

WRITTEN RESPONSE SCORING
December 9-12 , 2025
RFX #2523-881
Origami Risk Platform

Vendor Name: Origami Risk

Evaluator Number: WE1

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score based on the criteria only.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- We would prefer that you leave a comment for each question scored, briefly explaining why you assigned that particular score.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team, but each evaluator should score independently. **We do not use consensus scoring.**
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something that was not asked for in the Solicitation.

Scoring of Proposals

The following available points will be assigned to the proposal for evaluation purposes:

Section 5 Non-Cost Submittal	50 points
------------------------------	-----------

If you have questions, please direct them to Caleb Clark, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6076. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

Score	Description	Discussion
90-100% of available points	Exceptional	Clearly superior to that which is average.
70-80%	Above Average	Better than that which is average.
50-60%	Average	Baseline score for each item with adjustments based upon the evaluator's interpretation of the Bidder's response.
30-40%	Below Average	Substandard to that which is average.
10-20%	Failing	Non-responsive or clearly inadequate to that which is average.
0%	No Experience	Response shows no experience in this skill or capability.

Evaluator Scoresheet for RFX #2523-881

You will be evaluating one part of the bidder’s submission: Section 5. Non-Cost Submittal. If a question requires Bidders to submit additional documents, they will be included in an attached document.

5.	BIDDER Non-Cost Submittal (50 Points)		MAX POINTS	SCORE
C	Please describe the experiences, skills and qualifications your organization possesses that are relevant to an evaluation of your ability to perform the Contract that is the subject of this Solicitation. Please ensure that your answer to this question includes all information that you wish DSHS to consider in determining whether you meet the minimum Bidder qualifications set forth in the Solicitation Document. Please include any relevant experience that distinguishes your organization or makes it uniquely qualified for the Contract.		20	18
	COMMENT:	Strong response, but there is room for additional detail.		
D	Please provide the names of the key team members you will assign to this Contract, if you are the Successful Bidder, and provide their proposed roles and copies of resumes describing the relevant experience they possess.		10	10
	COMMENT:	Multiple names covering different functions were provided.		
E	Please describe your process for adding services after a contract has been executed.		10	10
	COMMENT:	Provided simple, straightforward way to add services/contract addendum.		
F	Please describe the measures you employ to assure that your services and deliverables are provided in a cost effective manner that is consistent with quality outcomes and fair employment practices.		10	10
	COMMENT:	Thorough answer – all points addressed.		

WRITTEN RESPONSE SCORING
December 9-12 , 2025
RFX #2523-881
Origami Risk Platform

Vendor Name: Origami Risk

Evaluator Number: WE2

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score based on the criteria only.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- We would prefer that you leave a comment for each question scored, briefly explaining why you assigned that particular score.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team, but each evaluator should score independently. **We do not use consensus scoring.**
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something that was not asked for in the Solicitation.

Scoring of Proposals

The following available points will be assigned to the proposal for evaluation purposes:

Section 5 Non-Cost Submittal	50 points
------------------------------	-----------

If you have questions, please direct them to Caleb Clark, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6076. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

Score	Description	Discussion
90-100% of available points	Exceptional	Clearly superior to that which is average.
70-80%	Above Average	Better than that which is average.
50-60%	Average	Baseline score for each item with adjustments based upon the evaluator's interpretation of the Bidder's response.
30-40%	Below Average	Substandard to that which is average.
10-20%	Failing	Non-responsive or clearly inadequate to that which is average.
0%	No Experience	Response shows no experience in this skill or capability.

Evaluator Scoresheet for RFX #2523-881

You will be evaluating one part of the bidder's submission: Section 5. Non-Cost Submittal. If a question requires Bidders to submit additional documents, they will be included in an attached document.

5.	BIDDER Non-Cost Submittal (50 Points)		MAX POINTS	SCORE
C	<p>Please describe the experiences, skills and qualifications your organization possesses that are relevant to an evaluation of your ability to perform the Contract that is the subject of this Solicitation. Please ensure that your answer to this question includes all information that you wish DSHS to consider in determining whether you meet the minimum Bidder qualifications set forth in the Solicitation Document. Please include any relevant experience that distinguishes your organization or makes it uniquely qualified for the Contract.</p>	20	14	
COMMENT:	<p>Click here to enter text. The experience that bidder has an established relationship with DSHS as a current client is relevant. However, bidder skills and qualifications aren't detailed outside of the established relationship nexus. (Basic info provided in the 'proven experience bullet.)</p>			
D	<p>Please provide the names of the key team members you will assign to this Contract, if you are the Successful Bidder, and provide their proposed roles and copies of resumes describing the relevant experience they possess.</p>	10	7	
COMMENT:	<p>Click here to enter text. Bidder provided names and brief roles of key team members to this contract. The roles would benefit from increased definition. I don't know if copies of resumes were provided per requirements. If no resumes provided my score would reduce further.</p>			
E	<p>Please describe your process for adding services after a contract has been executed.</p>	10	7	
COMMENT:	<p>Click here to enter text. Requests for expansion should be submitted to team, who will initiate a structure process to define requirements and outline steps. Meets requirement, but because no additional detail is provided not awarded full points.</p>			
F	<p>Please describe the measures you employ to assure that your services and deliverables are provided in a cost effective manner that is consistent with quality outcomes and fair employment practices.</p>	10	8	
COMMENT:	<p>Click here to enter text. Good response. Not awarded full points because mentions 'covers' and 'Code of Conduct attestation' never states the requirement. Reader must opine from context that such behaviors are against policy/not tolerated.</p>			

WRITTEN RESPONSE SCORING
December 9-12 , 2025
RFX #2523-881
Origami Risk Platform

Vendor Name: Origami Risk

Evaluator Number: WE3

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score based on the criteria only.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- We would prefer that you leave a comment for each question scored, briefly explaining why you assigned that particular score.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team, but each evaluator should score independently. **We do not use consensus scoring.**
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something that was not asked for in the Solicitation.

Scoring of Proposals

The following available points will be assigned to the proposal for evaluation purposes:

Section 5 Non-Cost Submittal	50 points
------------------------------	-----------

If you have questions, please direct them to Caleb Clark, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6076. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

Score	Description	Discussion
90-100% of available points	Exceptional	Clearly superior to that which is average.
70-80%	Above Average	Better than that which is average.
50-60%	Average	Baseline score for each item with adjustments based upon the evaluator's interpretation of the Bidder's response.
30-40%	Below Average	Substandard to that which is average.
10-20%	Failing	Non-responsive or clearly inadequate to that which is average.
0%	No Experience	Response shows no experience in this skill or capability.

Evaluator Scoresheet for RFX #2523-881

You will be evaluating one part of the bidder’s submission: Section 5. Non-Cost Submittal. If a question requires Bidders to submit additional documents, they will be included in an attached document.

5.		BIDDER Non-Cost Submittal (50 Points)	MAX POINTS	SCORE
C	Please describe the experiences, skills and qualifications your organization possesses that are relevant to an evaluation of your ability to perform the Contract that is the subject of this Solicitation. Please ensure that your answer to this question includes all information that you wish DSHS to consider in determining whether you meet the minimum Bidder qualifications set forth in the Solicitation Document. Please include any relevant experience that distinguishes your organization or makes it uniquely qualified for the Contract.		20	20
	COMMENT:	As the bidder pointed out, DSHS already has an established relationship with them that supports other needs. Having a singular database/cloud solution is highly desirable, especially if that solution can feed into other modules of the database, eliminate the need to learn/train on multiple databases, have cost savings, etc..		
D	Please provide the names of the key team members you will assign to this Contract, if you are the Successful Bidder, and provide their proposed roles and copies of resumes describing the relevant experience they possess.		10	10
	COMMENT:	Having existing established relationships with these team members will allow us to “hit the ground running.”		
E	Please describe your process for adding services after a contract has been executed.		10	10
	COMMENT:	Great response. It’s a great feature that it can be so customizable to fit customer needs.		
F	Please describe the measures you employ to assure that your services and deliverables are provided in a cost effective manner that is consistent with quality outcomes and fair employment practices.		10	10
	COMMENT:	Great answer.		

