

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2024) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: May 02, 2025

TIME: 4:20 PM

WSR 25-10-066

Agency: Department of Social and Health Services, Home and Community Living Administration, HCS						
□ Original Notice						
□ Supplemental Notice	ce to WSR _					
□ Continuance of WSR						
☐ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or						
□ Proposal is exemp	t under RC\	N 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330	0(1); oı	r		
☐ Proposal is exemp	t under RC\	N				
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 388-71-1031 What is the curriculum approval process for orientation, safety, the 70-hour basic training population specific training, the 30-hour basic training, the seven hour parent provider training, and continuing education? and 388-112A-1010 What is the curriculum approval process for orientation, safety training, basic training, population specific training, specialty training, residential care administrator training, and continuing education. These rules are related to curriculum approval.						
Hearing location(s):						
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:		
June 10, 2025	10:00 a.m.	Virtually via Teams or Cal	ll in	Hearings are held virtually, see the DSHS website at https://www.dshs.wa.gov/sesa/rpau/proposed-rules-and-public-hearings for the most current information.		
Date of intended adoption: Not earlier than June 11, 2025 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)				e: This is NOT the effective date)		
Submit written comm	ents to:		Assistance for persons with disabilities:			
Name DSHS Rules Coordinator			Contact Shelley Tencza, Rules Consultant			
Address PO Box 45850, Olympia WA 98504			Phone 360-664-6036			
Email DSHSRPAURulesCoordinator@dshs.wa.gov			Fax 360-664-6185			
Fax 360-664-6185			TTY 711 Relay Service			
Other				Email shelley.tencza@dshs.wa.gov		
Beginning (date and time) noon on May 7, 2025			Other			
			By (date) 5:00 p.m. on May 27, 2025			
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The Department of						

Social and Health Services (department) proposes to amend WAC 388-71-1031 and 388-112A-1010 related to curriculum approval. The purpose of this rulemaking is to establish and clarify curriculum approval requirements. This will provide greater flexibility in the approval process for long-term care workers and the instructional entities.

Reasons supporting proposal: RCW 74.39A.074 specifies that only training approved by the department may be used to fulfill long-term care worker training requirements.

There is an increasing number of aspiring community instructors and technological advancements in virtual and online learning management systems. The number of new Core Basic submissions has grown, and many of those submissions come from training entities that have little experience in curriculum development resulting in sub-standard submissions containing issues in curriculum that:

- Is not Washington State specific.
- Does not meet department online quality standards.
- Does not contain all required elements.
- Exceeds the scope of practice for Home Care Aides.
- Contains inaccurate or outdated information.

The current WAC language does not give the department the authority to do a partial review of curriculum even when consistent significant issues are identified early on. Additionally, the department does not currently have the authority to set a limit to how often a program may re-submit the same substandard curriculum for full review.

Allow for denying	situations where significa the submission.	e using permissive rather than obligatory language. In the global issues are present, allow the department to depart the department to depart the ability to require that a specific amount of the specific and t	·
next sub	mission.		
•	rity for adoption: RCW 1 39A.076, and 74.39A.341.,	8.88B.010, 18.88B.021, 18.88B.041, 74.08.090, 74.39	9A.009, 74.39A.070,
Statute being in			
ls rule necessar	ry because of a:		
Federal La	□ Yes ⋈ No		
Federal Co		☐ Yes ☒ No	
State Cou	rt Decision?		☐ Yes ☒ No
If yes, CITATION			
Agency comme matters: None	nts or recommendations	s, if any, as to statutory language, implementation,	enforcement, and fiscal
Name of propor	nent: (person or organizati	on) Department of Social and Health Services	
	ent: Private. Public.		
Name of agency	personnel responsible	for:	
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting	David Chappell	PO Box 45600, Lacey WA 98504-5600	360-725-2516
Implementation	David Chappell	PO Box 45600, Lacey WA 98504-5600	360-725-2516
Enforcement	David Chappell	PO Box 45600, Lacey WA 98504-5600	360-725-2516
Is a school disti	-	ent required under RCW 28A.305.135?	□ Yes ⊠ No
Name Addres Phone Fax TTY Email Other	ss	nool district fiscal impact statement by contacting:	
	t analysis required under		
	reliminary cost-benefit ana Dave Chappell	lysis may be obtained by contacting:	
Name	Dave Onappen	WA 09504 5600	
	s PO Box 45600, Lacev	VVA 30004-0000	
Addres	s PO Box 45600, Lacey 360-725-2516	VVA 96304-3600	
Addres	•	VVA 96504-5600	
Addres Phone Fax TTY 7	360-725-2516 11 Relay Service		
Addres Phone Fax TTY 7 Email	360-725-2516		
Addres Phone Fax TTY 7 Email Other	360-725-2516 11 Relay Service		

Discourage poor-quality curriculum submissions that does not serve the best interests of learners and clients. Provide program managers with the flexibility in the approval process while avoiding unnecessary barriers to known

The reasons for supporting this proposal is that the proposed language will:

Provide clearer guidelines and expectations for curriculum submitted.

Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement							
Note: The Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) provides support in completing this part.							
(1) Identification of exemptions:							
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see							
	<u>35 RCW</u>). For additional information on exemption ox for any applicable exemption(s):	ns, cons	ult the exemption guide published by ORIA. Please				
			CW 40 05 064 hassured this will making in hairs.				
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt ely to conform and/or comply with federal statute		CW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being				
			lescribe the consequences to the state if the rule is not				
adopted.		,	•				
Citation and description:							
☐ This rule	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	because	e the agency has completed the pilot rule process				
	RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this pro	•					
		under th	e provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was				
	a referendum.		01/4/40 05 005(0)				
☐ This rule	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	under R	••••				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)				
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)				
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)				
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process				
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license				
			or permit)				
			CW 19.85.025(4). (Does not affect small businesses).				
	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt						
Explanation	of how the above exemption(s) applies to the pr	oposea	ule.				
	f exemptions: Check one.						
		•	dentified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.				
			exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule				
	at less than the entire rule proposal. Provide deta proposal: Is not exempt. (Complete section 3.) N		,				
	usiness economic impact statement: Complet						
If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?							
⊠ No	Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost anal	lysis and	how the agency determined the proposed rule did not				
	impose more-than-minor costs. Clarifying standards and providing the department greater flexibility in the curriculum						
	approval process does not impose additional cost to training programs.						
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:							
economi	c impact statement is required. Insert the require	u Siliali i	ousiness economic impact statement here.				
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:							
Name David Chappell Address PO Box 45600, Olympia WA 98504							
Phone 360-725-2516							
Fax							
TTY 711 Relay Service							
Email david.chappell@dshs.wa.gov							
Other							

Date: May 2, 2025	Signature:	
Name: Katherine I. Vasquez	Markone I. Vargez	
Title: DSHS Rules Coordinator	Marine or will	

- WAC 388-71-1031 What ((is the)) are the requirements and process for curriculum approval ((process)) for orientation, safety, the 70-hour core basic training ((population specific training)), the 30-hour basic training, the seven-hour parent provider training, and continuing education? To obtain the department's approval of the curriculum for the 70-hour core basic training, ((population specific training,)) the 30-hour basic training, the seven-hour parent provider training, and continuing education , applicants must adhere to the following steps and requirements:
- (1) ((Submit the required training application forms and any other materials required for specific curricula to the department.))Prior to submission:
- (a) For all courses except continuing education, ensure that the curriculum contains all required competencies. For the core basic portion of the 75-hour training, the curriculum should follow the presentation order and, at minimum, contain the full content of the department's fundamentals of caregiving;
 - (b) Ensure that the curriculum:
 - (i) Uses Washington state specific content and terminology;
- (ii) Contains activities and checkpoints that support student learning; and
- (iii) Requires no fewer hours than the equivalent department curriculum, if any.
- (c) For curriculum to be delivered in an online format without a live instructor, ensure the content meets all the department online standards for learning and accessibility found at "bit.ly/dshs-online-standards".
- (2) ((After review of the curriculum, DSHS will send a written response to the submitter, indicating approval or disapproval of the curriculum)) Except for core basic curriculum, the department will only review complete curriculum submissions and is not able to assist the applicant with course development. For core basic, the first module may be submitted separately to assure proper development and adherence to standards prior to the first complete submission.
- (3) ((If the curriculum is not approved, the reason(s) for denial will be given and the submitter will be told what portion(s) of the training must be changed and resubmitted for review in order for the curriculum to be approved)) Submit the required training application forms and any other materials required for specific curriculum to the department.
- (4) ((The submitter may then make the requested changes and resubmit the curriculum(s) for review)) The department will review submissions that meet the requirements in subsection (1) of this section. After review of the curriculum, in whole or in part, the department will send a written response to the applicant, indicating approval or disapproval of the curriculum.
- (5) ((If after working with the department, the reasons why the curriculum is not approved cannot be resolved, the submitter may seek a review of the nonapproval decision from the assistant secretary of aging and long-term support administration (ALTSA). The assistant secretary's review decision will be the final decision of DSHS. No other administrative review is available to the submitter)) If the depart-

[1] SHS-5078.1

- ment does not approve the curriculum, the department will provide the applicant the reasons the submission was not approved, including:
- (a) What components of the training must be changed prior to resubmission; or
- (b) The general areas of deficiency that must be addressed prior to resubmission.
- (6) When the department does not approve the curriculum submission, the applicant may resubmit the curriculum for review.
- (a) If the department does not approve the second version of the same curriculum submission, the department may require the applicant to wait a minimum of 180 days before submitting the curriculum a third time.
- (b) If the department does not approve the third submission, the department may require the applicant to wait a minimum of one year between subsequent submissions.
- (7) If after working with the department, the reasons why the curriculum was not approved cannot be resolved, the applicant may seek a review of the nonapproval decision from the assistant secretary of the aging and long-term support administration (ALTSA). The assistant secretary's review decision will be the department's final decision.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 23-01-022, filed 12/9/22, effective 1/9/23)

WAC 388-112A-1010 What ((is the)) are the requirements and process for curriculum approval ((process)) for orientation, safety training, core basic training, ((population specific training,)) specialty training, ((residential care administrator training,)) and continuing education? To obtain the department's approval of the curriculum for orientation, safety training, core basic training, ((population specific training,)) specialty training, ((residential care administrator training,)) and continuing education, applicants must adhere to the following steps and requirements:

- (1) Prior to submission:
- (a) For all courses except continuing education, ensure that the curriculum contains all required competencies. For the core basic portion of the 75-hour training, the curriculum should follow the presentation order and, at minimum, contain the full content of the department's fundamentals of caregiving;
 - (b) Ensure that the curriculum:
 - (i) Uses Washington state specific content and terminology;
- (ii) Contains activities and checkpoints that support student learning; and
- (iii) Requires no fewer hours than the equivalent department curriculum, if any.
- (c) For curriculum to be delivered in an online format without a live instructor, ensure the content meets all the department online standards for learning and accessibility found at "bit.ly/dshs-online-standards".
- (2) Except for core basic curriculum, the department will only review complete curriculum submissions and is not able to assist the applicant with course development. For core basic, the first module may be submitted separately to assure proper development and adherence to standards prior to the first complete submission.

[2] SHS-5078.1

- (3) Submit the required training application forms and any other materials required for specific curriculum to the department.
- $((\frac{(2)}{(2)}))$ (4) The department will review submissions that meet the requirements in subsection (1) of this section. After review of the curriculum, in whole or in part, the department $((\frac{DSHS}{(2)}))$ will send a written response to the $((\frac{SHS}{(2)}))$ applicant, indicating approval or disapproval of the curriculum.
- $((\frac{3}{)})$ If the <u>department does not approve the</u> curriculum $(\frac{3}{1})$, the <u>department will provide the applicant the reason</u> $(\frac{3}{1})$ the <u>department will be given and the submitter will be told</u>)) the submission was not approved, including:
- ((what portion(s))) (a) What components of the training must be changed ((and resubmitted for review for the curriculum to be approved.)) prior to resubmission; or
- (b) The general areas of deficiency that must be addressed prior to resubmission.
- ((4) The submitter may then make the requested changes and)) $\underline{(6)}$ When the department does not approve the curriculum submission, the applicant may resubmit the curriculum for review.
- (a) If the department does not approve the second version of the same curriculum submission, the department may require the applicant to wait a minimum of 180 days before submitting the curriculum a third time.
- (b) If the department does not approve the third submission, the department may require the applicant to wait a minimum of one year between subsequent submissions.
- ((\(\frac{(5)}{)}\)) (7) If after working with the department, the reasons why the curriculum was not approved cannot be resolved, the ((\(\frac{\text{submitter}}{\text{output}}\)) applicant may seek a review of the nonapproval decision from the assistant secretary of the aging and long-term support administration (ALTSA). The assistant secretary's review decision will be ((\(\frac{\text{DSHS's}}{\text{output}}\)) the department's final decision. ((\(\text{No other administrative review is available to the submitter.))

[3] SHS-5078.1