines and other substances) can increase the risk of psychosis in people who are already vulnerable. Other problems that can cause psychosis include brain tumors, brain infections, and stroke.

Treatment depends on the cause of the psychosis. Medications such as anti-psychotics to control symptoms and individual or group therapy may be recommended. Hospitalization may also be appropriate for serious cases where a person might be dangerous to self or others.

**Depressive Disorders**

Depressive disorders are characterized by sadness severe enough or persistent enough to impair social, occupational or other important areas of functioning. The term depression is often used to refer to any of several depressive disorders. The DSM-5 defines depressive disorders by specific types:

- Major depressive disorder (often called major depression and may be single or recurrent episodes)
- Persistent depressive disorder (also called dysthymia)
- Other specified or unspecified depressive disorder
- Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder
- Substance/Medication-Induced Depressive Disorder
- Depressive Disorder Due to Another Medical Condition
- Other Specified Depressive Disorder
- Unspecified Depressive Disorder

Depressive symptoms or disorders may also accompany physical disorders, including thyroid and adrenal gland disorders, stroke, AIDS, Parkinson disease, and multiple sclerosis. Certain drugs, such as corticosteroids, some beta-blockers, interferon, and reserpine, can cause symptoms of depression (Celano et al., 2011).

Treatment may include medications and/or individual or group therapy. Psychiatric hospitalization is sometimes necessary.

**NOTE:** Mood disorders are less frequent than psychotic disorders in criminal forensic evaluations, but may be relevant in competence-to-stand-trial and insanity evaluations. They are more common in civil forensic evaluations, particularly disability evaluations (Parker, 2014).
Anxiety Disorders

Anxiety disorders are characterized by feelings of anxiety and fear that are severe and persistent enough to interfere with daily activities and functioning. Examples of anxiety disorders include generalized anxiety disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder. Any of these conditions may co-occur with other mental health conditions and substance use disorder. Persons with PTSD, for example, may also experience psychotic symptoms (i.e., auditory or visual hallucinations), that must be differentiated from perceptual disturbances that occur in schizophrenia.

Treatments for anxiety disorders may include psychotherapy, such as Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) and medications.

Personality Disorders

Personality disorders are associated with enduring patterns of thinking and feeling about oneself and others that lead to significant distress or impairment. These patterns develop early, are inflexible, and are associated with significant distress or disability (APA, 2013).

Personality disorders fall within 10 distinct types: paranoid personality disorder, schizoid personality disorder, schizotypal personality disorder, antisocial personality disorder, borderline personality disorder, histrionic personality, narcissistic personality disorder, avoidant personality disorder, dependent personality disorder and obsessive-compulsive personality disorder (APA, 2013). Personality disorders may co-occur other conditions and can influence competency of criminal defendants.

Examples of psychotherapy treatments for some personality disorders include Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (DBT) and Cognitive Therapy (CT). Psychiatric medications may help with various personality disorder symptoms.

Organic Brain Disorders

Organic mental disorder refers to impaired mental function from a medical condition other than psychiatric illness. Impaired brain function may be caused by:

- Trauma (bleeding into the brain or space around the brain),
- Concussion (bruising of the brain)
- Lack of oxygen (hypoxia, hypercapnia)
- Cardiovascular disorders (e.g., stroke)
- Degenerative disorders (e.g., Parkinson’s Disease, senile dementia, dementia caused by metabolic disorders)
- Infections (e.g., Meningitis)

NOTE: Other conditions, such as Depression, Psychosis, etc. can mimic organic brain syndrome.

Substance Use Disorders

Substance use disorders occur when the recurrent use of alcohol and/or drugs causes clinically and functionally significant impairment, such as health problems, disability, and failure to meet major responsibilities at work, school, or home. According to the DSM-5, a diagnosis of substance use disorder is based on evidence of impaired control, social impairment, risky use, and pharmacological criteria.
Substance use disorders are defined as mild, moderate, or severe to indicate the level of severity, which is determined by the number of diagnostic criteria met by an individual.

Substance use disorder in DSM-5 combines the previous DSM-IV categories of substance abuse and substance dependence into a single disorder measured on a continuum from mild to severe. Each specific substance (other than caffeine, which cannot be diagnosed as a substance use disorder) is addressed as a separate use disorder (e.g., alcohol use disorder, stimulant use disorder), but nearly all substances are diagnosed based on the same principal criteria.

**Co-occurring Disorders**

A diagnosis of “co-occurring disorder” (previously referred to as “dual diagnosis”) describes the presence of both a mental disorder and a substance abuse disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). National research suggests that as many as three out of every four criminal defendants in major cities test positive for drugs at the time of arrest (National Institute of Justice, 1998). People with substance abuse disorders are more likely to develop mental illness and people with mental illness are more likely to develop a substance abuse disorder (Peters & Hills, 1997; Massaro & Pepper, 1994).

People with co-occurring disorders may also suffer from additional collateral problems such as homelessness, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), violent behavior, trauma (e.g., Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder), unemployment, and social and family relationships problems (Peters & Hills, 1997; Broner, et al., 2000). The presence of co-occurring conditions may complicate the assessment and restoration of competency to stand trial.

**Factitious Disorders**

A person with a factitious disorder intentionally (consciously) produces, feigns or exaggerates the symptoms of a disease, illness or psychological condition. The motive varies but may include a desire to seek comfort and attention, attempt to gain access to drugs, or a fascination with the medical field.

The DSM-5 criteria for factitious disorder (previously, when severe, was called Munchausen syndrome) include:

- Making up physical or psychological signs or symptoms or causing injury or disease with the deliberate intention to deceive
- Pretending to be sick or injured or to be having problems functioning
- Continuing with the deception, even without receiving any visible benefit or reward
- Behavior is not better explained by another mental disorder, such as a delusional disorder or another psychotic disorder

A person with a factitious disorder may have a personality disorder and/or a history of emotional, physical, and/or sexual abuse as a child (APA, 2013). These motives differ from those of malingering in which one fakes symptoms for external gain (see Malingering description below). The DSM-5 categorizes Factitious Disorder into two types: Factitious disorder imposed on self and factious disorder imposed on another. This later condition is when a person deliberately produces, feigns, or exaggerates the symptoms of someone in his or her care.
Malingering

The DSM-5 describes malingering as the intentional production of false or grossly exaggerated physical or psychological problems (APA, 2013). Malingering is not considered a mental illness although it may be assessed and coded in a forensic evaluation (it is given a “V” code in DSM-5). Malingered conditions may include dissociative identity disorder, mood disorders/suicidality, psychosis (e.g., hallucinations), PTSD, amnesia, cognitive deficits, and dementia.

Motivation for malingering is usually external (e.g., avoiding work, obtaining financial compensation, evading criminal prosecution, or obtaining drugs) (APA, 2013). The clinical interview is key in the assessment of malingering, and when malingering is suspected, forensic evaluators may use malingering tests to assess for it.

Intellectual and Developmental Disability

In DSM-5, intellectual and developmental disorders are classified under Neurodevelopmental Disorders. Intellectual Disability (intellectual developmental disorder) as a DSM-5 diagnostic term replaces “mental retardation” used in previous editions of the manuals. Intellectual disability involves impairments of general mental abilities that impact adaptive functioning in three domains, or areas. The diagnostic criteria, as defined by the DSM-5 are:

- Deficits in intellectual functioning such as reasoning, problem solving, planning, abstract thinking, judgment, academic learning (ability to learn in school via traditional teaching methods), and experiential learning (the ability to learn through experience, trial and error, and observation).
- Deficits or impairments in adaptive functioning (skills are needed for daily living) such as communication, social skills, personal independence at home or in community settings, and social or work functioning.
- These limitations must occur during the developmental period (were evident during childhood or adolescence). If the problems began after the developmental period, the correct diagnosis might be neurocognitive disorder.

NOTE: Mental abilities are measured by standardized intelligence quotient (IQ) tests administered by professionals.

In Washington state, [RCW 71A.10.020](http://www.goleetrade.com/71A.10.020) defines "Developmental disability" as “a disability attributable to intellectual disability, cerebral palsy, epilepsy, autism, or another neurological or other condition of an individual found by the secretary to be closely related to an intellectual disability or to require treatment similar to that required for individuals with intellectual disabilities, which disability originates before the individual attains age eighteen, which has continued or can be expected to continue indefinitely, and which constitutes a substantial limitation to the individual.” ([RCW 71A.10.020](http://www.goleetrade.com/71A.10.020))

2.3 Cultural Considerations

Lack of knowledge of certain cultural beliefs or practices can result in erroneous conclusions, whether during a forensic competency evaluation or in the courtroom. For example, visual or auditory hallucinations with a religious content, such as hearing God's voice, may be viewed as a normal part of religious experience (Tseng, Elwyn, & Matthews, 2004). It is essential to be responsive to ethno-cultural
differences in etiological and causal models of health and disorder, patterns of disorder, standards of normality, and treatment alternatives.

As part of ethical standards, mental health providers in the forensic system strive to maintain cultural competence as it relates to their practice. Cultural competence is the application of knowledge, skills, experience, and personal attributes to respond respectfully and effectively to people of all cultures, races, ethnic backgrounds, and religions in a manner that recognizes, and values the cultural differences and similarities of individuals, families, and communities. For more information relevant to culture and forensic mental health, see the Resources section of this manual.
3. COMPETENCY TO STAND TRIAL

3.1 Background

Competence to stand trial, or adjudicative competence, is the legal construct that refers to a criminal defendant’s ability to participate in legal proceedings related to an alleged offense (Mossman et al., 2007). The U.S Supreme Court established the current legal standard for determining competency to stand trial in *Dusky v. United States* (1960). The standard of competence is whether a defendant lacks the “sufficient present ability to consult with his lawyer with a reasonable degree of rational understanding and whether he has a rational as well as factual understanding of the proceedings against him.” *(Dusky v US; 362 U.S. 402; 1960).* In Washington, ‘Incompetency’ means a person lacks the capacity to understand the nature of the proceedings against him or her or to assist in his or her own defense as a result of mental disease or defect (*RCW 10.77.010*). Incompetence may occur during any stage of legal proceedings and “no incompetent person shall be tried, convicted, or sentenced for the commission of an offense so long as such incapacity continues.” *(RCW 10.77.050).* The Competency to Stand Trial Process is shown in Figure 3.

**NOTE:** Some defendants may be aware that they have a mental illness, but they may not have insight into its influence on their judgment. This may persist even when psychiatric symptoms are in remission.

3.2 Competency Evaluation and Restoration Treatment Court Orders

Evaluations of competency to stand trial are the most common source of referrals to forensic mental health treatment providers nationally and here in Washington (Gowensmith, Murrie, & Packer, 2014). DSHS is mandated to provide forensic evaluation and treatment services when court ordered. Evaluations are conducted only under valid court order and after counsel has been appointed, unless waived pursuant to state law (see *RCW 10.77.020*).

The Administrative Office of the Courts released standardized forms for RCW 10.77. Forms for court orders are available at [https://www.courts.wa.gov/forms/](https://www.courts.wa.gov/forms/). By checking the box for “Department Facility” on court orders for competency services, you can allow DSHS to place an individual for restoration services in a state hospital or one of the two DSHS residential treatment facilities (see **Section 4.2**) depending on the individual needs of the defendant.

3.3 Competency Referral Screening Assessment

Some counties, such as Snohomish County, conduct in-jail screening of inmates whom may be candidates for pretrial forensic mental health evaluation under RCW 10.77.060. The screening is conducted by trained jail staff or other designee and entails a brief review of mental health history, current mental status, assessment of psychiatric impairments to understanding legal proceedings and ability to assist in their own defense. The screening assessment is not a competency evaluation, but a screening process to help identify and recommend to the court appropriate referrals for competency assessments. For more information about in-jail screening assessment, contact the Office of Forensic Mental Health Services ([ofmhswebsite@dshs.wa.gov](mailto:ofmhswebsite@dshs.wa.gov)).
Figure 3. Competency to Stand Trial Process.
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3.4 Competency Evaluation Process

Forensic evaluations may be conducted in inpatient facilities, jails, or in community settings. In Washington, the majority of forensic evaluations are conducted by DSHS employees and the interviews occur in a jail. State statute requires that the evaluator’s report include the following (as pursuant to RCW 10.77.060):

- A description of the nature of the evaluation;
- A diagnosis of the mental status of the defendant;
- An opinion as to the defendant’s competency, and an opinion regarding insanity if insanity is claimed, and an evaluation and report by an expert or professional person has been provided that meets statutory criteria (RCW 10.77.060(3)(d));
- An opinion as to whether the defendant should be evaluated by a designated mental health professional under the Involuntary Treatment Act (ITA).

The evaluation is then submitted to the court, and if the court finds that the defendant is competent, the case proceeds to trial. If the court concludes that the defendant is incompetent, a period of treatment may be authorized to restore the defendant to competency. If the person is restored to competency, the case proceeds to trial.

NOTE: The role of forensic evaluators differs from that of treatment providers. Professionals who take on the role of forensic evaluators evaluate issues including, but not limited to, defendants' competence to stand trial, their mental state at the time of the offense (i.e., insanity), and their risk for future violent behavior. Treatment providers are responsible for psychological intervention or treatment of individuals in both criminal and civil cases who require (e.g., competency restoration or civil commitment) or who request these services.

3.5 Sell Orders

A Sell Order is an authorization to administer medications involuntarily. In Sell v. United States, (539 U.S. 166 (2003)) the United States Supreme Court held that the Constitution allows the government to administer antipsychotic drugs involuntarily to a mentally ill criminal detainee in order to render that defendant competent to stand trial for serious, but nonviolent crimes. (539 U.S. 169 (2003)).

In the State of Washington, it is the responsibility of the Treating Psychiatrist to initiate Sell Hearing proceedings. The process is typically as follows:

1. If the defendant 1) refuses medications for three consecutive days, or 2) has a pattern of inadequate medication compliance lasting at least a week, and it is the opinion of the treating psychiatrist that the defendant cannot be restored without medication, then the treating psychiatrist will send a letter to the court requesting a Sell Hearing (unless the Court has indicated that a hearing has already been scheduled).

2. If the defendant returns from the Sell Hearing (a) without an order for the forced administration of medication, (b) the defendant continues to refuse to take medication, and (c) it is the opinion of the evaluators that the defendant will not be restored without medication compliance, a report will be submitted to the court indicating the clinically relevant information and rendering an opinion on the defendant’s current capacities to stand trial.
4. COMPETENCY RESTORATION SERVICES

4.1 Overview

While the majority of defendants evaluated for competency to stand trial are viewed as competent to proceed, those found incompetent to stand trial may be referred to treatment and specialized training to enable them to proceed to trial. This process is typically referred to as competency restoration. These persons constitute the largest group referred for mental health treatment within the criminal justice system (Cutler, 2008).

Most incompetent adult defendants are sent to Western State Hospital (WSH) or Eastern State Hospital (ESH) for competency restoration. Alternate restoration facilities are also in use, and are described in the following section.

4.2 Residential Treatment Facilities Overview

In 2016, DSHS opened two new residential treatment facilities where pretrial adult defendants can receive competency restoration services. The Maple Lane Competency Restoration Program (shown in Figure 4) consists of a 30-bed facility located at 20311 Old Highway 9 SW, Centralia.

Figure 4. Maple Lane Competency Restoration Program
The Yakima Competency Restoration Program (shown in Figure 5) consists of a 24-bed facility and is located at 1500 Pacific Avenue, Yakima.

**Figure 5. Yakima Competency Restoration Program**

Both facilities serve patients who are assessed to be relatively low in psychiatric acuity and also at a relatively low-level of risk to harm themselves or others. Candidates for admission are screened by clinical staff to determine their appropriateness for the program. Both WSH and ESH continue to be options for patients. Defendants ordered into competency restoration services are assessed through a centralized screening process to determine which of the four locations best matches their needs. State law gives the Secretary of DSHS the discretion for placement for competency restoration. (See RCW 10.77.084(1)(b), 10.77.086(1)(a)(i) and 10.77.088(1)(a).)

### 4.2.1 Transportation of Defendants to/from Residential Treatment Facilities

Transportation for patients coming from jails are arranged by the sending county. Patients coming to the alternate site from a state psychiatric hospital are transported by DSHS.

RCW 10.77.078 states that jails must transport a defendant to competency restoration sites within one day of an offer of admission and must provide a defendant’s medical clearance to the state hospital admissions staff. In order to make efficient use of the new facilities, and place defendants in the most appropriate facility, DSHS requests that jails provide available information to DSHS admissions staff who screen individuals for placement. This would include information about the patient’s behavior within the jail, the patient’s willingness to take prescribed medications, and other relevant information.

### 4.2.2 Medication and Personal Belongings at Residential Treatment Facilities

Unlike the hospitals, the alternate sites do not have an on-site pharmacy and must coordinate medication orders through local community pharmacies. DSHS works with jails to ensure that 5 days of medications are sent with incoming patients and sent out with patients. This 5-day supply bridges the medication gap until it is ordered and received by the RTF site.
Storage space is limited at the new facilities. DSHS requests that incoming patients come only with the clothes worn for transport, the requested medications and, if they require it, their own copies of their court documents.

4.2.3 Visitation at the Residential Treatment Facilities

Just like the state hospitals, attorneys and approved family members are able to visit patients at the RTFs or communicate by telephone.

4.3 Competency Restoration Treatment

Treatment programs for the restoration of competence typically target mental disorder/cognitive impairment and competence-related abilities. Improvement in the underlying mental disorder or cognitive impairment often results in improvement in competence-related deficits. The most common form of treatment for restoration of competence involves the administration of psychotropic medication.

Competency Restoration treatment may consist of:

- Administration of psychiatric medications. Medication is the most frequent form of treatment. Medication such as antidepressants, antipsychotics and other medications control some of the symptoms of mental illnesses or mental disorders.
- Group and individual psychotherapy.
- Educational treatment programs designed to increase a defendant’s understanding of the legal process or individualized treatment programs that confront the problems that hinder a defendant’s ability to participate in his or her defense (competence-related deficits).
- Recreational and psychosocial group activities.
- Medical treatment if necessary.

Training is also often a component of competency restoration. Training may consist of:

- Competency education
- Understanding the legal system

Competency restoration treatment also recognizes the possible presence of trauma symptoms and the role that histories of trauma and exposure to violence may play in people’s lives. Traumatic events can include history of physical and sexual abuse or assault, domestic violence, neglect, bullying, community-based violence (e.g., exposure to gang violence, police and citizen altercations), disaster, terrorism, and the experience of war (SAMSHA, 2014). Involvement with the justice system can further exacerbate trauma for individuals with histories of trauma experiences (SAMSHA, 2016). Trauma-informed care is an approach used to engage people with histories of trauma. For more information on Trauma-informed care, see [http://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content//SMA14-4816/SMA14-4816.pdf](http://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content//SMA14-4816/SMA14-4816.pdf).

In Washington, the length of the competency restoration treatment period depends upon the type of criminal charge. Defendants charged with misdemeanor offenses qualify for an initial 14 to 29 days of restoration treatment. Defendants charged with Class C or non-violent Class B felony offenses qualify for an initial treatment period of 45 days. Defendants charged with violent Class B and Class A felonies are committed for an initial treatment period of up to 90 days (see [RCW 10.77](#)).
Most felony defendants treated for restoration to competency in Washington are restored to competency within 90 days. Evidence from the research literatures suggests that defendants that take the longest to restore to competence are those with developmental disabilities and those with longstanding psychotic disorders that have resulted in lengthy periods of hospitalization (Warren et al. 2013). Factors that have been found to be associated with nonrestorability (Colwell & Gianesini, 2011; Morris & Parker, 2009; Mossman, 2007; Warren et al. 2013) include:

- Age over age 65 (even when accounting for dementia)
- Individual with chronic psychotic illness (particularly schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder), a history of lengthy hospitalizations, and severe impairment in daily living
- Irremediable cognitive disorder such as intellectual disorder or dementia
- Men were more likely than women to be nonrestorable
- Lower level charges (Misdemeanors)

NOTE: Jails and prisons also have a constitutional obligation to provide treatment to inmates with serious medical and psychiatric conditions (Veysey, Bichler-Robertson, 2002). Types of treatments in these settings may include medication, counselling (e.g., individual or group therapy), and rehabilitation (e.g., involvement in a program directed at enabling people to live safely within the community).

5. CIVIL COMMITMENT

5.1 Background

Civil Commitment means the determination by a court that a person should be detained for a period of either evaluation or treatment, or both, in an inpatient or a less restrictive setting (RCW 71.05.020). The presence of a mental disorder is a prerequisite for civil commitment. Other criteria frequently include dangerous behavior toward self or others, grave disability, and the need for treatment.

5.2 Not Guilty By Reason of Insanity (NGRI)

When defendants plead not guilty by reason of insanity, they are admitting that they committed a crime, but seek to excuse their behavior by reason of mental illness that satisfies the definition of legal insanity. A “criminally insane” person is any person who has been acquitted of a crime charged by reason of insanity, and thereupon found to be a substantial danger to other persons or to present a substantial likelihood of committing criminal acts that jeopardize public safety or security unless kept under further control by the court or other persons or institutions (RCW 10.77.010). The process for civil commitment and NGRI is shown in Figure 6. For more information on Maximum term of commitment or treatment, see RCW 10.77.025.

5.3 Felony Conversions (Felony Flips)

A “Felony Flip” is when a defendant’s felony charges are dismissed and a civil commitment is pursued. A court may dismiss criminal charges due to the lack of competence. The individual is then sent to the state psychiatric hospital to be evaluated to determine if the individual meets criteria under RCW 71.05 for civil commitment to the hospital due to their mental illness. Pursuant to RCW 10.77.086(4) charges are dismissed without prejudice, allowing the court to re-charge the individual in the future, if the individual is determined to have become competent.
5.4 Mental Health Treatment following NGRI

Individuals who have been found NGRI require attention for clinical and legal needs as a result of their connection to both the mental health and criminal justice systems. A NGRI commitment is usually set to the term of the sentence for the crime, however, a person may be released fully or with conditions placed on them before the term of the sentence. In order to be released, the psychiatric condition will need to be stable and the person will need to demonstrate that they do not present a safety risk to the public or themselves. Some NGRI acquittees may be discharged into community treatment programs if a judge orders a conditional release.

5.5 Public Safety Review Panel

The Washington State Legislature established the Public Safety Review Panel (PSRP) in 2010 (Chapter 263, Laws of 2010), to independently assess and provide advice to the Secretary of the DSHS and to the courts, regarding potential risk to public safety related to the proposed Conditional Release or Final Discharge of patients found Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity (NGRI) committed to Western State Hospital or Eastern State Hospital.

In 2013, the Washington State Legislature expanded the jurisdiction of the PSRP, Chapter 289, Laws of 2013, to include patients civilly committed to WSH or ESH after the court found the criminal defendant not competent to stand trial for a violent offense (RCW 9.94A.030).
Figure 6. Civil commitment and Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity (NGRI) process.

**CRIMINAL CHARGE**

Defense files notice of intent to assert insanity defense

**Expert Evaluation**

Court or jury decides:
- Whether the person should be acquitted on grounds of insanity (NGRI)
- Whether the person presents a safety risk unless kept under further control by the court (or other persons or institutions)

If insanity is not supported, the case proceeds as usual

**Determined NGRI and determined safety risk**

Commitment

The person is examined at least every six months. The person or DSHS may petition the court for release or conditional release

**Determined NGRI and not determined safety risk**

Conditional Release

The person is examined at least every six months. The person or DSHS may petition the court for release. Court reviews after one year and every two years thereafter.

The person must be released no later than the end of the maximum possible sentence term for any charged offense. The court may grant earlier release if the person is determined at a hearing, by preponderance of the evidence, to no longer be dangerous and in need to be under control.
**6. DIVERSION PROGRAMS**

Successful screening and assessment early in the criminal justice process (including pre-trial) are key to diverting people into treatment programs. Diversion programs are often run by a police department, court, or behavioral health organization/outside agency designed to enable individuals to avoid criminal charges or a criminal record.

The Sequential Intercept Model (Munetz & Griffin, 2006) (See Figure 7.) provides a framework for conceptualizing the interface between the criminal justice and mental health system. The intercept model has several key objectives that include (Munetz & Griffin, 2006):

- Preventing initial involvement with the criminal justice system
- Decreasing admissions to jail
- Engaging persons in treatment as soon as possible
- Minimizing time moving through the criminal justice system
- Connecting people to community treatment options
- Decreasing the rate of return to the criminal justice system

**Figure 7.** The Diversion (Sequential) Intercept Model.
6.1 Law Enforcement and Emergency Services

Prearrest diversion is the first point of interception for individuals with behavioral health needs. Law enforcement and emergency service professionals are often the initial point of contact for individuals in crisis. Oftentimes law enforcement officers may lack knowledge of alternatives or find it difficult to immediately access behavioral health services. Crisis Intervention Teams (CITs) and police-mental health co-responder teams are trained to link people with mental illnesses to treatment without arrest.

Police diversion programs are built on partnerships between mental health providers in the community and designated police units, with the aim of identifying serious mental illness, de-escalating situations with minimal police force, decreasing stigmatization, and when appropriate, linking a person to treatment rather than booking them into jail.

6.2 Jails and Courts

Jail diversion helps people with behavioral health needs receive treatment through various alternatives to incarceration. While programs that divert people to treatment incur healthcare system costs, providing treatment in the community is typically less expensive than serving people in criminal justice settings. There is also the potential for large cost offsets, because diversion can prevent further criminal justice involvement. Jail diversion helps reduce expenditures associated with unnecessary arrests and detentions.

Post arrest diversion options include the use of mental health screening tools after arrest to quickly identify individuals who have behavioral health needs and refer them to appropriate services either in-jail or in the community. In addition, specialized courts, including drug, mental health, and veterans’ courts have shown to be an effective way to divert people with behavioral health needs from incarceration and into treatment (Sarteschi, Vaughn, & Kim, 2011). These voluntary programs operate both pre- and post-adjudication, and allow participants to access treatment as an alternative to incarceration.

6.3 Who to Contact About Diversion Programs

If you would like additional information about county-specific diversion programming, please contact your county Behavioral Health Organization (BHO). The Office of Forensic Mental Health Services can also connect partners and stakeholders to appropriate contacts at the BHO level and can help facilitate diversion conversations activities in your local area. For more information please contact Ingrid Lewis, Liaison and Diversion Specialist, at lewisij@dshs.wa.gov.
ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

The Office of Forensic Mental Health Services website (https://www.dshs.wa.gov/bha/office-service-integration/office-forensic-mental-health-services) provides useful information and contact information for forensic mental health services in the State of Washington.


The National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) website.

Administrative Office of the Courts website. The site provides forms that are used statewide in Washington Courts.
GLOSSARY

BHO – Behavioral Health Organization
CFS – Center for Forensic Services
CBT – Cognitive Behavioral Therapy
CT – Cognitive Therapy

Competency restoration – The process of helping a person regain or achieve the capacity to assist an attorney in his or her defense.

Crisis intervention teams (CITs) – A model for community policing that brings together law enforcement, mental health providers, hospital emergency departments and individuals with mental illness and their families to improve responses to people in crisis.

CSTC – Child Study and Treatment Center
DBT – Dialectical Behavioral Therapy
DSM-5 – Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition
ESH – Eastern State Hospital

Felony Flip – When a defendant’s felony charges are dismissed and a civil commitment is pursued.

Forensic Commitment – The act of involuntarily placing an adult defendant in a secure facility due to incompetence to proceed or insanity and the need for care due to dangerousness or self-neglect.

Incompetent to Proceed/Incompetent to Stand Trial – A mental illness or developmental disability renders the defendant incapable of effectively helping in his or her defense.

Involuntary Civil Commitment – Involuntary civil commitment is the involuntary placement of an adult person for the purpose of treating a mental illness that renders the person dangerous or at risk of self-neglect.

NGRI – Not Guilty for Reason of Insanity
NRO – Northern Regional Office

OFMHS – Office of Forensic Mental Health Services

Pretrial intervention – Persons charged with a non-violent felony in the third degree may be eligible for interventions such as victim restitution, counseling and community service. The completion of conditions results in the State Attorney’s Office not prosecuting the case.

RCW – Revised Code of Washington

Sell Order – An authorization to administer medications involuntarily.

Trauma-informed care – a treatment approach used to engage people with histories of trauma.

WSH – Western State Hospital
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