

Instructions for NH Complaint Investigation (CI) Skill Building Tool

Purpose

The Skill Building Tool (SBT) is designed to help an RCS Complaint Investigator identify strengths and areas for development when implementing key components of the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) Chapter 20, Complaint Investigations, and Chapter 17E, NH Post / Revisit Survey. The RCS Training Unit (TU) also uses the SBT to identify areas in which classroom training needs to be enhanced and modified. Steps 1 - 4 are applicable to a new Complaint Investigator and provide instructions during the training process.

Complaint Investigator must be SMQT Certified before they can do complaints independently.

NH CI Skill Building Tool - Use the SBT during all investigations when training with the Peer Coach / Preceptor (PC) and Field Manager (FM), as well as during Quality Assurance (QA) and training visits by the TU.

Step 1

The Self-Assessment (SA) must be initiated after the following is completed: all prerequisite training and one (1) on-the-job participatory investigation. To complete the SA, select one of the following choices that most closely represents your current skill level or to show a skill building criteria is either not applicable or not observed. The notes and/or goals area can be used to document or clarify proficiency areas. Prior to all subsequent investigations during the skill building process, be sure to work through the list of skill building criteria within each part of the SBT.

SKILL LEVEL	SKILL LEVEL DESCRIPTION FOR PROFICIENCY	SKILL LEVEL	SKILL LEVEL DESCRIPTION FOR PROFICIENCY
0	Not applicable / Not observed	3	Has a basic understanding of the SOP and the ability to perform the tasks / skills consistently and accurately; requires minimal coaching; able to complete CI independently
1	Has limited know ledge and understanding of tasks / skills; requires maximum coaching and supervision; unable to complete CI independently	4	Has ability to perform tasks / skills with structure; demonstrates proficiency and insight; able to coach others independently
2	Has fundamental know ledge and understanding of tasks / skills; requires limited coaching and supervision; unable to complete CI independently		
ABBREVIA SA S	ATIONS Self-Assessment PCPeer Coach / Preceptor	TU	Training Unit FM Field Manager

Step 2

After your first participatory Cl, share your initial self-assessment selections and goals with your PC and FM. The PC and FM may document in the notes and/or goals section.

Step 3

After each subsequent CI, complete the SBT by selecting the skill level(s) that most closely represents your level at the time of the specific investigation. Meet with your PC and FM for their collaborative feedback regarding each skill building criteria. The PC and FM may document in the notes and/or goals section.

Step 4

The FM will share the Complaint Investigator's SBT with the TU when the FM determines the Complaint Investigator is independent (Skill Level 3) or when seeking assistance in areas determined to require additional training.

QA visits

The TU can begin scheduling routine QA visits once a Complaint Investigator is independent (Skill Level 3) on all skill building criteria's or the FM identified additional training needs or every two years from the completion of the initial SBT QA visit. The FM will email the Complaint Investigator's SBT to the TU prior to any FM requests for a QA visit. SBTs completed by the TU will be emailed to the complaint investigator with a copy to the FM. Once the Complaint Investigator reviews the SBT with their FM, the SBT must be signed and returned electronically to the TU. The FM is responsible for retention of the SBT.

INVESTIGATOR'S NAME	DATE OF HIRE	FIELDMA	NAGER	R'S NAM	E					R	EGION		
Pepartment of Social & Health Services	GING AND LONG-TERM SUPPO RESIDENTIAL CARI NURSING HOME	E SERVIC FACILIT	ES (RCS Y (NH)	S)									
PEER COACH'S / PRECEPTOR'S NAME	END OF CLASSROOM TRAIN	ING T	RAINEF	R'S NAM	1E								
OBSERVATIONALCI: FACILITYNAME	DATE OBSER	VATION	ALCI: F	ACILITY	YNAME						D	ATE	
The example below shows a Complaint Investigator has pa the documentation of the Complaint Investigator's self-ass	Example articipated in three training essment and proficiency s	g Cls und	der the • each i	prece item d	ptor's/ ocume	peer's ented b	guidar y the F	nce. A PC, FN	ccord /I, and	ing to TU.	the leg	jend, r	notice
Part 1: Off-Site Preparation						-				•			
SKILL BUILDING CRITERIA		CI 1 D. 11/2	ате 0/XX			CI 2 D/ 1/5/X				CI 3 [03/2	DATE 28/XX	r	
Devices of the life second line on history		SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU
Reviewed facility compliance history.		1	1	1	0	2	2	2	0	3	3	3	0
Initiated investigation at day / time the issue most likely oc	curred.	1	1	1	0	3	3	3	0	3	3	3	0
NOTES CI dated: 11/20/XXXX Did not review the facility complian	ce history during off-site p	rep.			•								
GOALS FOR PROFICIENCY CI dated: 11/20/XXXX Will continue improving on time ma	nagement skills for all eler	ments of	the co	omplai	ntinve	stigatio	on, offs	site pro	ep, an	donsi	te inve	stigati	on.
Part 1: Off-Site Preparation													
SKILL BUILDING CRITERIA		CI 1 D	ATE			CI 2 D/	ATE			CI 3 [DATE		
		SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU
a. Reviewed the complaint and identified the priority class	sification of the allegation.												
b. Contacted, interviewed, and documented a public com of investigation. Ensured three attempts were made.	plainant prior to initiation												
c. Developed an investigation plan, including record revie observations.	ws, interviews, and												
d. Reviewed facility compliance history.													
e. Coordinated with APS / Law Enforcement and/or made needed.	e any referral(s) when												
NOTES				I					1			L	1

Part 2:	Facility Entrance												
	SKILL BUILDING CRITERIA	CI 1 D/	ΑTE			CI 2 D/	ATE			CI 3 E	ATE		
		SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU
a. Prov and l	ided the facility with investigator name(s), function, general purpose of visit, business card(s). Ensured Administrator was notified.												
b. Intro on th	duced self as an RCS investigator investigating a complaint and checking he health and safety without being descriptive as to the content of the intake.												
	blished courteous, objective, neutral tone that encouraged and facilitated munication throughout investigation.												
NOTES		-	-	-	•		-	-	-	-			·

	art 3: On-site Activities and Investigation(s)	CI 1 D/	ATE			CI 2 DA	TE			CI 3 D	ATE		
	SKILL BUILDING CRITERIA												
		SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU
a.	Protected the confidentiality of vulnerable adults identified, public complainants, and records.												
b.	Initiated investigation at day / time the issue most likely occurred.												
c.	Determined a sample based on those who are most likely to have a similar conditions / needs / problems described in the allegation.												
d.	Interviewed each alleged victim(s) and/or representative(s)												
e.	Provided the Vulnerable Adult Statement of Rights form to all alleged victim(s) or representative(s) per requirements of RCS 74.34.												
f.	Conducted general and focused observations appropriate to investigation.												
g.	Conducted all interviews focused on the allegation(s).												

h.	Reviewed records focused on the allegation(s).						
i.	Analyzed data to determine if there was failed practice.						
j.	Able to identify Immediate Jeopardy Triggers and work through IJ process to complete IJ template in consultation with FM and compliance unit.						
k.	Contacted FM when needed.						
١.	Documented required and pertinent information to determine if there was failed practice.						

NOTES

GOALS FOR PROFICIENCY

Part 4: Status and Exit												
SKILL BUILDING CRITERIA	CI 1 DA	ΛΤΕ			CI 2 DA	TE			CI 3 E	DATE		
	SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU
 Explained preliminary findings and identified deficiencies to the Administrator or designee. 												
 Allowed time for the Administrator or designee to ask questions and present additional information while in facility. 												
c. Informed the entity of the process and the next steps.												
d. Explained the timeliness of the SOD report being sent to entity and when plan of correction must be returned.												
e. Ensured the Administrator or designee had investigators and FMs contact information.												

NOTES

Part 5: Off-Site and Final Determination			
SKILL BUILDING CRITERIA	CI 1 DATE	CI 2 DATE	CI 3 DATE

	SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	TU
a. Interviewed "independent sources of relevant information" when needed.												
b. Reviewed elements of the regulation before making final determination.												
c. Discussed and consulted with FM final determination and enforcement recommendations.												
d. Called Administrator or designee to summarize the final investigative finding(s).												
e. Statement of Deficiencies (SOD) written with guidance from Principles of Documentation.												
f. Investigative Summary Report (ISR) process followed and working papers documentation supported by what was written in the ISR.												
g. Entered all required data into required data gathering software system(s).												
h. Notified Complaint Resolution Unit when additional referrals were determined.												
 Contacted the public complainant to summarize the investigative finding(s) and noted date and time in working papers. 												

NOTES

Part 6: Revisit												
	CI 1 DA	ATE			CI 2 DA	TE			CI 3 D	ATE		
SKILL BUILDING CRITERIA												
										50		
	SA	PC	FM	TU	SA	PC	FM	ΤU	SA	PC	FM	TU
a. Consulted with FM to determine type of revisit.												
b. Planned the date for the revisit between 10 and 15 days after the date on the Plan of Correction unless approved by manager.												
c. Considered current deficient practice issues, scope and severity and enforcement remedies as a result of the inspection.												
d. Completed the requirements for documentation per type of visit.												
e. Completed the revisit regardless of IDR request.												
f. Reviewed information from the time period between the last date on the POC and the date of the revisit only.												

g. When onsite, focused the sample selection on residents most likely to be at risk resulting from deficient practice cited in original report.						
h. Consulted with FM regarding concerns and next steps.						
NOTES	•					<u> </u>

				-
CI 1	LOCATION			DATE OF CI
INVESTIGATOR		DATE	INVESTIGATOR'S PRINTED NAME	
	/ PRECEPTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE	PEER COACH'S / PRECEPTOR'S PRINTED	DNAME
FIELDMANAGE	R'S SIGNATURE	DATE	FIELD MANAGER'S PRINTED NAME	
TRAINING UNIT	STAFF'S SIGNATURE	DATE	TRAINING UNIT STAFF'S PRINTED NAME	
CI 2	LOCATION			DATE OF CI
INVESTIGATOR		DATE	INVESTIGATOR'S PRINTED NAME	
	/ PRECEPTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE	PEER COACH'S / PRECEPTOR'S PRINTED	DNAME
FIELDMANAGE	R'S SIGNATURE	DATE	FIELD MANAGER'S PRINTED NAME	
TRAINING UNIT	STAFF'S SIGNATURE	DATE	TRAINING UNIT STAFF'S PRINTED NAME	
CI 3	LOCATION			DATE OF CI
INVESTIGATOR		DATE	INVESTIGATOR'S PRINTED NAME	
PEER COACH'S	/ PRECEPTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE	PEER COACH'S / PRECEPTOR'S PRINTED	DNAME

FIELD MANAGER'S SIGNATURE	DATE	FIELD MANAGER'S PRINTED NAME	
TRAINING UNIT STAFF'S SIGNATURE	DATE	TRAINING UNIT STAFF'S PRINTED NAME	