

---

**ORAL INTERVIEW SCORING**  
**April 10-12, 2024**  
**RFP #2423-844**  
**Residential Settings Locator**

---

**Vendor Name: Room and Care**

**Evaluator Number: OE1**

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score only based on the Vendor's response in each competency area.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team after the interviews, but each evaluator should score independently. **We do not use consensus scoring.**
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something outside of the competency areas being judged.

The Oral Evaluation is comprised of a presentation to highlight the most effective features of bidder's residential settings locator and 45 minutes of questions by the evaluation panel.

**The presentation from the candidate is worth 100 points. Panel Questions will be scored as follows: Presentation is worth 40 points. Interview questions #1-6 are each worth 10 points.**

If you have questions, please direct them to Lauren Bragazzi, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6047. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

**The Oral Evaluation Questions are:**

For the oral presentations we would like to have a comprehensive demonstration of your application. (40pts)

What data are you currently tracking for the application? How can this be modified to meet ALTSA's needs? (10pts)

Are there limitations on the number of modifications ALTSa can request? (10pts)

In your view, what are the constraints or drawbacks of your solution? (10pts)

How frequently do you provide updates and how long is your application down? (10pts)

If a bug or glitch is detected, what is your process and turn-around for resolution?  
(10pts)

We need a user-friendly application, if help is needed, what is your process to obtain help and timeframe to respond? (10pts)

**Oral Evaluator General Notes:**

This image shows a full page of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page, providing a template for handwriting practice or general writing. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the page.

[illegible]

## Oral Evaluator Scoring:

**Question 1.** For the oral presentations we would like to have a comprehensive demonstration of your application.

### Comments

Narrows the search down by the city and specialties (MH, Dementia). When searching a facility there is an option to call, text or message the provider directly. Provider has the option to upload photos. Language, bed type, pet options and religion are listed as filters. Really like the "future date" option for potential openings. The walk through to open an account as provider appeared to be straightforward.

Providers can list different email/phone number for referrals vs. direct contact with the owner (point person). Providers can also list multiple business under the same profile.

Points Awarded 40 out of 40

**Question 2.** What data are you currently tracking for the application? How can this be modified to meet ALTSA's needs?

### Comments

Data being tracked is to improve marketing and support for vendors. i.e. who created the account, last viewed, updated, how often the provider is getting viewed (saved). Used to provide feedback to providers who might not be listed as "least favorite". Room and care team makes contact with the provider (at no cost) to support/encourage them with adding more information to their description. Tracking room duplication and contacts with provider- monitoring for spam emails they might receive. Tracking amount of time each page is viewed and for how long. Room and Care will work with ALTSA to create tracking metrics that are needed for our purposes. No limit to how much data can be collected. Tracking providers who have not respond to referrals or their account. When creating an account the provider must have one opening.

Points Awarded 10 out of 10

**Question 3.** Are there limitations on the number of modifications ALTSA can request?

Comments

No limitation as long as it's within the scope of the RFP and contract Sect A.3. If the request is outside of the scope it will need to be a conversation. Provided a great example of what would be outside of the scope. Would like to like what/if any future modifications might be needed so they can be prepared. During the build/development/testing phase there will be no limitations.

Points Awarded \_10\_ out of 10

**Question 4.** In your view, what are the constraints or drawbacks of your solution?

Comments

The site has what ALTSA is looking for. The barrier has been providers not updating their availability. As a solution the site will send out notifications to close out the account of the provider. Usually an email to alter them- asking them to respond if the room is still available.

Points Awarded \_\_\_7\_\_\_ out of 10

**Question 5.** How frequently do you provide updates and how long is your application down?

Comments

Updates are provided twice a week. No down time currently for updates or bug error. Small bugs are fixed quickly. Really liked the breakdown of priority for response time.

Points Awarded \_10\_ out of 10

**Question 6.** If a bug or glitch is detected, what is your process and turn-around for resolution?

Comments

Bugs that impact usability are fixed within 30minutes to 2 hours. If needed it can be fixed within 24 hours. Things on the lower priority are fixed within 4-5 days. Shared a chart of priority for response.

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_10\_\_ out of 10

**Question 7.** We need a user-friendly application, if help is needed, what is your process to obtain help and timeframe to respond?

Comments

For immediate issues a phone call is the best, if we don't pick up respond withing 1-2hrs.  
Emails can be used for non-urgent issues – response time is 48hours.

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_10\_\_ out of 10

---

**ORAL INTERVIEW SCORING**  
**April 10-12, 2024**  
**RFP #2423-844**  
**Residential Settings Locator**

---

**Vendor Name: Room & Care**

**Evaluator Number: 2**

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score only based on the Vendor's response in each competency area.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team after the interviews, but each evaluator should score independently. **We do not use consensus scoring.**
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something outside of the competency areas being judged.

The Oral Evaluation is comprised of a presentation to highlight the most effective features of bidder's residential settings locator and 45 minutes of questions by the evaluation panel.

**The presentation from the candidate is worth 100 points. Panel Questions will be scored as follows: Presentation is worth 40 points. Interview questions #1-6 are each worth 10 points.**

If you have questions, please direct them to Lauren Bragazzi, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6047. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

**The Oral Evaluation Questions are:**

For the oral presentations we would like to have a comprehensive demonstration of your application. (40pts)

What data are you currently tracking for the application? How can this be modified to meet ALTSA's needs? (10pts)

Are there limitations on the number of modifications AL TSA can request? (10pts)

In your view, what are the constraints or drawbacks of your solution? (10pts)

How frequently do you provide updates and how long is your application down? (10pts)

If a bug or glitch is detected, what is your process and turn-around for resolution?  
(10pts)

We need a user-friendly application, if help is needed, what is your process to obtain help and timeframe to respond? (10pts)

**Oral Evaluator General Notes:**

Overall good presentation and the power point information was very helpful. The product appeared user-friendly and intuitive. Especially liked the default write-ups on some of the specialty services, most of our provider population are ESL and it would present a hardship if they had to write up their own explanations. \_System is existing and providing the services already\_\_\_\_\_

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.



[illegible]

---

---

### Oral Evaluator Scoring:

**Question 1.** For the oral presentations we would like to have a comprehensive demonstration of your application.

Comments \_Provided ppt presentation -Demonstration was clear and visually simple, which would be a benefit to both providers and case managers. Appeared to have a good understanding of the needs from providers and case managers in supporting our clients

[illegible]

Points Awarded 40 out of 40

**Question 2.** What data are you currently tracking for the application? How can this be modified to meet ALTSA's needs?

Comments Tracking To improve UX for families seeking care. Improve the chances of vendors getting their rooms booked

---

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded 10 out of 10

**Question 3.** Are there limitations on the number of modifications ALTSA can request?

Comments: We need to identify ALTSA's top priorities and then work backwards to modify platform\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_\_10\_\_\_\_\_out of 10

**Question 4.** In your view, what are the constraints or drawbacks of your solution?

Comments  
\_\_\_\_\_ End listing of non-responsive vendors

---

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_\_8\_\_\_\_\_out of 10

**Question 5.** How frequently do you provide updates and how long is your application down?

Comments \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Updates deployed about 2x week and no down time\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_10\_\_ out of 10

**Question 6.** If a bug or glitch is detected, what is your process and turn-around for resolution?

Comments \_\_If bug or glitch effects pr prevents usability of a feature -usually 30mins – 2hrs depending on the bug and time of day\_\_

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_8\_\_ out of 10

**Question 7.** We need a user-friendly application, if help is needed, what is your process to obtain help and timeframe to respond?

Comments  
\_\_Phone call for urgent help. Response on the spot\_\_

---

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_10\_\_ out of 10

---

**ORAL INTERVIEW SCORING**  
**April 10-12, 2024**  
**RFP #2423-844**  
**Residential Settings Locator**

---

**Vendor Name: Room and Care**

**Evaluator Number: 3**

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score only based on the Vendor's response in each competency area.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team after the interviews, but each evaluator should score independently. **We do not use consensus scoring.**
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something outside of the competency areas being judged.

The Oral Evaluation is comprised of a presentation to highlight the most effective features of bidder's residential settings locator and 45 minutes of questions by the evaluation panel.

**The presentation from the candidate is worth 100 points. Panel Questions will be scored as follows: Presentation is worth 40 points. Interview questions #1-6 are each worth 10 points.**

If you have questions, please direct them to Lauren Bragazzi, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6047. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

**The Oral Evaluation Questions are:**

For the oral presentations we would like to have a comprehensive demonstration of your application. (40pts)

What data are you currently tracking for the application? How can this be modified to meet ALTSA's needs? (10pts)

Are there limitations on the number of modifications AL TSA can request? (10pts)

In your view, what are the constraints or drawbacks of your solution? (10pts)

How frequently do you provide updates and how long is your application down? (10pts)

If a bug or glitch is detected, what is your process and turn-around for resolution?  
(10pts)

We need a user-friendly application, if help is needed, what is your process to obtain help and timeframe to respond? (10pts)

**Oral Evaluator General Notes:**

This image shows a full page of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page, providing a template for handwriting practice or general writing. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the page.

This image shows a full page of blank, lined paper. It features approximately 28 horizontal black lines spaced evenly across the page, typical of standard notebook paper. The lines are thin and extend from the left edge to the right edge. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the page.



## Oral Evaluator Scoring:

**Question 1.** For the oral presentations we would like to have a comprehensive demonstration of your application.

Comments- System is already built for purpose. Also checked their site with mobile. It looks very user-friendly

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_40\_\_\_\_ out of 40

**Question 2.** What data are you currently tracking for the application? How can this be modified to meet ALTSAs needs?

Comments – Presentation was clear

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_9\_\_\_\_ out of 10

**Question 3.** Are there limitations on the number of modifications ALTSA can request?

Comments Good job at setting the boundaries.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_9\_\_\_\_ out of 10

**Question 4.** In your view, what are the constraints or drawbacks of your solution?

Comments- Good presentation. End listing of non-responding vendors- time limit. First come first served. No response-no listing. Might need to give them a bit of a limit there to 2- not 1.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_9 \_\_\_\_ out of 10

**Question 5.** How frequently do you provide updates and how long is your application down?

Comments – Dev/Test/Prod rollout no downtime-

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_10\_\_\_\_ out of 10

**Question 6.** If a bug or glitch is detected, what is your process and turn-around for resolution?

Comments Good list of turnaound times on bugs and glitches

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_10\_\_\_\_out of 10

**Question 7.** We need a user-friendly application, if help is needed, what is your process to obtain help and timeframe to respond?

Comments 1-2 hour to text non urgent 48 hours urgent calls are taken ASAP.

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_10\_\_\_\_out of 10

---

**ORAL INTERVIEW SCORING**  
**April 10-12, 2024**  
**RFP #2423-844**  
**Residential Settings Locator**

---

**Vendor Name: Room and Care**

**Evaluator Number: 4**

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score only based on the Vendor's response in each competency area.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team after the interviews, but each evaluator should score independently. **We do not use consensus scoring.**
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something outside of the competency areas being judged.

The Oral Evaluation is comprised of a presentation to highlight the most effective features of bidder's residential settings locator and 45 minutes of questions by the evaluation panel.

**The presentation from the candidate is worth 100 points. Panel Questions will be scored as follows: Presentation is worth 40 points. Interview questions #1-6 are each worth 10 points.**

If you have questions, please direct them to Lauren Bragazzi, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6047. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

**The Oral Evaluation Questions are:**

For the oral presentations we would like to have a comprehensive demonstration of your application. (40pts)

What data are you currently tracking for the application? How can this be modified to meet ALTSA's needs? (10pts)

Are there limitations on the number of modifications ALTSA can request? (10pts)

In your view, what are the constraints or drawbacks of your solution? (10pts)

How frequently do you provide updates and how long is your application down? (10pts)

If a bug or glitch is detected, what is your process and turn-around for resolution?  
(10pts)

We need a user-friendly application, if help is needed, what is your process to obtain help and timeframe to respond? (10pts)

**Oral Evaluator General Notes:**

This image shows a full page of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page, providing a template for handwriting practice or general writing. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the page.

## Oral Evaluator Scoring:

**Question 1.** For the oral presentations we would like to have a comprehensive demonstration of your application.

Comments

Points Awarded 36 out of 40

**Question 2.** What data are you currently tracking for the application? How can this be modified to meet ALTSA's needs?

Comments

Points Awarded 8 out of 10

**Question 3.** Are there limitations on the number of modifications ALTSA can request?

Comments

Points Awarded 8 out of 10

**Question 4.** In your view, what are the constraints or drawbacks of your solution?

Comments

Points Awarded 8 out of 10

**Question 5.** How frequently do you provide updates and how long is your application down?

Comments

Points Awarded 8 out of 10

**Question 6.** If a bug or glitch is detected, what is your process and turn-around for resolution?

Comments

Points Awarded 8 out of 10

**Question 7.** We need a user-friendly application, if help is needed, what is your process to obtain help and timeframe to respond?

Comments

Points Awarded 8 out of 10

---

**ORAL INTERVIEW SCORING**  
**April 10-12, 2024**  
**RFP #2423-844**  
**Residential Settings Locator**

---

**Vendor Name: Room and Care**

**Evaluator Number: WE5**

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score only based on the Vendor's response in each competency area.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team after the interviews, but each evaluator should score independently. **We do not use consensus scoring.**
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something outside of the competency areas being judged.

The Oral Evaluation is comprised of a presentation to highlight the most effective features of bidder's residential settings locator and 45 minutes of questions by the evaluation panel.

**The presentation from the candidate is worth 100 points. Panel Questions will be scored as follows: Presentation is worth 40 points. Interview questions #1-6 are each worth 10 points.**

If you have questions, please direct them to Lauren Bragazzi, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6047. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.



**The Oral Evaluation Questions are:**

For the oral presentations we would like to have a comprehensive demonstration of your application. (40pts)

What data are you currently tracking for the application? How can this be modified to meet ALTSA's needs? (10pts)

Are there limitations on the number of modifications ALTSA can request? (10pts)

In your view, what are the constraints or drawbacks of your solution? (10pts)

How frequently do you provide updates and how long is your application down? (10pts)

If a bug or glitch is detected, what is your process and turn-around for resolution? (10pts)

We need a user-friendly application, if help is needed, what is your process to obtain help and timeframe to respond? (10pts)

**Oral Evaluator General Notes:**

\_\_\_\_\_ Things bidder noted: to improve uses, vendors get to look, sales and marketing, track to know what accounts are verified, rank on google there is a video explanation, seems simple/straightforward. Wondering about ESL options? Would there be spenddown options? \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## Oral Evaluator Scoring:

**Question 1.** For the oral presentations we would like to have a comprehensive demonstration of your application.

Comments

\_\_\_\_\_ Gave basic overview, could have gone into detail. \_\_ Was not fully prepared – stated he should have had someone with him.

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_ 30 \_\_\_\_\_ out of 40

**Question 2.** What data are you currently tracking for the application? How can this be modified to meet ALTSA's needs?

Comments \_\_\_\_\_ Gave information on: administrative notes, Residential account, Vendor listing, tracking spam accounts, Identifying ALTSA needs and go from there – but did not give a clear answer. Stated instead that he was giving suggestions based on assumptions. One suggestion was a Leaderboard, which that kind of competitive culture is not one we foster.

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_ 6 \_\_\_\_\_ out of 10

**Question 3.** Are there limitations on the number of modifications ALTSA can request?

Comments \_\_\_\_\_Based on what presenter said, the tool does not seem very modifiable, which is concerning. The CARE assessment tool changes frequently. If it changes – can this bidder adapt? As government, things change all the time, nothing stays the same, we are about meeting client need, and those needs change, so our tools change. Not sure they can meet this need. \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_4\_\_\_\_out of 10

**Question 4.** In your view, what are the constraints or drawbacks of your solution?

Comments

\_\_\_\_Bidder stated that his tool would have “the least amount of drawback of any other solution” but did not give any examples or information on what those drawbacks would be. I would prefer an honest clear acknowledgement of potential drawbacks. Instead of answering, bidder talked about a current challenge we face of getting AFH’s to be responsive, and his answer was to give consequences to them. We are trying to build relationships with the AFH’s, and negative consequences would seem to create more barriers than resolve. AFH’s are most often full. They tend to hold the cards in this relationship and we should look at ways to build and foster that relationship. \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_3\_\_\_\_out of 10

**Question 5.** How frequently do you provide updates and how long is your application down?

Comments \_\_\_\_Bidder really glossed over this answer. States Bugs would be resolved in 30 minutes to 2 hours, and at other times 4-5 days. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_6\_\_\_\_out of 10

**Question 6.** If a bug or glitch is detected, what is your process and turn-around for resolution?

Comments \_\_\_\_\_Full points here since he just reviewed this in the previous question. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_10\_\_\_\_out of 10

**Question 7.** We need a user-friendly application, if help is needed, what is your process to obtain help and timeframe to respond?

Comments

\_\_\_\_Bidder gave response of: on the spot telephone answer, 1-2 hour reply via text, Email 48 hours. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Points Awarded \_\_\_\_10\_\_\_\_out of 10